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Abstract. Ultrasound modulated optical tomography modulates scattered light within tissue by deterministically altering the optical properties of the sample with the ultrasonic pressure. This allows the light to be “tagged” and the degradation in spatial resolution associated with light scattering to be reduced. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of ultrasound modulated imaging of light generated within a scattering medium without an external light source. The technique has the potential to improve the spatial resolution of chemi- or bioluminescence imaging of tissue. Experimental results show that ultrasound modulated luminescence imaging can resolve two chemiluminescent objects separated by 5 mm at a 7 mm depth within a tissue phantom with a scattering coefficient of 30 cm⁻¹. The lateral resolution is estimated to be 3 mm. Monte Carlo simulations indicate that, with the current system signal to noise ratio, it is feasible to apply the approach to bioluminescence imaging when the concentration of bacteria in the animal organ is above 3.4×10³ μL. © 2013 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.18.2.020505]
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In vivo whole animal luminescence imaging is a useful technique as it helps to understand human diseases, drug development and discovery, and target validation.¹,² In both bio- and chemiluminescence imaging, the spatial resolution is severely limited by the optical scattering nature of tissue. To improve spatial resolution hybrid approaches which combine the advantages of ultrasonic and optical imaging can be applied.³–⁵ In photo-acoustic tomography (PAT), ultrasound (US) waves are excited by irradiating tissue with nanosecond scale pulses of light. Optical absorption by tissue chromophores, such as hemoglobin, produces a small temperature rise; which leads to an initial pressure increase followed by relaxation, resulting in the emission of broadband low amplitude acoustic waves. A PAT image can be regarded as an US image in which the contrast depends on the optical absorption properties of the tissue.⁴ In US modulated optical tomography (USMOT), light illuminates the tissue and US is used to modulate the light within the tissue. The detected modulated optical signal at each US scan position is used to form an image. Three main modulation mechanisms have been described:⁵ (1) US induced variation of the optical properties due to compression and rarefaction of the medium, (2) modulation of the optical phase due to US displacement of scatterers, and (3) modulation of the optical phase due to US modulation of the refractive index. The latter two are effects that can only be observed when using coherent light. As only incoherent light is used in bio- and chemiluminescence imaging, mechanism number 1 causes the US modulation of luminescence. To date, USMOT has not been able to replicate the high quality blood vessel images obtained by PAT. However, USMOT can provide additional or complementary information through imaging tissue scattering⁶ or directly mapping fluorescence in 3D.³–⁶ This work demonstrates, to our knowledge, the first implementation of US modulated luminescence tomography (USMLT) in which imaging resolution can be improved by using US to modulate luminescence generated within an optically scattering medium. In this scenario, PAT cannot be applied as the light source is generated internally within the tissue and an external light source is redundant. In the experiment (Fig. 1), a signal generator (Tektronix AFG3022B) and an RF power amplifier (Amplifier Research 150A100B) provide continuous wave excitation of a focused 1 MHz US transducer (Olympus Panametrics V314 NDT, 2.54 cm focal length). The US is focused at a position close to, but not on, a luminescent target. Although ideally the focus would be on the target, the offset position is to ensure that the US is not distorted by the target which is not acoustically matched to the background medium. A 15 cm × 10 cm × 12 cm (xyz) water tank sits on a computer controlled motorized stage (Standa 8MT175-50). A photomultiplier tube (PMT, Hamamatsu H5783-20) situated 8 cm from the surface of the gel tissue phantom is employed as a detector with an aperture (diameter = 4 mm) in front to control the detected light level. The PMT signal is fed into an amplifier (Stanford Research Systems SR445A), followed by an oscilloscope (OSC, Tektronix TDS2024B 8-bit ADC) for DC measurement and to a lock-in amplifier (LIA, Stanford Research Systems SR844) for AC measurement. The input impedance of the SR445A is 500 Ω which provides a 3 dB bandwidth for the PMT and amplifier of 3 MHz. The LIA has a 10 s time constant, 12 dB filter slope, and a full scale sensitivity of 300 μVrms (rms: root mean square). The LIA is synchronized with the system by using the US drive signal as a reference. The typical peak pressure of the US is 0.42 MPa (measured using a Precision Acoustics 1705 hydrophone, 1 mm diameter needle). The targets are 1-mm-diameter, 5-mm-long clear polymide tubes filled with a chemiluminescent material (mixture of the dye, diphenyl oxalate and hydrogen peroxide, used in the consumer market within “glow sticks”) which emits at a center wavelength of 550 nm. The targets are embedded at a depth of 7 mm within an x = 55 mm, y = 15 mm, and z = 55 mm tissue phantom made from agarose gel mixed with polystyrene microspheres (scattering coefficient μs ~ 30 cm⁻¹, anisotropy factor g = 0.93). No additional absorption is added and so the absorption coefficient μa is comparable to that of water.⁹ Two line-scans, each taking ~50 min, are carried out by
scanning the sample along the x-axis, the first with a single chemiluminescent target and the second with two chemiluminescent targets displaced by 5 mm. Fifty measurements are acquired at each position to provide an average value with error bars (standard deviation of the data). AC and DC line-scans, normalized by their maximum values after subtracting the noise floor (\(\mu_0 \approx 0.4 \mu V_{\text{rms}}\)), of the single luminescent target are shown in Fig. 2. USMLT (AC trace) produces superior imaging resolution [full width half maximum (FWHM) = 6 mm] compared to the conventional DC light line-scan (FWHM = 22 mm). Line-scans of the sample containing two luminescent targets are shown in Fig. 3. Figure 3(a) and 3(b) shows the normalized AC and normalized DC scans, respectively. USMLT can clearly resolve two objects which cannot be resolved in the DC light scans with a signal to noise ratio (SNR) of \(\sim 80\) (SNR = \(V_S^2/V_N^2\), where \(V_S\) and \(V_N\) are the signal and noise voltages, respectively). After 3 h, both the AC and DC scans are approximately 4 times weaker than the original signal but the two targets can still be resolved in the AC image with an AC SNR of \(\sim 5\). Lateral resolution could be further improved by using higher frequency US, improved axial resolution could be obtained using pulsed US, albeit at the expense of SNR.

As discussed, when incoherent light is generated within the sample (with no external optical excitation) the ideal position of the US focus is over the target. To better understand image formation and spatial resolution, it is useful to consider an isotropic point source of luminescence generated within the scattering medium which diffuses through the sample. US modulation of the light at the point source produces the maximum modulation of the signal. Modulating the light using an US focus offset from the source reduces the amount of light that passes through the US focal zone and hence the detected signal. For example, using a Monte Carlo model of light propagation for the optical properties and geometry used in the experiment, the proportion of light reaching a 1 mm diameter area (representing the US focus) situated 1 mm away from the luminescent point source is only 6.3%.

Having demonstrated the potential of USMLT in a phantom it is highly pertinent to discuss whether it could be practically applied in preclinical models. Light levels obtained from the single luminescent target are used to estimate the AC light...
collection capability of the system. Based on a geometrical calculation, placing an optical power meter (Thorlabs Pm 120) directly in front of the target, with no scattering medium present, collects $\sim 40\%$ of the emitted light. A reading of 4 nW indicates that $\sim 10$ nW is being emitted isotropically by the target. According to Ref. 10, the photon flux emitted from a single bioluminescent bacterium is approximately $10^{9}$ photons per second. This is equivalent to $4 \times 10^{-7}$ nW at 550 nm (Power $P = Nh \nu$, where $N$ is the number of photons/sec, $h$ is Planck’s constant, $\nu$ is the optical frequency). Thus, a bioluminescent sample containing $\sim 650,000$ bacteria within 4 $\mu$L (5-mm-long cylindrical tube with 1 mm diameter) can be detected by the system with a SNR of 2 (based on the measured system noise floor of 0.4 $\mu$V$_{rms}$). This is equivalent to a concentration of $1.5 \times 10^{8}$ bacteria/$\mu$L which is comparable to the typical concentration in bioluminescence imaging ($\sim 10^{9}$ bacteria/$\mu$L).$^{3,11}$ A Monte Carlo simulation was carried out to further investigate the detected light levels for typical bacteria concentrations at different bioluminescent wavelengths. A murine model is simulated as an infinite slab geometry which has the bioluminescent source positioned in the center, a thickness of 2 cm with the optical properties shown in Table 1. SNR is calculated based on the measured noise floor of the experimental system and to estimate the minimum detectable bacteria concentration, we set an SNR threshold = 2. Table 1, column 5 shows the minimum concentration of bacteria required to obtain an SNR = 2 for the detector diameter = 4 mm employed in the experiment. SNR could be increased by employing a larger diameter detector, e.g., Table 1, column 6 shows the minimum detectable concentration for a detector diameter of 2 cm. Longer wavelength bioluminescence is useful as the absorption of haemoglobin is low in the near infrared. The larger detector allows a further $\sim 10x$ reduction in the minimum detectable bacteria concentration. It has also been suggested that SNR could be further improved by the use of microbubbles$^8$ which we will explore in further experiments. USMLT enables imaging of luminescent targets within turbid media at higher resolution compared to DC imaging. In this case PAT cannot be applied as it relies on absorption of light from an external source. In bio- and chemiluminescence imaging the light is generated within the tissue without requiring an external source.
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### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>$\mu_s$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\mu_a$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$D$ (cm)</th>
<th>Minimum bacteria concentration (bacteria/$\mu$L) Detector = 4 mm dia.</th>
<th>Minimum bacteria concentration (bacteria/$\mu$L) Detector = 2 cm dia.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>550</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>$3.41 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.33 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>$2.94 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$2.89 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>