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Abstract. We present a fiber-optic sensor for monitoring respiration and heart activity designed to operate in the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) environment. The sensor employs a Plexiglas springboard, which converts movements of the patient’s body lying on the board (i.e., lung- and heart-induced vibrations) to strain, where a fiber Bragg grating attached to the board is used to measure this strain. Experimental studies are carried out during thoracic spine MRI examinations. The presence of the metal-free sensor construction in the MRI environment does not pose a threat to the patient and has no influence over the quality of imaging, and the signal is identical to that obtained without any electromagnetic interference. The results show that the sensor is able to accurately reflect the ballistocardiographic signal, enabling determinations of the respiration rate (RR) and heart rate (HR). The data delivered by the sensor are normally distributed on the Bland–Altman plot for the characteristic point determination and exhibit clear dependence on the RR and HR values for the RR and HR determinations, respectively. Measurement accuracies are better than 7% of the average values, and thus, with further development, the sensor will be implemented in routine MRI examinations.
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1 Introduction

Recently, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has become one of the most common and reliable diagnostic methods in medicine. The results of the still improving imaging performances, new functionalities, and more affordable prices represent a pronounced trend toward equipping hospitals and clinics with MRI scanners. The rapid development of this technology has created the need to construct devices capable of monitoring vital signs of at-risk patients during MRI examinations. The risk group in the context of MRI includes neonatal, pediatric, disabled, anesthetized, and insensible patients. The monitoring of life in the context of MRI includes neonatal, pediatric, disabled, anesthetized, and insensible patients. The monitoring of life functions is also recommended for persons with implanted pacemakers, patients developing reactions to contrast media, and persons with mental disorders who may not be able to communicate with the system operator or to use the alarm button. However, efforts are being made to monitor at least some basic physiological parameters such as respiration and heart activity during each MRI examination, regardless of the patient category.

Although MRI is a noninvasive method of investigation and does not expose patients to ionizing radiation, it has some psychological effects on patients. Some researchers have already demonstrated that 5% to 10% of patients undergoing MRI examinations experience severe claustrophobia or panic attacks during the scanning process, whereas 30% report milder distress and fear. Such increased stress stimuli and arousal may in turn lead to a phenomenon known as hyperventilation, which is increased ventilation of the lungs and significant acceleration and deepening of the breath, often resulting in fainting.

Hyperventilation has numerous theoretical and empirical links to anxiety, panic, and fear episodes. This phenomenon was investigated in order to understand psychological and physiological mechanisms connected with hyperventilation that cause and maintain anxiety. On the other hand, attempts were also made to find a link between hyperventilation and the therapies used to treat different types of anxiety disorders. Some results support the idea of higher variability and irregularity in the respiratory patterns of subjects with panic disorder. Other clinical studies have shown that controlling and normalizing the subject’s breathing activity helps to reduce both anxiety and panic symptoms. On the basis of this assumption, different training and therapeutic programs have been created and carried out with the aim of teaching patients to breathe in a specific way to avoid or reverse hyperventilation, e.g., capnometry-assisted respiratory training. Furthermore, attempts have also been made to study the relationship between different anxiety disorders and cardiac parameters. The latest literature reveals an increased heart rate (HR) level in a group of people suffering from panic, anxiety disorders, and hyperventilation symptoms.

In light of recent scientific reports, it seems obvious that MRI examinations can be a source of extreme stress and
cause anxiety and panic symptoms in some patients. People who experience anxiety, fear, or hyperventilation during an MRI scan may either discontinue the procedure altogether or refuse to undergo MRI surveys in the future. In practice, it is important to identify those who are likely to panic and experience anxiety-related symptoms in the MRI unit as early as possible because only early detection ensures that appropriate actions can be taken to avoid psychological discomfort for the patient undergoing the scan and unnecessary use of the machine, as well as staff involvement in the entire procedure. Real-time monitoring of certain life functions in the patient, such as respiration rate (RR) and HR, can be helpful in controlling the arousal and anxiety level associated with the MRI examination itself and predicting the probability of a panic attack.

Conventional monitoring equipment is not designed to work in the harsh MRI environment, distinguished by a magnetic induction of 1.5, 3.0 T, or even higher values and with radio frequency impulses of considerable electromagnetic (EM) field intensity. The presence of metal or electronic components under such conditions can cause burns on the patient’s skin and alter the EM field distribution and, thus, degrade the quality of imaging. Additionally, the signal transmitted using electrical conductive wires is vulnerable to the effects of a strong EM field, which complements the list of drawbacks of typical electronic measuring devices. On the other hand, optical sensors are fully penetrable in terms of the EM radiation applied in MRI, causing no interference. They are free from metal parts and function via optical fibers, resulting in their immunity to EM fields.

There are solutions based on phase interferometry, in which the signal directed toward the sensing part of the optical fiber that is situated close to the patient is compared with the outgoing signal that is modified by confounding factors such as respiration and heartbeat. The lung- and heart-induced body movements cause phase changes between the input and output signals and further generate a time-varying serial pattern of fringes. A major disadvantage of this measuring method is the necessity of using sophisticated signal analysis in order to detect breathing and cardiac activity. A similar problem concerns sensors in the modalmetric configuration, which are comprised of multimode fibers sensitive to mechanical strain so that acousto-mechanical components, ν, of a simplified construction, designed to be immune to the EM field, and thus suitable for MRI applications. Similar techniques for breath detection have been demonstrated by other groups, but their sensors are embedded into special textile belts or vests to be fixed to the monitored person’s body. The sensor proposed in this paper is configured for monitoring respiration and heart activity simultaneously, using a fiber Bragg grating (FBG) mounted inside a pneumatic cushion, to be placed between the backrest of the seat and the back of the monitored person. Other pillow-based solutions have been reported in the literature, in which a microbending fiber-optic sensor was used instead of an FBG. In this paper, we show a sensor of a simplified construction, designed to be immune to the EM field, and thus suitable for MRI applications. Similar techniques for breath detection have been demonstrated by other groups, but their sensors are embedded into special textile belts or vests to be fixed to the monitored person’s body.

The sensor proposed in this paper is configured for monitoring respiration and heart activity simultaneously, using a fiber Bragg grating (FBG) mounted inside a pneumatic cushion, to be placed between the backrest of the seat and the back of the monitored person. Other pillow-based solutions have been reported in the literature, in which a microbending fiber-optic sensor was used instead of an FBG. In this paper, we show a sensor of a simplified construction, designed to be immune to the EM field, and thus suitable for MRI applications. Similar techniques for breath detection have been demonstrated by other groups, but their sensors are embedded into special textile belts or vests to be fixed to the monitored person’s body.

2 Methods

2.1 Fiber Bragg Gratings

The sensor is designed using a Bragg grating inscribed into a single-mode optical fiber as the sensing element. FBGs have been one of the most widely researched optical components within the last decade. They have found manifold applications in telecommunications, laser, and sensor technology. The sensor applications of FBGs alone cover many fields, such as structural health monitoring, monitoring of conditions in electrical plants, and physiologically activity monitoring. FBGs owe their popularity to their immunity to optical signal intensity modulation, which is possible on account of their spectral encoding as well as excellent multiplexing and self-referencing capabilities, thus allowing for the addressing of several sensors with one optical cable. At the same time, they offer the standard benefits of fiber-optic technology such as EM immunity, intrinsically safe modes of operation, a chemically inert nature, small size, and light weight.

The FBG acts as a stop-band filter, except that part of the incident light, I_{in}, is not stopped but is reflected from periodically arranged layers formed by refractive index modulation (see Fig. 1). The reflected beams from each of the layers destructively interfere with each other unless they are all in phase. This only occurs at one wavelength, known as the Bragg wavelength (or the central wavelength), $\lambda_B$, which is given by

$$\lambda_B = 2n_{eff}\Lambda,$$ (1)

where $n_{eff}$ is the effective refractive index of the fiber core, and $\Lambda$ is the period of the refractive index modulation.

The light reflected from the grating, $I_{tr}$, assumes the spectral form of a sharp “peak” located at the Bragg wavelength, whereas the transmitted light, $I_{tr}$, is characterized by a narrow “dip” at the same wavelength. In most sensing applications, the central wavelength is determined on the basis of the peak position, as the reflected signal shape can be more easily demodulated. Thus, FBG-based sensors offer the unique advantages of a single-ended connection to the interrogation system.

2.2 Sensor Construction

A starting point from which to build the sensor for monitoring respiration and heart activity was the susceptibility of the FBG to strain, which produces a shift in the Bragg wavelength, $\Delta\lambda_B$, and that is described by the following relation:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda_B}{\Delta \varepsilon} = \left(1 - \frac{n_{eff}^2}{2} [p_{12} - \nu (p_{11} + p_{12})]\right) \Delta \varepsilon = (1 - \rho_s) \Delta \varepsilon,$$ (2)

where $p_{11}$ and $p_{12}$ are the silica photo-elastic tensor components, $\nu$ is the Poisson’s ratio, $\Delta \varepsilon$ is the strain change, and $\rho_s$ is the stress-optic coefficient. For a germanium-doped
fiber core and a wavelength of 1550 nm, the strain change can be summarized as follows:

$$\Delta \varepsilon = \frac{\Delta \lambda_B}{1.212}.$$ 

The sensor design is based on the approach in which a springboard is used to convert body movements, including lung- and heart-induced vibrations, to strain, and an FBG attached to the board is used to measure this strain. The instantaneous spectral position of the FBG reflection peak can be calibrated in terms of respiration and heart activity readings. For the sensor to work properly, the board has to be placed between the bed mattress and the back of the patient.

As the base of the sensor, a polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) (i.e., Plexiglas) board with dimensions of 220x95 mm$^2$ and a thickness of 1.5 mm was used. A springboard of this size and thickness provides proximity to the body without a loss of comfort for the patient lying on it. At the same time, the board size ensures both that the body vibrations are sufficiently transferred to the FBG and that the vibrations can be decoded in the form of FBG elongations/contractions. The FBG, with $\lambda_B = 1541$ nm at a temperature of 20°C, was attached with epoxy adhesive to the center part of the board, as shown in Fig. 2. After adhesive curing, the peak position shifted in the direction of higher wavelength values; its full width at half maximum increased slightly, and the peak amplitude decreased. One of the FBG pigtails was spliced with a pigtail that ended with an angled physical contact fiber connector connector capable of connecting the interrogation system. The splice protector was equipped with a ceramic reinforcing rod, instead of a steel one, in order to allow the sensor to be used in an MRI environment. The excess fiber between the FBG and the splice protector was formed into a loop, consisting of several turns,
and was taped to the PMMA board. The other FBG pigtail was cut off a few centimeters from the grating and also taped to the board.

2.3 Experimental Setup

A block diagram of the experimental setup is depicted in Fig. 3. The study was conducted at the Military Institute of Aviation Medicine, Warsaw, Poland, equipped with the Achieva 1.5 T MRI scanner by Philips, Andover. The sensor was placed in the MRI examination room between the mattress of the track table in the MRI system and the back of the lying patient in the area of the shoulders to ensure proximity to the heart and the lungs. The sensor lead, in the form of a 10-m optical cable, was threaded through an opening in the wall and connected to the FBG interrogation system in the MRI system operator’s room. To interrogate the sensor, a commercially available sm130-700 unit from Micron Optics, Atlanta was used. The device consists of two main modules: a broadband light source to illuminate the FBG, and a high-speed scanning Fabry–Pérot (FP) tunable filter to analyze the signal reflected from the grating. Using a FP tunable filter is the most common technique for monitoring an FBG; any changes in the Bragg wavelength located within the free spectral range of the FP filter can be tracked by the spectrally scanning filter. The sm130-700 interrogator allows for scanning a band of 1510 to 1590 nm with a frequency of 1 kHz and a resolution of $1 \mu e_{pk-pk}$, which in turn, according to Eq. (3), ensures measurement of strains as low as $0.8 \mu e_{pk-pk}$. The data from the interrogator were sent via the Ethernet interface to a laptop PC with software developed for signal visualization, data archiving, processing, and analysis.

The experimental setup was equipped with respiration and electrocardiogram (ECG) recorders from Invivo, Gainesville, designed to operate in the MRI environment, as a source of signals used to determine the reference RR and HR. The respiration and ECG signals were acquired from the patient using pneumatic bellows placed on the patient’s chest and carbon electrodes fixed to his or her chest, respectively, and transmitted from the recorders to a PC wirelessly via a 2.4 GHz radio link. As the data were transmitted in two different ways (i.e., by Ethernet and radio), to minimize the possible occurrence of a shift between the signals, they were synchronized by means of motion artifacts introduced in the reference signals and the sensor signal at the beginning and the end of the recording.

2.4 Subjects

Twelve adult volunteers were involved in the experimental studies (four females, eight males); age: $33.25 \pm 6.06$ (mean ± SD) years, weight: $78.42 \pm 17.43$ kg, and height: $177.17 \pm 8.54$ cm. When the sensor was placed under the back of each patient in the same manner, no significant correlations were observed between the quality of the received signal and the age, weight, or height of the subjects. Any differences in the representation of the sensor signal are instead a result of individual features of the subjects, such as the size of internal organs or the pattern of blood vessels.

3 Results

3.1 Frequency Response

For the purpose of demonstrating the system’s frequency response, the sensor was vibrated by a modified high-power loudspeaker driven by a signal generator, as shown in Fig. 4. A reference FBG was mounted on the flexible cone suspension of the loudspeaker to provide a strain measurement that is proportional to the cone excursion. This allowed the sinusoidal vibration to be set to a constant amplitude within the measurement range. The signal amplitude recorded by the sensor that was referred to the signal amplitude measured by the reference FBG is shown in Fig. 5 in the form of transmittance corresponding with the frequency response of the measurement system. The sensor performance within the range of the ballistocardiographic (BCG) signal being measured (i.e., 1 to 20 Hz) shows that signals are sensed without any degradation of the physiological information. The BCG frequency range lies clearly below the resonant frequency of the sensor.

3.2 Determining RR

The main phase of the experiments covered clinical evaluation of the sensor’s RR and HR measurement capabilities, in real
MRI conditions. Each of the subjects was asked to lie down on the track table of the MRI scanner, and the physiological activities of their bodies were recorded during a 5-min exposure that was part of a thoracic spine examination. Thus, the total recording time was 60 min. A 14-s portion derived from the entire recording made on a 36-year-old man (weight: 86 kg; height: 183 cm) is shown in Fig. 6. The upper trace represents the respiration curve recorded with the reference module for respiration monitoring, and the lower one shows the corresponding part of the signal coming from the sensor (shown in gray). Additionally, the original signal was denoised through an averaging of 250 samples (with the result shown by the black line).23 The slowly changing “waving” pattern in the sensor signal reflects the activity of the lungs. The arrows indicate the maximum values of the signal during subsequent breaths used by the authors as distinctive points for determining an RR trace. In this
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**Fig. 4** Setup for determining the system’s frequency response.

![Graph showing frequency response](image)

**Fig. 5** Frequency response of the measurement system.
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**Fig. 6** 14-s portion of a recording with visible respiration wave.
way, n local maxima were extracted for the processed 5-min sensor signal. The RR value at the time $t_n$, at which the $n$'th maximum occurred, is defined by the formula

$$RR_n = \frac{60}{t_n - t_{n-1}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Figure 7 shows the 5-min RR traces obtained for each of the subjects, successively, using both (a) readings from the reference recorder and (b) the set of local maxima extracted from the sensor signal. In both cases, the reference RR trace and that obtained on the basis of the sensor signal are of similar shape, and therefore the Bland–Altman assessment of the characteristic point determination was used for quantitatively assessing the sensor’s RR measurement capability. In this graphical method, the differences between the two techniques (i.e., $x_1 - x_2$) are plotted against the averages of the two techniques [i.e., $(x_1 + x_2)/2$]. The better the reproducibility is considered to be, the narrower the ±1.96 SD range containing 95% of the results is. The accuracy of determining the characteristic points from the sensor signal in relation to those determined from the reference signal is shown in Fig. 8(a) for the total pool of data (all subjects). Among the values, 96.10% lie within the limits of agreement (LoA); the results show no systematic error, have no proportional character, and do not depend on the frequency of the distinctive point occurrence. Going further, the sensor’s RR determination capability is estimated in Fig. 8(b), in which 95.02% of the values lie within the LoA of 0.89 respirations per minute (rpm). In contrast to the Bland–Altman assessment of the characteristic point determination, the sample distributions for the RR determination clearly show the dependence on the RR values. This can be explained by the fact that the error in determining characteristic points that is independent on the RR translates into the error in determining the RR, which increases with RR, according to Eq. (4).

The sensor’s RR measurement capability is also estimated in Fig. 9 by calculating the differences between the reference and measured RR values in relation to the average value between them. The relative error span is below 7% [mean relative error (MRE) of RR detection: 5.24% ± 1.02%], which in relation to the number of inhalations per minute gives a value of approximately ±1.2 rpm [mean absolute error (MAE) of intervals between respiration events: 0.65% ± 0.30%]. The results of the sensor’s RR measurement capability evaluation are summarized in Table 1 for each of the subjects as well as for the total pool of data.

### 3.3 Determining Heart Rate

The cyclic disturbances seen on the envelope of the sensor signal shown earlier in Fig. 6 represent the activity of the heart. Hence the following stage of experimental studies included sensor signal processing in the context of the sensor’s HR measurement capability. For a better visualization of the heart activity and due to the need for HR detection, the sensor signal was normalized by reducing it to the reference level of zero through low-pass filtering with a cutoff frequency of 60 Hz, which did not cause a loss of physiological information. Figure 10 shows an enlarged 2.5-s portion of a recording made with the same male subject whose respiration traces were shown in the previous section. The upper trace represents the reference ECG signal (CM5 lead), whereas the lower one shows the corresponding part of the signal recorded by the sensor (gray line). In addition to the low-pass filtering, the signal was denoised by averaging over 25 samples (black line).

According to the literature, the general waveform of the BCG consists of an early peak (H, Fig. 10) related to the motion of the heart early in systole, and the main IJK complex related to the ventricular ejection and aortic flow. It can be assumed approximately that the highest peak (J) occurs at the maximum of the ECG T-wave. It is easy to see that the BCG signal acquired by the sensor is also a sort of a kinetocardiogram in which the movement of the subject’s back in relation to the mattress of the track table is registered rather than the movement of the chest. However, the signal shape can also reflect the flow of blood in the organs of the body.

The arrows shown in Fig. 10 indicate the J peaks of the BCG signal during subsequent heartbeats used for determining the HR trace, in a manner similar to the RR detection procedure. Thus, $m$ J peaks (local maxima) were extracted for the processed 5-min signal from the sensor. The HR value at the time $t_m$, at which the $m$'th maximum occurred, is defined as follows:

$$HR_m = \frac{60}{t_m - t_{m-1}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)
HRm = \frac{60}{t_m - t_{m-1}}. \quad (5)

Figure 11 shows 5-min HR traces obtained for each of the subjects using both (a) the ECG recorder and (b) the HR detection procedure performed on the sensor signal. Again, the sensor and reference traces have similar shapes, and the differences between them are better depicted in Fig. 12 by means of the Bland–Altman plot. First, the accuracy of determining the J peaks from the BCG signal in relation to the R waves determined from the ECG signal is shown in Fig. 12(a) for the total pool of data. 95.89% of the considered samples lie within the \pm 1.96 SD range. Subsequently, the sensor’s HR determination capability is estimated in Fig. 12(b). 95.11% of the values lie within the LoA, and this range is equal to 3.64 beats per minute (bpm). In the same way as the respiration data shown previously, the data for the distinctive point determination are relatively normally distributed (i.e., there are no systematic or proportional errors), and no dependence on the frequency of the distinctive point occurrence is observed, whereas the HR sample collections strongly depend on the HR value. Again, the error in determining characteristic points that is independent on the HR translates into the error in determining the HR (where the error increases with HR), according to Eq. (5).

In addition to the Bland–Altman analysis, a fractional error was shown in Fig. 13 to characterize the usefulness of the proposed measurement method. The error varies within the range of 6.5% (MRE of HR detection: 5.71% \pm 0.61%), which corresponds with approximately \pm 3 bpm (MAE of beat-to-beat intervals: 2.40% \pm 0.46%). Table 2 summarizes the results of the
sensor’s HR measurement capability evaluation, both for individual subjects and for the total pool of data.

### 3.4 Patient Comfort and Safety

At the end of each test, the subject was asked about any negative feelings caused by the presence of the sensor in his or her back, such as excessive rigidity or size of the board, leading to galls or bruises on the subject. None of the subjects reported any symptoms of discomfort caused by the sensor location; no one suffered any prejudice. Finally, the same male subject, whose respiratory and cardiac recordings are shown above in Figs. 6 and 10, was asked to undergo a complete thoracic spine MRI examination for the purpose of assessing the comfort of a subject remaining in the same position for a long time with his back in contact with the sensor. Figure 14 shows (a) RR and (b) HR traces obtained on the basis of the sensor signal acquired during a 39-min exposure. The subject does not need to lie down at a designated position to enable the sensor to acquire his physiological signals. He was able to simply remain in the supine position identical to that taken by patients during a normal spine MRI examination. The physiological signals were correctly acquired from the subject during the entire duration of the scan. The subject did not complain of any discomfort associated with the presence of the sensor in his back.

High values of the EM field generated during the examination did not affect the optical signal propagated in the fiber and did not disturb the sensor operation even once. The clinical evaluation proved that the signal was identical to that obtained without exposure to the EM field. Moreover, the presence of the sensor during the MRI examination did not pose a threat to the patient and had no influence over the quality of the imaging. Figure 15 shows an image of the region of the body under which the sensor was placed; the proposed transducer is transparent to the MRI system. It was verified that the sensor does not introduce any artifacts to the spin-echo (SE) T1-weighted and T2-weighted or to the gradient-echo (GE) T2-weighted imaging sequences.

### Table 1 Results of the sensor’s RR measurement capability evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recording time (min)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of samples (—)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean RR (rpm)</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>17.32</td>
<td>9.98</td>
<td>15.52</td>
<td>12.68</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td>18.62</td>
<td>17.66</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>20.01</td>
<td>16.96</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>16.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (rpm)</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>4.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determining distinctive points</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of samples within LoA (%)</td>
<td>95.83</td>
<td>97.65</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>94.59</td>
<td>96.77</td>
<td>95.95</td>
<td>93.26</td>
<td>91.67</td>
<td>95.45</td>
<td>98.97</td>
<td>95.24</td>
<td>98.86</td>
<td>96.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoA span (s)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determining RR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of samples within LoA (%)</td>
<td>95.83</td>
<td>96.47</td>
<td>93.88</td>
<td>95.95</td>
<td>96.77</td>
<td>91.89</td>
<td>95.51</td>
<td>94.05</td>
<td>93.94</td>
<td>93.81</td>
<td>95.24</td>
<td>96.59</td>
<td>95.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoA span (rpm)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum relative error (%)</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>6.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum absolute error (rpm)</td>
<td>±0.36</td>
<td>±0.45</td>
<td>±0.25</td>
<td>±1.17</td>
<td>±0.88</td>
<td>±1.06</td>
<td>±0.55</td>
<td>±0.89</td>
<td>±0.42</td>
<td>±0.80</td>
<td>±0.45</td>
<td>±0.48</td>
<td>±1.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10 2.5-s portion of a filtered signal with visible wave reflecting heart activity.
3.5 **Signal Quality**

The quality of the sensor signal depends to a large extent on the thickness of the clothing the monitored person is wearing over his or her torso. The thicker the layer of clothing is, the more distorted is the transmission of lung- and heart-induced vibrations to the sensing element. During the experimental studies, the subjects were wearing one or two layers of clothing (i.e., a shirt or T-shirt and a sweatshirt), and no difficulties in the physiological signal acquisition were observed. Although MRI patients usually wear not more than two layers of light, indoor torso garments (room temperature), there are plans for improvement of the system’s reliability by locating several FBGs at various positions inside the mattress that the patient rests on. This treatment will also reduce the influence of other factors that can deteriorate the quality of the signal, such as the sensor arrangement under the patient, the force exerted by the lying patient on the sensor located behind

---

Fig. 11 Heart rate waveforms for each of the subjects in sequence extracted from

Fig. 12 Determination of (a) J peaks and (b) HR assessed using the Bland–Altman method.
Table 2 Results of the sensor’s HR measurement capability evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject No.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recording time (min)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of samples [-]</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>407</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>4334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean HR [bpm]</td>
<td>71.93</td>
<td>50.37</td>
<td>81.82</td>
<td>83.14</td>
<td>75.05</td>
<td>64.40</td>
<td>67.68</td>
<td>63.88</td>
<td>73.52</td>
<td>64.60</td>
<td>82.04</td>
<td>73.65</td>
<td>74.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (bpm)</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>4.09</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Determining distinctive points

| Samples within LoA [%] | 95.98 | 96.64 | 96.50 | 96.31 | 96.58 | 94.59 | 96.21 | 94.77 | 95.93 | 96.12 | 96.77 | 95.45 | 95.89 |
| LoA span (s)           | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  |

Determining HR

| Samples within LoA [%] | 96.78 | 95.52 | 95.75 | 95.82 | 96.05 | 95.95 | 96.50 | 94.46 | 95.12 | 95.82 | 96.31 | 93.18 | 95.11 |
| LoA span (bpm)         | 3.77  | 1.89  | 4.00  | 3.94  | 3.86  | 2.83  | 3.27  | 2.82  | 3.60  | 3.07  | 5.03  | 3.67  | 3.64  |
| Maximum relative error [%] | 6.03 | 4.11 | 5.99 | 5.79 | 6.25 | 6.00 | 5.58 | 6.12 | 6.76 | 5.76 | 6.36 | 5.54 | 6.36 |
| Maximum absolute error (bpm) | ±2.59 | ±1.25 | ±2.57 | ±2.66 | ±2.63 | ±2.56 | ±2.17 | ±1.89 | ±2.76 | ±2.59 | ±2.96 | ±2.20 | ±2.96 |

Fig. 13 Relative error of HR determination as a fraction of the average value.

Fig. 14 (a) RR and (b) HR during a complete thoracic spine MRI examination.
him, and individual features of the patient. All of these elements
determine the value of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), which for
the respiration wave presented in Fig. 6 is specified as 18.27 dB
with respect to the signal obtained during the 0.5-min period of
apnea. Similarly, the SNR level for the BCG signal shown in
Fig. 10 is equal to 3.37 dB, estimated on the basis of the normal-
ized signal in relation to the same signal but with heart-
induced disturbances removed.57

One of the main features of ballistocardiography-based sys-
tems is their sensitivity to any body movements, which disturbs
the signal carrying physiological information.58 Figure 16 shows
an example of a signal artifact induced by a short displacement
of the track table. The artifact amplitude is five times higher than
the signal amplitude produced by the heartbeat. Other move-
ments such as coughs or changes to the body position may
cause more significant distortions of the sensor signal.
Therefore, BCG devices designed for clinical use usually
have to be equipped with additional software or hardware mod-
ules that can eliminate or compensate for high-amplitude body
movements. Software filtering is the simplest means by which to
compensate, at least partially, for the disturbances. Special
arrangements of equipment that eliminate the influence of
high vibrations can be based, for example, on FBGs or acceler-
ometers not registering vibrations produced by the activity of
the lungs or heart. The output signals in these arrangements
were used for compensating the influence of disturbances to
the sensor output signal. However, the sensor in the presented
version can be successfully used to monitor respiration and heart
rate during an MRI examination, where the patient remains still
for tens of minutes.

4 Discussion
The RR and HR determination capabilities of the sensor depend
mainly on the signal quality, and this issue was discussed in the
previous section. In general, a noisier sensor signal indicates less
unequivocal location of the distinctive points proposed for deter-
mining the RR and HR. The sensor shows maximum errors of
±1.2 rpm and ±3 bpm when compared with the reference RR

![Fig. 15](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/057006-11/May-2013-Vol.18(5)/Dziuda-et-al-Fiber-Bragg-grating-based-sensor-for-monitoring-respiration-and-heart-activity)
and HR, respectively, and these values should be referred to results obtained using other fiber-optic sensors. Although it is difficult to compare our results with those publicized by other groups as their outcomes are often very modest and thus not objective, we attempt in this section to assess the proposed sensor with respect to other solutions.

The largest group of publications has been presented by the research team that worked on the OFSETH project. They developed three different fiber-optic designs, all textile-based: an FBG sensor, a macrobending sensor, and an optical time domain reflectometry sensor. Their sensors measure elongations of the abdominal circumference during breathing movements and target the monitoring of sedated or anaesthetized patients under MRI. In their numerous publications, they provide information on the percentage textile elongation only, and make no mention of measurement error or accuracy.

Other MRI-compatible fiber-optic sensors embedded into textiles are presented in Ref. 36, though the paper concentrates mainly on the signal processing and does not deliver quantitative data on the sensor performance, and in Ref. 37 where the authors verified the influence of the sensor over the quality of imaging, but did not provide sufficient respiratory data.

A simultaneous respiratory and cardiac frequency measurement using a single FBG sensor embedded into a polymeric foil attached to the patient’s T-shirt is described in Ref. 38. The sensor was tested on a group of 12 healthy subjects (ages 20 to 30 years) in laboratory conditions, without exposure to the EM field. In contrast with the results shown in this paper, where the figures are supplemented by the tabulated data, those authors present their outcomes mainly in graphical form, and therefore it is difficult to compare the two techniques objectively. However, careful analysis of the graphical data shows an improved sensitivity of the sensor embedded in wearable textiles; specifically, such a sensor has a BCG signal amplitude that is approximately two times larger and a respiratory signal amplitude that is approximately three times larger.

An innovative system for respiratory function monitoring comprising an array of 40 FBGs embedded into a specially designed textile vest is presented in Ref. 39. The sensor system is distinguished by a high accuracy but is complex, and the authors aimed at advanced measurements of three-dimensional volumetric changes of the human torso rather than common RR monitoring. Another multisensor system employs 12 FBGs (in a 3×4 array) embedded in arc-shaped metal bridges and is deployed on the bed beneath the mattress supporting the patient. The authors carried out laboratory trials on 10 subjects and showed a maximum error of ±1 rpm when compared with manual counting. They noted the sensor’s ability to measure HR; however, corroborating data have not been made available so far.

BCG signal acquisition has been documented in several papers, where a macrobending fiber-optic sensor was embedded into a cushion to either be placed on a chair or used as a pillow. The laboratory evaluations involved five volunteers (ages 25 to 49 years), and the authors demonstrated an average accuracy above 95%; however, the maximum error achieved was ±5.5 bpm.

The list of fiber-optic devices for monitoring human vital signs should include interferometric and modalmetric sensors, of which the Michelson interferometer presented in Refs. 17 to 19 deserves special attention as the literature provides the most relevant data. The sensor was distributed on the bed surface and tested with the participation of 20 subjects during rest and 10 subjects after cycling an ergometer. The measurement system exhibits an MRE equal to 7.35% ± 7.20% for intervals between respiration events, and 3.16% ± 3.32% for beat-to-beat intervals, during rest. Slightly worse results were obtained after physical effort. However, the maximum relative error exceeds the value of 30% for the RR detection and is within 17% for the HR detection.

Among various fiber-optic devices for monitoring vital signs in the MRI environment, the sensor presented in this paper allows respiration and heart activity signals to be acquired simultaneously, without the need for the patient to wear any special clothes. Compared with the results obtained by other groups, the difference plots as well as the relative error traces demonstrate the RR and HR detections with an acceptable accuracy for multiple subjects. As a logical next step following the in-depth literature analysis presented in this section, our future works will be directed toward multiplying sensing elements in order to improve the system’s reliability and accuracy.

5 Conclusions

We have proposed and tested a simple sensor design based on well-known components of fiber-optic technology, which allows for noninvasive monitoring of respiration and heart activity. The main advantage of the presented solution is its immunity to EM interference that predisposes the sensor for use in the MRI environment. The signal from the FBG-based sensor is spectrally encoded, enabling protection against amplitude distortions. It was shown that high values of the EM field generated by the MRI scanner neither affected the optical signal propagated in the fiber nor disturbed the sensor operation. The metal-free construction ensured safety for the patient and did not affect the quality of imaging.

The results showed that the sensor was able to accurately reflect the BCG signal enabling determination of the RR and HR traces. The statistical analysis confirmed good measuring properties of the sensor that met the basic requirements for monitoring a patient during an MRI examination. The Bland–Altman method allowed the depiction of the normal distribution of the data for the characteristic point determination as well as to show the clear dependence of the data on the RR and HR values for the RR and HR determination, respectively. In addition to the statistical results, the maximum errors in RR and HR were within 7% (±1.2 rpm) and 6.5% (±3 bpm) of the average values, respectively.

The spectrally encoded sensor signal brings another benefit in the form of easy multiplexing. This feature will enable signal acquisition from multiple FBGs located in several different places under the monitored person’s body, and addressing all the FBGs with one optical fiber. The aim of this approach will be to improve the reliability and optimize the measuring system, while maintaining its simple design and low costs.

Future work will also concentrate on implementing the sensor in routine MRI examinations, which will enable increasing the number, and diversity, of the subjects as well as performing various types of additional research. In particular, the subjects’ behavior and their respiration rate variability will be a matter of thorough analysis in terms of the hyperventilation phenomenon frequently seen during MRI examinations. A deep investigation is highly desirable in the context of preventing panic symptoms in patients examined using MRI scanners and will therefore facilitate such a diagnostic test.
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