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Abstract. Photoacoustic ophthalmoscopy (PAOM) is a high-resolution in vivo imaging modality that is capable of providing specific optical absorption information for the retina. A high-frequency ultrasonic transducer is one of the key components in PAOM, which is in contact with the eyelid through coupling gel during imaging. The ultrasonic transducer plays a crucial role in determining the image quality affected by parameters such as spatial resolution, signal-to-noise ratio, and field of view. In this paper, we present the results of a systematic study on a high-frequency ultrasonic transducer design for PAOM. The design includes piezoelectric material selection, frequency selection, and the fabrication process. Transducers of various designs were successfully applied for capturing images of biological samples in vivo. The performances of these designs are compared and evaluated.
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1 Introduction

As a branch of photoacoustic microscopy (PAM), laser-scanning PAM (LS-PAM)1–3 is an emerging high-speed, high-resolution three-dimensional (3-D) imaging technology based on optical-absorption contrast. Recently, photoacoustic ophthalmoscopy (PAOM) has successfully extended LS-PAM to ophthalmic imaging, which is capable of imaging the retinal microvasculature and retinal pigment epithelium without mechanically scanning the ultrasonic transducer.4–6 By providing specific optical-absorption imaging of the retina, PAOM is promising in the early diagnosis of many retinal diseases, such as diabetic retinopathy and age-related macular degeneration.5,6

The image quality of PAOM is governed by three parameters: image resolution, image contrast, and field of view (FOV). The spatial resolution of PAOM is determined by both optics and the ultrasonic transducer. The smallest achievable spot size of the illuminating light determines the lateral resolution, while the center frequency and the bandwidth of the ultrasonic transducer determine the axial resolution. Thus, a high-frequency transducer is required for PAOM to resolve the retinal blood vessels from the retinal pigment epithelium layer for quantitative functional studies.7

Due to ocular safety concerns, the laser pulse energy must be below the ANSI safety limit. As a result, the sensitivity of the ultrasonic transducer plays a key role in determining the contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) of PAOM, which in turn is determined by the geometry, frequency, and piezoelectric material of the ultrasonic transducers. Geometry such as the aperture size was related to clamped dielectric permittivity. For example, material of higher clamped dielectric permittivity was suitable for making a small aperture size transducer and a mismatch of aperture reduces the detection sensitivity. In material selection, electromechanical coupling coefficient was directly related to the energy conversion efficiency, which determines the detection sensitivity of a transducer. Moreover, to achieve a high imaging speed and avoid mechanical scanning in ophthalmic applications, the transducer is kept stationary during imaging. Consequently, the FOV is determined by the effective beam width of the ultrasonic transducer. Generally, the sound field of an ultrasonic transducer is divided into two parts: the near-field and the far-field with a transitional focal zone in between. Different from conventional PAM, which uses a confocal configuration where the illuminating light is focused in the focal zone of a focused ultrasonic transducer, the imaging target in PAOM is placed in the far-field of an unfocused transducer to provide an FOV as large as possible.8 For practical applications of PAOM, the FOV will need to be improved in order to facilitate the alignment of the optical illumination and the orientation of the transducer. A question was then raised: can we improve the FOV by using a focused transducer in the far-field? Compared with an unfocused transducer, a focused ultrasonic transducer has a narrower ultrasonic beam around the focal zone, while in the far-field, the ultrasonic beam becomes more divergent and may provide a larger FOV. However, a foreseeable tradeoff is that the detection sensitivity is lower in the far-field of such a transducer.

Although many efforts are being carried out in high-frequency transducer design to improve PAOM image quality, an optimized design for PAOM has not yet been developed. In this paper, we report our systematic study of high-frequency ultrasonic transducers for PAOM, including piezoelectric material selection, frequency selection, and fabrication technique.
2 Methods

2.1 Transducer Design and Simulation

The most critical component of designing an ultrasonic transducer is the selection of the piezoelectric material, which converts the acoustic energy to an electrical signal. Possessing good piezoelectric properties, Pb(Zr, Ti)O$_3$ is the most popular polycrystalline ferroelectric ceramic material for fabricating ultrasonic transducers.\textsuperscript{9,10} Besides polycrystalline ceramics, single crystals, such as LiNbO$_3$ (Refs. 11 and 12) and Pb(Mg$_{1/3}$Nb$_{2/3}$)$_2$O$_5$ – PbTiO$_3$ (PMN-PT)\textsuperscript{13-15} are also used for ultrasonic transducers due to their outstanding dielectric and electromechanical properties. Different from the polycrystalline ceramics, single crystals do not suffer from grain size and porosity issues when fabricating very thin piezoelectric layers and thus are more desirable for high-frequency transducers without scaling limitations.\textsuperscript{16} Both LiNbO$_3$ and PMN-PT have a satisfactory electromechanical coupling coefficient $k_t$ (e.g., LiNbO$_3$ ~0.39, PMN-PT ~0.58), which is favorable for high-sensitivity transducers. However, PMN-PT exhibits a much higher clamped dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon_r/\varepsilon_0$ than LiNbO$_3$ (e.g., LiNbO$_3$ ~39, PMN-PT ~800), which is selected for designing smaller-aperture transducers. Requiring a small aperture and high sensitivity, currently PMN-PT should be the first choice for PAOM imaging system.

In general, the piezoelectric element of an ultrasonic transducer operates at its thickness mode and the resonant frequency is determined by the thickness of the piezoelectric layer given by\textsuperscript{17}

$$t = n c_p / 2 f.$$  (1)

The lowest resonance frequency is achieved when $n = 1$, where $n$ is an odd integer, and $c_p$ is the acoustic velocity in the piezoelectric material. The PA signal is broadband and most PAM systems use transducers with frequencies varying from 20 to 60 MHz.\textsuperscript{18} In PAOM, a 20 MHz ultrasonic transducer provides sufficient axial resolution (75 $\mu$m theoretically) to differentiate the retinal blood vessels from the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) layer.\textsuperscript{19} A further increase in frequency may sacrifice the detection sensitivity due to the higher attenuation at higher frequencies. We first designed three unfocused transducers with different center frequencies (20, 30, and 40 MHz) to demonstrate this image quality change. To match the standard 50 $\Omega$ impedance of the receiver electronics, the aperture size and thickness of matching layers of the transducers were determined by using PiezoCAD (KLM model-based simulation software, Sonic Concepts, Woodinville, WA). The thickness of the polarized PMN-PT material for 20, 30, and 40 MHz transducer were also optimized by PiezoCAD and lapped to be 100, 65, and 40 $\mu$m, respectively. The general fabrication technique described by Cannata et al.\textsuperscript{12} was modified and used in this study. A first matching layer was laid over to the negative electrode (front site) of the PMN-PT element to damp the energy radiation from the back site of the element and provide structural support. Before assembling the functional element into the steel needle housing, an electrical connector was fixed into the backing material. Finally, parylene was vapor deposited on the whole transducer as a second matching layer and protective coating. A picture and the 3-D cutting drawing of the transducer are shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), respectively.

Normally, focusing increases the sensitivity around the focal zone of the high-frequency ultrasonic transducer in pulse-echo imaging. According to acoustic reciprocity, the transmitting pressure response at an imaging point from a transducer is identical to that received by the transducer from a point source. In the far-field, the beam profile of the focused ultrasonic transducer diverges and may provide a larger FOV. To study the focusing effect on PAOM imaging, we designed and fabricated a 30 MHz focused transducer to make a comparison study with the 30 MHz unfocused transducer. Both of the transducers have a square shape with an aperture size of 0.5 mm. To make the focused transducer, a spherical ball of 1 mm radius was used to mechanically press on its functional element to achieve an f number (F#) of 2 with the manufacturing process detailed below. A mold was customized to fit the size of the steel needle housing and align concentrically the needle housing with the spherical ball. The mold and transducer were incubated at temperatures $>$90°C during the press-focusing process and then cooled down to room temperature before the focusing was achieved. Since the incubated temperature may exceed the depoling temperature (60 to 95°C) for binary PMN-PT crystal, the focused transducer was poled in a DC electric field of 30 kV/cm for 5 min at room temperature to induce the piezoelectric effect. The sound fields of the two transducers were simulated using Field II with the same design parameters to visualize the effect of focusing on the FOV\textsuperscript{20,21} The simulation data were then compared to the in vivo imaging results.

2.2 Experimental System

Figure 2 shows a schematic of the experimental system. The illuminating light source was a frequency-doubled Q-switched Nd:YAG laser (SPOT-10-100-532, Elforlight Ltd, Daventry, UK: 532 nm; 10 $\mu$J/pulse; 2 ns pulse duration; maximal pulse repetition rate: 30 kHz). The output laser light was first attenuated with a neutral density (ND) filter before being coupled into a single-mode optical fiber. The light reflected from the surface of the ND filter is detected by a photodiode to provide the trigger signal for PAOM data acquisition. The
output laser light from the fiber was collimated into a beam diameter of 6 mm, combined with the probing light of a superluminescent diode; PC, polarization controller; L1 to L4, lens; FP, fiberport; M, mirror.

The induced PA waves from the sample were detected by an ultrasonic transducer, which was placed in contact with the eye-lid coupled by ultrasound gel. The detected PA signals were first amplified by 66 dB and then digitized by a high-speed digitizer (CompuScope14200, Gage Applied Technologies, Lachine, Canada) at a sampling rate of 200 MHz/s. In our current study, the laser pulse energy was measured to be 40 nJ after the ocular lens, which is lower than the ANSI safety limit. The OCT was controlled by an analogue-output board, whose sample clock was used to trigger the laser.
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3.2 Effect of Transducer Center Frequency on PAOM Image

The maximum-amplitude projection (MAP) PAOM images of the same albino rat’s eye acquired with the three unfocused transducers are shown in Figs. 4(a) to 4(c) (all of the three images are displayed in the same dynamic range of 25 dB). The major retinal blood vessels were clearly displayed with high PA signals within the FOV of the three images. In the retinal blood vessels, the PA signals originated from the high optical absorption of hemoglobin in the red blood cells. Since there is no melanin in the RPE cells of an albino rat, the laser light penetrated through the RPE layer into the choroid without generating PA signals in the RPE layer. As a result, the densely packed capillary vessel network appeared in the PAOM images indicated by the red arrow. The 20 MHz transducer [Fig. 4(a)] provided the highest contrast for the retinal blood vessels, and only the 20 MHz transducer can capture the choroidal vessels in the whole FOV, while the choroid layer was only partially captured by the 30 MHz [Fig. 4(b)] and 40 MHz [Fig. 4(c)] transducers. None of the three transducers were able to resolve a single capillary in the choroid by using the divergent receiving field far away from the focal zone.

The CNR was calculated by using the following equation:\textsuperscript{23,24}

\[
\text{CNR} = \sqrt{\frac{(\text{mean}_t - \text{mean}_n)^2}{\text{var}_t + \text{var}_n}}.
\] (5)

where mean\(_t\) and mean\(_n\) denote the mean of the signal of the imaging target and the mean of the background noise; var\(_t\) and var\(_n\) represent the signal variance of the imaging target and the background noise. In this case, the imaging target is the main retinal blood vessels that can be extracted from the 3-D PAOM data. The 20 MHz transducer provided the highest CNR of 16.5 dB, followed by the 30 MHz transducer of 14 dB, and then the 40 MHz transducer of 10.4 dB. The CNR value of the MAP image of PAOM could be a parameter representing the transducer’s detection sensitivity for the broadband PA signal. These results generally agreed with the pulse-echo measurements in Sec. 3.1. Since the PA signal originated in the far-field of the ultrasonic transducer, the acoustic attenuation was the dominant factor affecting the detection sensitivity, which proportionally increases with the frequency. The acoustic attenuation is defined as

\[
\alpha = \alpha_0 f^\gamma,
\] (6)

where \(\alpha_0\) is the attenuation coefficient at 1 MHz and \(\gamma\) is a frequency-dependent parameter. In other words, the relatively lower-frequency components in the PA signal were attenuated less than the higher-frequency components during the propagation in ocular tissue. Therefore, the 20 MHz transducer’s bandwidth had a better match for the lower-frequency components of the PA signal and provided better detection sensitivity than the higher-frequency transducers. The corresponding B-scan images of the dashed line in Figs. 4(a) to 4(c) are shown in

![Fig. 3](a) Frequency response of 20 MHz transducer at 6 mm (f\(_c\): 17 MHz, BW: 37%). (b) Frequency response of 30 MHz transducer at 6 mm (f\(_c\): 30.5 MHz, BW: 53%). (c) Frequency response of 40 MHz transducer at 6 mm (f\(_c\): 39 MHz, BW: 39%). (d) Frequency response of 30 MHz focused transducer (F = 2) at 6 mm (f\(_c\): 30 MHz, BW: 60%).
Figs. 4(d) to 4(f), respectively. The retinal blood vessels could be differentiated from the choroid layer along the axial direction. The four retinal vessels indicated by numbers 1 to 4 in Fig. 4(d) are displayed with their corresponding lateral position and size. Compared with retinal vessel No. 1, retinal vessel Nos. 2 to 4 just came out of the optical disc without choroid capillaries shown behind. The 40 MHz transducer has the highest axial resolution followed by the 30 and 20 MHz transducers, which agreed with the wire phantom results. Even though the axial resolution was affected by the center frequency of the ultrasonic transducer, the 20 MHz transducer had sufficient axial resolution to resolve retinal vessels in rat eye imaging in our experiments. All three MAP images showed no noticeable difference in the lateral resolution because the spot size of the illuminating light determined lateral resolution. These results suggest that when applying PAOM to human retinal imaging, due to the much larger size of human eye, reducing the transducer’s frequency could be an effective way to achieve better PAOM imaging quality.

3.3 Effect of Transducer Focusing on PAOM Image

The simulation results of both the unfocused and focused transducers are shown in Fig. 5. The unfocused transducer had a natural focal zone located at 1.5 mm, and the focused transducer ($F = 2$) had a focal length of 1 mm. The beam profile 6 mm away from the transducer surface, where the rat retina was placed during in vivo imaging, shows that the focused transducer...
has a wider −6 dB beam width to form a larger FOV, but a reduced sensitivity. To quantitatively estimate the FOV of the transducer, we imaged a black tape target. The full width of half maximum of the MAP of the received PA signals was calculated to provide an approximation of the transducers’ FOV. The −6 dB intensity MAP retinal images were also processed to quantitatively measure the FOV in actual retinal images by using the same evaluation criteria. Figures 6(a) and 6(e) show the rat retinal images captured by the 30 MHz unfocused and focused transducers, respectively. The FOVs of the two images (indicated by the yellow circle) were estimated to be 0.8 and 1.0 mm in diameter in the −6 dB intensity images [Figs. 6(b) and 6(f)], demonstrating that focusing was capable of providing approximately a 20% increase of FOV, which also agreed with the results of imaging with the black tape target. The B-scan images of the green dashed line in Figs. 6(a) and 6(e) are shown in Figs. 6(c) and 6(g). The unfocused transducer could only resolve two retinal vessels due to its limited FOV, while the focused transducer could still clearly differentiate three retinal vessels even with a relatively high noise level at the edge of the FOV. A tiny side branch of retinal vessels indicated by the dashed circle was resolved by the focused transducer in the Field II simulation results and shows that the unfocused and focused transducers exhibited their respective strengths in PAOM imaging.

4 Conclusions

We have carried out a systematic study on the ultrasonic transducers at high frequencies specifically designed for PAOM. Both ex vivo and in vivo imaging experiments were conducted to compare and evaluate the designs. From the experimental results we can conclude that the 20 MHz transducer has an advantage of better detection sensitivity while providing sufficient image resolution. In addition, focusing could effectively increase the FOV of PAOM image but with a tradeoff of degrading the detection sensitivity. We hope this study may help the future development of transducers in clinical applications of PAOM.
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