Simultaneous refractive index and thickness measurements of bio tissue by optical coherence tomography
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Abstract. This paper presents two techniques based on optical coherence tomography, the “focus tracking method” and the “optical path shifting method,” for determining refractive index and thickness simultaneously, which are especially useful for bio tissues. From comparison of these two methods, it was found that the focus tracking method is suitable for in vivo measurement, but does not have high precision. The optical path shifting method is limited to in vitro measurement, but has high precision. Using the optical path shifting method, the refractive indices of cucumber were measured at the wavelength of 850 and 1300 nm. © 2002 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.1301887]
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1 Introduction
Noninvasive optical imaging methods such as optical coherence tomography (OCT) need to know the refractive index for high precision measurement. In an OCT measurement, the measured value of microstructure thickness is not its real thickness, but its optical thickness $n \cdot t$, where $n$ and $t$ are the refractive index and thickness of the sample, respectively. Knowledge of the refractive index distribution in tissues is also important for forming a fundamental understanding of the propagation of light in tissue and permits better planning of laser treatment and surgery.1 There are many traditional methods for measuring refractive index such as white-light interferometry, prism dispersion, and planar reflection methods. However, when the object is a turbid medium, such as bio tissue, which has strong scattering, these methods will be invalid. Another reason for precluding these methods is the nonuniformity of thickness and the flexibility of shape of the sample. To solve the problems, new techniques are proposed, which can be classified into two kinds: “focus tracking method” and “optical path shifting method.”1–3 In some cases, the “full-reflection method” can also be used.4 In this paper, we discuss the principles of the focus tracking method and the optical path shifting method, both based on OCT technique. In the following sections we will show how we modify the methods to be suitable for practice and give some results of our experiment. Finally, the advantages and disadvantages of the two methods are compared.

2 Methods and Results
OCT is an efficient imaging technique that uses low coherence interferometry to gate out multiply scattered photons.7 The interference signal will be observed only when the optical path length of the sample arm and the reference arm matches to be within the coherence length of the source. When the optical path difference (OPD) is zero, the observed signal reaches maximum. The schematic of an OCT system is shown in Figure 1.

2.1 Focus Tracking Method
2.1.1 Method Description
This technique for determining the refractive index of bio tissue was originally proposed by Tearney et al.1 We extend it for multilayer media.2 The procedure can be summarized as follows:

(i) adjust the objective in the sample arm to make it focus on top of the layer $m$ of the sample. Move the reference mirror until we get the maximum signal, which means the optical path lengths of sample arm and reference arm match;
(ii) move the sample a distance $\Delta x_m$ towards the objective, until the focus is at the bottom surface of the layer $m$ of the sample;
(iii) move the reference mirror a distance $\Delta y_m$ to maximize the OCT signal again; and
(iv) finally, by use of Snell’s law and some reduction, the refractive index of the layer $m$ of the sample can be determined by the following formula:

$$n_m^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ N.A. + \sqrt{N.A.^2 + 4(n_0 - N.A.)^2 (n_0 + \frac{\Delta y_m}{\Delta x_m})} \right]$$

(1)

where $n_0$ and $n_m$ are the refractive indices of the surrounding medium and of the sample, respectively. N.A. is the numerical
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aperture of the imaging objective, N.A. = \( n_m \sin \theta_m = n_0 \sin \theta_0 \). \( \theta_0 \) and \( \theta_m \) are the incident angle on the first layer and refractive angle on top of the layer \( m \) of the sample, respectively. Theoretically, we can measure the refractive index of multilayer bio tissue noninvasively by using this method. However, in step ii, it is the sample, not the objective, that will be moved. This is not suitable for in vivo measurement, especially when the object is large or is a living being.

### 2.1.2 Modifications and Experimental Results

Noticing that the moving of the sample is equivalent to the reverse moving of the objective, we choose to move the objective instead of the sample. This modification makes it convenient for practical use since it does not need the moving of the sample. Another advantage is that the final expression of refractive index becomes very simple when N.A. is small enough. The reason for this will be explained in the following sections.

The modified method can be described as follows:

1. adjust the objective in the sample arm to focus on top of the layer \( m \) of the sample. Move the reference mirror until we get the maximum signal, which means the optical path lengths of sample arm and reference arm match;
2. move the objective lens a distance \( \Delta x_m \) towards the sample until the focus is on the bottom surface of layer \( m \) of the sample, as shown in Figure 2;
3. move the reference mirror a distance \( \Delta y_m \) to maximize the OCT signal again. Apparently, with \( \Delta x_m \) and \( \Delta y_m \) known, we can calculate the refractive index \( n_m \) and the thickness of layer \( m \) \( d_m \) as follows:

\[
n_0 \Delta y_m = n_m \cdot d_m. \tag{2}
\]

According to the definition of N.A.

\[
n_m \sin \theta_m = n_0 \sin \theta_0 = \text{N.A.} \tag{3}
\]

Since a light “thread” will not change its direction before and after moving the objective, the following equation is obtained

\[
d_m \tan \theta_m = \Delta x_m \tan \theta_0. \tag{4}
\]

From Eqs. (2) and (4)

\[
n_m = \frac{\Delta y_m}{\Delta x_m} \cdot \frac{\tan \theta_m}{\tan \theta_0}. \tag{5}
\]

From Eq. (3)

\[
\frac{\tan \theta_m}{\tan \theta_0} = \frac{n_0^2 - \text{N.A.}^2}{n_m^2 - \text{N.A.}^2}. \tag{6}
\]

Substitute Eq. (6) into Eq. (5)

\[
n_m^4 - \text{N.A.}^2 n_m^2 - (n_0^2 - \text{N.A.}^2) \left( \frac{\Delta y_m}{\Delta x_m} \right)^2 = 0. \tag{7}
\]

Solving the equation we get

\[
n_m^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \text{N.A.}^2 + \sqrt{\text{N.A.}^4 + 4(n_0^2 - \text{N.A.}^2) \left( \frac{\Delta y_m}{\Delta x_m} \right)^2} \right]. \tag{8}
\]

With \( n_m \) known, \( d_m \) is obtained by

\[
\Delta x_m - d_1 \sin \theta_0 - d_m \sin \theta_m \]
Equations (8) and (9) give the refractive index and thickness of layer $m$ of the sample.

When $\theta_0 = 0$, i.e., N.A. = 0, Eq. (8) can be reduced to

$$n_m = \sqrt{n_0 \Delta y_m / \Delta x_m}$$

(10)

and

$$d_m = \sqrt{\Delta x_m \cdot n_0 \Delta y_m}.$$  

(11)

These formulas are very simple and clear. Using this method, in vivo measurement becomes more practicable. At any time, the neglect of N.A. will cause the measured refractive index to be larger than the real value. It can be proven that this deviation increases with the increase of $\Delta y_m / \Delta x_m$. In most cases, the refractive index of bio issue will not exceed 1.5. Therefore, $\Delta y_m / \Delta x_m$ or $n_m^2$ will not be greater than 2.25, thus the upper limit of the deviation of neglecting N.A. will be obtained. The smaller the $n_m^2$ is, the smaller the deviation. Figure 3 shows the relationship of $n_m$ vs N.A., where $\Delta y_m / \Delta x_m$ is set to 2.25. The curve is nearly a straight line when N.A. ranges from 0 to 0.1. In fact, the deviation is less than 0.2% for N.A. = 0.1 and less than 0.6% for N.A. = 0.2, that is precise enough for the refractive index and thickness measurements of bio tissue. To conclude, if the incident light beams are "thin" enough, so that N.A. < 0.2, $n_m$ and $d_m$ can be calculated by Eqs. (10) and (11). In our experiments, the diameter of the light beam is about 1.5 mm, focal length is about 3.0 cm, N.A. is about 0.01, and so the relationship mentioned above is satisfied.

To verify the feasibility of this method, we measured a fused silica with known thickness of 1036.5 $\mu$m and the refractive index can be obtained from a handbook. The results are shown in Table 1. The measured values are very close to the known value.

**Table 1** Refractive index measurement of fused silica by focus tracking method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength</th>
<th>Measured $n$</th>
<th>Known value of $n$</th>
<th>Measured thickness ($\mu$m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1300 nm</td>
<td>1.45±0.01</td>
<td>1.447</td>
<td>1038±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850 nm</td>
<td>1.45±0.01</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>1041±6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2 Optical Length Shifting Method

#### 2.2.1 Method and Modification

Compared with the focus tracking method, the optical path shifting method is simpler. This method originated from optical low-coherence reflectometry (OLCR). But OLCR is not simple. Moreover, because it uses diverging probe beam to measure transparent media, there is a disadvantage that intense light source should be used for turbid media to achieve distinguishable signals. We modified this method by simplifying its procedure and using focused beam to get a strong signal so that it is suitable for measuring of turbid media such as bio tissue.

As mentioned above, only when the optical path length of the sample and reference arm match, will the observed interference signal reach maximum. So it can be used to measure distance precisely.

First, a mirror in the sample arm is needed. We place it on the scanning stage. Before the sample is inserted into the optical path, the optical path lengths of reference arm and the sample arm are adjusted to match each other, i.e., the OPD is zero. The position of the mirror in the sample arm is denoted by $Z_0$. Then we place the sample on top of the mirror and move the mirror in the reference arm to new position $Z_2$, as shown in Figure 4, to make the optical path length match again. The OPD is given by

$$\Delta Z = Z_2 - Z_0 = (n - 1)L,$$

where $L$ is the thickness of the sample; $L$ can be achieved by moving the reference mirror from position $Z_0$ to $Z_1$, where another maximum interference signal will be observed. Thus
With $L$ and $\Delta Z$, the refractive index is derived by

$$n = 1 + \Delta Z/L.$$  

It should be noticed that when using this method, the detected light beams of both arms must be collimated in order that the measured refractive index is the group index and the measurement precision is high. In the focus tracking method, the difference between the phase index and the group index is neglected. Without using the focus lens, the signal-to-noise ratio is low, especially when the sample is a turbid medium such as bio tissue. To solve the problem, we use the lens that has high depth of field. In this case, light in a small region near the focus point can still be treated as collimated. The region has the order of the depth of field. Because the thickness of the sample is thin, usually 1 mm, we can easily get a lens which has the depth of field of above 1 mm. Using this lens, the measured refractive index can be considered approximately as group index and will not lose its precision. To meet this condition, we only need to select a lens which has a proper value of $f/d$; the depth of field $b$ is determined by the following formula:

$$b = \frac{8\lambda}{\pi} \left( \frac{f}{d} \right)^2,$$  

where $f$ is the focal length, $d$ is the diameter of the light beam. In our experiment, a lens with focal length of 3.1 cm at 1300 nm is used. The diameter of the light beam is 1.5 mm. So the depth of field is 1.4 mm at the wavelength 1300 nm. The sample is cut into slices so that its thickness does not exceed 1 mm, which assures that the measured refractive index is nearly the true group index.

### 2.2.2 Experiment results

To verify the feasibility of this method, we measured the same fused silica used in the focus tracking method. The results shown in Table 2 are coincident with the results previously measured by the focus tracking method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength</th>
<th>Measured $n_g$</th>
<th>Known value of $n_g$</th>
<th>Measured thickness ($\mu$m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1300 nm</td>
<td>1.447±0.005</td>
<td>1.447</td>
<td>1036±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850 nm</td>
<td>1.455±0.005</td>
<td>1.452</td>
<td>1033±5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where $L = Z_1 - Z_0$.

Table 2. Refractive index measurement of fused silica by optical path shifting method.

In conclusion, the focus tracking method is suitable for refractive index measurement of bio tissue in vivo, but it cannot distinguish group index and phase index. The optical path shifting method can only be used for in vitro refractive index measurement, but its result can be treated approximately as pure group index and is more accurate. The combination of these two methods will greatly enlarge our knowledge scope on the optical properties of bio tissue.

### 3 Comparison and Discussion

The above two methods based on OCT can be used to measure the refractive index and thickness simultaneously. This is very useful when the thickness of the sample is not known or is nonuniform.

When using the optical path shifting method, a mirror in the sample arm is necessary for enhancing observed signals. The sample slice must be cut off from its main body to make it suitable to be placed on top of the mirror. So it can only be used in vitro. The focus tracking method does not need a mirror in the sample arm and can be used in vivo. Generally, the reflection on the rough surface of bio tissue is mainly diffuse reflection. For the medium with thickness greater than 1 photon mean free path (MFP), most photons propagate in it and will suffer scattering more than once, which will strongly weaken the observed signals. For a dermis tissue which has absorbing coefficient $\mu_a = 2.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, scattering coefficient $\mu_s = 187 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and anisotropy factor $g = 0.82$ at the wavelength of 633 nm, if its thickness is about 1 mm, i.e., 300 MFP, the diffuse reflecting light from the back surface will be greatly weakened. This causes the signal from the back surface to be overwhelmed by the noise and it can hardly be detected. It becomes more serious when the intensity of the light source must be limited to some extent. A possible solution to this is to use a high N.A. lens to reduce the size of the focus. Utilizing the confocal condition, a great part of the noise will be gated out. However, its precision will decrease. For a multilayer medium, the problem becomes even severe. Though the focus tracking method can be used to measure the refractive index of a multilayer bio tissue theoretically, no report of successful measurement on this respect has been found.

If we have already adjusted the measuring system to a ready state before using the optical path shifting method, the reference mirror only needs to be moved twice to get all the parameters that are necessary to determine the thickness and refractive index of the sample. In one scan, the mirror is moved towards the detector. In another scan, the mirror is moved against the detector. All can be done within several minutes. This also helps to increase the precision of the measurement. If the measuring time is too long, the bio-tissue sample will be dehydrated and denaturalized, which will lead to the change of its physical properties and the measured refractive index will lose its physiological meaning. From the above experiments, it can be seen that the optical path shifting method has relatively smaller error and higher precision. Furthermore, by using the optical path shifting method, we can get the group index of the sample at different wavelengths.

In conclusion, the focus tracking method is suitable for refractive index measurement of bio tissue in vivo, but it cannot distinguish group index and phase index. The optical path shifting method can only be used for in vitro refractive index measurement, but its result can be treated approximately as pure group index and is more accurate. The combination of these two methods will greatly enlarge our knowledge scope on the optical properties of bio tissue.
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