Visual-oriented morphological foreground content grayscale frames interpolation method

Nicolae Vizireanu and Radu Udrea

Politehnica University Bucharest, Telecommunications
Department, Iuliu Maniu 1-3, Sector 6, Bucharest,
70000, Romania
E-mail: vizireanu@comm.pub.ro

Abstract. A new and improved visual-oriented grayscale frames interpolation method consists of partially changing, step by step using growing structuring elements, the morphological S transforms of the foreground content of an input frame with the morphological S transforms of the foreground content of an output frame. Better performance comes at the expense of not very great computational complexity. Computer simulations illustrate the results. © 2009 SPIE and IS&T. [DOI: 10.1117/1.3134142]

1 Introduction

The grayscale frames interpolation problem is the process of creating intermediary grayscale frames between two given frames.¹ The interpolated grayscale frame (X_i), $0 \leq i \leq M$, $M \geq 2$ depends on the content of an input grayscale frame and an output grayscale frame. For $i=0$, the interpolated grayscale frame is equal to the input grayscale frame X_0 ; for $i=M$, the interpolated grayscale frame is equal to the output grayscale frame X_M .²

The simplest method involves linear interpolation.^{3,4} In Ref. 5, a dynamically elastic surface interpolation scheme was proposed. A hybrid approach that combined elastic interpolation, spline theory, and the surface consistency theorem was proposed to produce further improvement.^{6,7} Grevera and Udupa⁸ and Herman *et al.*⁹ interpolated the distance and proposed shape-based methods by encoding the segmented image with distance codes. Guo *et al.* developed a morphology-based interpolation method and successfully resolved the problems in objects with holes and large offsets.¹⁰ Lee *et al.* proposed another morphology-based scheme that was simpler in computational complexity.¹¹ Goshtasby *et al.* selected feature points from successive frames to control the gray-level interpolation.¹² In Ref. 13, feature points were used that were based on a fuzzy measure of the boundaries and medial axis transforms. In Ref. 14, a morphological skeleton interpolation was proposed in which interpolated sets were generated from a succession of skeletons derived from the matching of two neighboring set skeletons. Berier and Neely computed the interpolation with feature line-segment control.¹⁵ An example of object-based methods that used features is shape-based interpolation,¹⁶ which was extended by allow-

ing registration between frames¹⁷ and using feature guidance.¹⁸ For grayscale images, mathematical morphology provides a well-founded theory.¹⁹

The new interpolation grayscale frame method presented in this paper is based on the morphological S transform (MST) and consists of partially changing, step by step using growing structuring elements (SEs) the morphological S transforms of the foreground content of an input grayscale frame with the MSTs of the foreground content of an output grayscale frame. Better performance comes at the expense of not very great computational complexity.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 defines the grayscale MST. Section 3 presents the grayscale frames interpolation algorithm. Section 4 provides experimental results. The conclusions of this study are drawn in Sec. 5.

2 Morphological S Transform (MST)

The MST of a grayscale image X can be calculated by means of morphological operations.¹⁹ For any SE B , we have

$$(n+1)B = nB \oplus B, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } 0B = (0, 0). \quad (1)$$

The morphological $S(X, nB)$ transform of a grayscale image X by SE nB is given by

$$S(X, nB) = X \ominus nB - (X \ominus nB) \circ B. \quad (2)$$

A grayscale image can be represented using the morphological formula

$$X = \dots (S[X, (N-2)B] + \{S[X, (N-1)B] + S(X, NB) \oplus B\} \oplus B) \oplus B, \quad (3)$$

where N is the maximum value defined by

Fig. 1 Input, output, and reference grayscale frames for the interpolation example: (a) grayscale input frame X_i , (b) grayscale output frame X_M , and (c) grayscale reference frame X_{ref} .

Paper 09001LR received Jan. 1, 2009; revised manuscript received Mar. 4, 2009; accepted for publication Apr. 6, 2009; published online May 26, 2009.

1017-9909/2009/18(2)/020502/3/\$25.00 © 2009 SPIE and IS&T.

Fig. 2 Foreground and background contents for the input frame and output frames: (a) foreground contents for input frame X_0^f , (b) foreground contents for output frame X_M^f , and (c) background content of both frames (content the frames have in common) X^b .

$$S(X, nB) = \emptyset, \quad n > N \text{ and } S(X, NB) \neq \emptyset. \quad (4)$$

3 Interpolation Method

The new and improved grayscale frame interpolation method presented in this paper consists of partially changing, step by step using growing SEs nB for $n=N, \dots, 1, 0$ with $0B=(0,0)$, the MST of the foreground content of a grayscale input frame with the MST of the foreground content of an output grayscale frame.

Based on the grayscale input frame X_0 and grayscale output frame X_M , the foreground contents are defined as

$$X_0^f = X_0^* \text{sign}(|X_0 - X_M|) \text{ and } X_M^f = X_M^* \text{sign}(|X_0 - X_M|), \quad (5)$$

where

$$\text{sign}(|x|) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } |x| \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (6)$$

Using the morphological S transform and SE B , the foreground content X_0^f of input grayscale frame X_0 and the foreground content X_M^f of output grayscale frame X_M can be perfectly reconstructed using (3).

The interpolated foreground content X_i^f of grayscale interpolated frame X_i , for $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $N \geq 2$ for $N = \max(N_0, N_M)$, obtained by using the morphological formula

Fig. 3 Interpolated frame (X_i , $i=12$) using crosses as the SE.

$$X_i^f(X_0^f, X_M^f, B) = \dots (S[X_0^f, (N-i)B] + \dots + \{S[X_M^f, (N-1)B] + S[X_M^f, NB] \oplus B\} \oplus B \dots \oplus B \dots \quad (7)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq \min(N_0, N_M) - 1$. Finally, the grayscale interpolated frame X_i is given by

$$X_i(X_0, X_M, B) = [X_0 - X_0^* \text{sign}(|X_0 - X_M|)] + X_i^f \quad (8)$$

Using morphological formula (7), we can build a large number, $\min(N_0, N_M)$, of not necessarily different interpolated grayscale frames.

4 Experimental Results

We used the proposed algorithm for grayscale frame interpolation by constructing a frame using growing SEs and different i values. We performed the experiments using grayscale images.

An example is presented in Fig. 1. Test input and output grayscale frames are presented in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), and the “missing” frame X_{ref} is in Fig. 1(c). This frame will be used as reference to compare our results. Our frames have dimensions [256, 256].

Using formula (5), we obtained the foreground contents for input and output frame X_0^f and X_M^f for input and output frame X_0 and X_M [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)]. The background content for both the input and output frames (content the frames have in common), X^b [Fig. 2(c)], is given by

$$X^b = X_0 - X_0^* \text{sign}(|X_0 - X_M|). \quad (9)$$

Using the morphological S transform and crosses or squares as SE B , we obtained $N_0^c=38$, $N_M^c=38$, and $N^c=38$ for the crosses and $N_0^s=30$, $N_M^s=30$, and $N^s=30$ for the squares. Interpolated foreground content X_i^f , with $1 \leq i \leq 38$ (for the crosses) and $1 \leq i \leq 30$ (for the squares), was

Table 1 Error function r_i^f .

i	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
r_i^f (%)	17.67	19.89	23.11	18.45	16.07	17.34	22.15	21.83	16.95

Table 2 Error function r_i^s .

i	4	6	8	10	12	14	16	18	20
r_i^s (%)	19.23	21.28	17.25	15.87	18.48	19.62	25.23	22.37	23.74

obtained using morphological formula (7). Finally, gray-scale interpolated frame X_i was given by formula (8). For the crosses, we built 34 interpolated grayscale frames, but only 21 were different. For the squares, we built 30 interpolated grayscale frames, but only 17 were different. Using a standard computer and an optimized C routine implementation, we obtained a grayscale interpolated frame at 40 ms. For $\text{size}(X_{\text{ref}})=[AB]$, we can define error function r_i by

$$r_i = \text{sum}(\text{sum}\{\text{sign}[\text{abs}(X_{\text{ref}} - X_i)]\}) / (A * B). \quad (10)$$

Some error function results for the crosses (r_i^c) and squares (r_i^s) SEs are presented in Tables 1 and 2.

Interpolated frames using different SEs are presented in Figs. 3 and 4.

After simulations using different SEs and different i values, we observed that one of the interpolated frames offered by our method was similar to the original reference frame.

5 Conclusions

This paper has addressed the grayscale frames generalized interpolation by means of mathematical morphology.

After describing the algorithm, we provided experimental results that were very encouraging. We applied the proposed scheme to real-world data. For many situations, the proposed scheme was experimentally shown to successfully resolve complex interpolation problems. Some preprocessing could improve the results. The algorithm is fully morphological and can be applied quickly. This entire process

Fig. 4 Interpolated frame (X_i , $i=10$) using squares as the SE.

is efficient without significant computational complexity. However, it is difficult to state rigorously that our method produces theoretically correct interpolated results for any frames.

Acknowledgments

This research was founded by UEFISCSU, contract PII, IDEI, no. 100/2007, D.N. VIZIREANU.

References

1. M. Iwanowski and J. Serra, "Morphological interpolation and color images," in *Proc. of Intern. Conf. on Image Proc.*, Venice, Italy (1999).
2. A.-M. Huang and T. Q. Nguyen, "A multistage motion vector processing method for motion-compensated frame interpolation," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.* **17**(5), 694–708 (2008).
3. C. C. Liang, C. T. Chen, and W. C. Lin, "Intensity interpolation for reconstructing 3-D images from serial cross-sections," in *Proc. IEEE Eng. Med. Bio. Soc. 10th Int. Conf.*, New Orleans, LA, Paper CH2566-8, pp. 1389–1390 (1988).
4. C. C. Liang, C. T. Chen, and W. C. Lin, "Intensity interpolation for branching in reconstructing three-dimensional objects from serial cross-sections," *Proc. SPIE* **1445**, 456–467 (1991).
5. W.-C. Lin, C.-C. Liang, and C.-T. Chen, "Dynamic elastic interpolation for 3-D image reconstruction from cross sections," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **7**(9), 225–232 (1988).
6. S.-Y. Chen and W.-C. Lin, "Automated surface interpolation technique for 3-D object reconstruction from serial cross sections," *Comput. Med. Imaging Graph.* **15**(4), 265–276 (1991).
7. Y.-H. Liu, Y.-N. Sun, C.-W. Mao, and C.-J. Lin, "Edge-shrinking interpolation for images," *Comput. Vis. Graph. Image Process.* **21**(2), 91–101 (1997).
8. G. J. Grevera and J. K. Udupa, "Shape-based interpolation of multi-dimensional grey-level images," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **15**(12), 881–892 (1996).
9. G. T. Herman, J. Zheng, and C. A. Bucholtz, "Shape-based interpolation," *IEEE Comput. Graphics Appl.* **12**, 69–79 (May 1992).
10. J.-F. Guo, Y.-L. Cai, and Y.-P. Wang, "Morphology-based interpolation for 3D image reconstruction," *Comput. Med. Imaging Graph.* **19**(3), 267–279 (1995).
11. T.-Y. Lee and W.-H. Wang, "Morphology-based three-dimensional interpolation," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **19**(7), 711–721 (2000).
12. A. Goshtasby, D. A. Tuner, and L. V. Ackerman, "Matching tomographic slices for interpolation," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **11**(4), 507–516 (1992).
13. D. T. Puff, D. Eberly, and S. M. Pizer, "Object-based interpolation via cores," *Proc. SPIE* **2167**, 143–150 (1994).
14. V. Chatzis and I. Pitas, "Interpolation of 3-D binary images based on morphological skeletonization," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **19**(7), 699–710 (2000).
15. T. Berier and S. Neely, "Feature-based image metamorphosis," in *Proc. Comput. Graphics (SIGGRAPH'92)* **26**(2), 35–42 (1992).
16. S. P. Raya and J. K. Udupa, "Shape-based interpolation of multidimensional objects," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **9**(3), 32–42 (1990).
17. W. E. Higgins, C. Morice, and E. L. Ritman, "Shape-based interpolation of tree-like structures in three-dimensional images," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **12**(9), 439–450 (1993).
18. T.-Y. Lee and C.-H. Lin, "Feature-guided shape-based image interpolation," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* **21**(12), 1479–1489 (2002).
19. E. R. Dougherty and R. A. Lotufo, *Hands-on Morphological Image Processing*, SPIE Press, Bellingham, WA (2003).