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Abstract. C-Arm CT three-dimensional (3-D) digital subtraction angiography (DSA) reconstructions cannot provide temporal information to radiologists. Four-dimensional (4-D) DSA provides a time series of 3-D volumes utilizing temporal dynamics in the two-dimensional (2-D) projections using a constraining image reconstruction approach. Volumetric limiting spatial resolution (VLSR) of 4-D DSA is quantified and compared to a 3-D DSA. The effects of varying 4-D DSA parameters of 2-D projection blurring kernel size and threshold of the 3-D DSA (constraining image) of an in silico phantom (ISPH) and physical phantom (PPH) were investigated. The PPH consisted of a 76-micron tungsten wire. An 8-s/248-frame/198-deg scan protocol acquired the projection data. VLSR was determined from MTF curves generated from each 2-D transverse slice of every (248) 4-D temporal frame. 4-D DSA results for PPH and ISPH were compared to the 3-D DSA. 3-D DSA analysis resulted in a VLSR of 2.28 and 1.69 lp/mm for ISPH and PPH, respectively. Kernel sizes of either 10 × 10 or 20 × 20 pixels with a 3-D DSA constraining image threshold of 10% provided 4-D DSA VLSR nearest to the 3-D DSA. 4-D DSA yielded 2.21 and 1.67 lp/mm with a percent error of 3.1 and 1.2% for ISPH and PPH, respectively, as compared to 3-D DSA. This research indicates 4-D DSA is capable of retaining the resolution of 3-D DSA. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI.
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1 Introduction

In 1980, digital subtraction angiography (DSA) was introduced, providing time-resolved images of a contrast injection of a vascular network. Later, in 1997, three-dimensional (3-D) DSA (Refs. 1 and 2) made possible the 3-D reconstruction of a vascular network obtained from a 3-D rotational acquisition [multiframe two-dimension (2-D) at trajectory angles]. Currently, in clinical practice, temporal dynamics of the vascular network under study must be obtained from 2-D acquisitions either from the rotational acquisition or from fluoroscopy views and the 3-D representation from the temporally static 3-D DSA. Reasons for the use of C-Arm CT systems include high spatial and temporal resolution, the ability to cover a larger field of view, the ability to move the C-Arm to various view angles, and real-time fluoroscopy.

Furthering these advances came the introduction of 4-D DSA (Refs. 3 and 4) combining the temporal information of the 2-D projections and the 3-D geometry of the 3-D DSA into a true 4-D display. The need for multiple sweeps up to six bidirectional sweeps were reported, of the C-Arm CT system gantry is avoided in 4-D DSA. However, it should be noted the current requirement of a sparse 4-D DSA constraining volume makes accurate parenchymal blood flow, as reported in Ref. 5 difficult. At a minimum, 4-D DSA requires one bidirectional sweep and a single injection of contrast medium.

4-D DSA provides a time series of 3-D volumes and time attenuation curves (TAC) for reconstructed voxels at the acquisition frame rate. Time-of-arrival (TOA) metrics for time to peak and time to a percent of max can be calculated using the TAC data. Using time to percent max as a metric, a true 4-D view of the 2-D parametric color coded views described in Ref. 6 can now be obtained. Both a 4-D static TOA (3-D) and 4-D dynamic TOA (4-D bolus arrival) can be achieved 7 The 4-D DSA reconstruction allows the viewing of the ROI volume at any time from any angle and from any angle at any time. 4-D DSA avoids the unobtainable view problem inherit in 2-D DSA fluoroscopy due to gantry collisions with patient or couch. Current research indicates 4-D DSA can provide superior visualization of the temporal dynamics of the draining and filling vascular networks extending from the nidus of arterovenous malformations (AVMs); however, further experience is needed with the technique. There is also indication that 4-D DSA can be used to obtain insight into the angioarchitectural features of an AVM. The ability to use the temporal views of 4-D DSA can be seen in Fig. 1.

The purpose of this research was to investigate the volumetric spatial resolution of the time series of 4-D DSA reconstructions when varying reconstruction parameters specific to the 4-D DSA algorithm. The parameters varied were the 2-D projection blurring kernel size and the threshold applied to the C-Arm CT Feldkamp, Davis, and Kress (FDK) reconstruction (3-D DSA). The kernel affects the spatial resolution and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the projection, while the threshold affects the spatial resolution and SNR of the 3-D volume used as a constraining...
image. 4-D temporal volume spatial resolutions were then compared to the 3-D DSA. Results were determined for both physical phantom and in silico phantom (ISPH) and compared to the 3-D DSA. This research was performed under both an institutionally approved animal care and use committee protocol for animals and an IRB protocol for human data.

2 Methods

2.1 Four-Dimensional Digital Subtraction Angiography

4-D DSA is made possible by combining the 3-D DSA volume and the 2-D rotational projections containing temporal information using the 4-D DSA algorithm further discussed in Fig. 1 and described by Eqs. (1) and (2).

\[ t_i |_{t=n} = t(n) = nT | n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad n \in [1 \ldots N_{\text{projections}}], \]  

\[ i_{4D}(t_i) = i_C * i_W(t_i) = i_C * f_{\text{BP}}(\theta(t_i), p(t_i)) \]  

\[ = i_C * f_{\text{BP}} \left( \theta(t_i), \frac{k_B * p_t(t_i)}{k_B * p_C(\theta(t_i))} \right). \]  

The projection sampling of a C-Arm CT system is shown in Eq. (3), where \( T \) is the sampling period. The constraining image, \( i_C \), is first generated by applying a threshold to the 3-D DSA volume. A projection containing temporal information, \( p_t \), is convolved using the operator “∗” with a 2-D square blurring kernel, \( k_B \), of uniform intensity. The forward projection of the constraining image \( p_C \) is also blurred by the kernel \( k_B \). The convolution of projections acts to increase SNR (Ref. 4) of the projection image. These projection data are then divided element by element and backprojected, \( f_{\text{BP}} \), into 3-D space at the view angle \( \theta \) to create a weighting volume \( i_W \). The \( f_{\text{BP}} \) operator backprojects a projection value at detector coordinates \( u \) and \( v \) to a 3-D coordinate \( x, y, \) and \( z \) in 3-D space given the view angle \( \theta \). The backprojection operation does not perform any filtering or weighting of the projection data. This work implemented the p-matrix voxel driven backprojection algorithm as described in Scherl et al., Wiesent et al., and Galigekere et al. The Hadamard product “∗” of the weighting volume, \( i_W \), and the constraining image, \( i_C \), generates raw 4-D time frame, \( i_{4D} \), data, which can be further processed. The postprocessing includes corrections for vessel overlap in a projection, which causes a reconstruction artifact, higher than actual values during overlap periods, in the TACs of 4-D DSA.

The 2-D projections record the contrast enhanced dynamics of the vascular network under study following the single injection of contrast near the start of the acquisition. The 2-D projections are used to generate the 3-D DSA volume through conventional techniques. The 3-D DSA volume is then sparsified using a simple thresholding approach to generate a 3-D volume for use as a volumetric constraining image, \( i_C \). Constraining volume generation using 3-D DSA has the effect of removing background noise, thus allowing the viewing of the vascular network. The process is similar to that of window and leveling techniques currently used to view current 3-D reconstruction volumes.

The angle \( \theta(t) \) represents the scan acquisition trajectory view angle at the acquisition time frame \( t \). There is a direct relationship between the projection angle \( \theta \) and the time index \( t \); therefore, the terms will be used interchangeably. For forward projections, \( p_C[\theta(t)] \) of the constraining image are taken at the same angle as the temporal projection \( p_t(t) \). The constraining image, \( i_C \), is a static image with no temporal variation, where
$p_C(t)$ has no meaning other than to simplify the equations and shall be equivalent to $p_C[\theta(t)]$.

The equations, descriptive geometry, and reconstruction algorithm for 3-D DSA are discussed in numerous sources, notably Refs. 2 and 4. The 3-D DSA reconstruction process involves cosine weighting, Parker-weighting 5 and convolution of the projections with a one-dimensional (1-D) filtering kernel followed by a backprojection into 3-D space. Convolution occurs only along the dimension perpendicular to the axis of rotation and is not a 2-D convolution. The 3-D DSA and 4-D DSA reconstructions used the same 1-D Hamming filter as the 4-D DSA constraining image is derived from the 3-D DSA.

The convolved temporal and constraining projections have a consistent attenuation where vessels occur. However, due to vessel overlap in a given projection, attenuation is increased in overlap areas, which creates artifacts in the per voxel TAC of 4-D DSA when reconstructed. To mitigate this effect, the convolved temporal projections are then normalized by dividing the constraining projections element by element to aid in minimizing or deactivating the vessel overlap artifact, algorithms have been created to further process the 4-D data generated (SA) and minimum within a search angle (MSA) algorithms have been developed to further process the 4-D data generated by Eq. (4) temporally on a voxel-by-voxel basis.

The separation angle algorithm is described in Eq. (6) and takes two projections separated by an angle. The square root of the acquisition trajectory of 30 deg. The SA is selected to provide a physical projection angle separation while still maintaining acceptable temporal resolution. Ideally, the angle selected would be 90 deg, providing ideal spatial separation; however, selecting this value is at the cost of temporal resolution.

\[
\text{SA}(t_i) = \sqrt{i_C \cdot i_W(t_i) \cdot i_C \cdot i_W(t_{i+\tau})} = i_C \cdot \sqrt{i_W(t_i) \cdot i_W(t_{i+\tau})}. 
\]  

(5)

The minimum search angle algorithm as described by Eq. (7) aims to decrease vessel overlap artifacts by searching for the minimum value of the temporal data for a given voxel over.

\[
\text{Min} = \max \{k_B \cdot p_C(t_i) \cdot 1.05 \times \max [k_B \cdot p_C(t_i)] \}.
\]  

(4)
The last temporal index

\[ t_{\min}(t_i) = \arg\min_{t' \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]} [i_{4D}(t')], \quad i_{\text{MSA}}(t_i) = i_C \ast i_W[t_{\min}(t_i)]. \tag{6} \]

The value \( t_{\min} \) is the minimum temporal index over a time range \( t' \in [t_i, t_{i+1}] \), where the argument minimum of \( i_{4D}(t') \) is at a minimum on a per voxel TAC basis. The case as \( t_i \) approaches the last temporal index \( t_{\text{max}} \), the temporal delta \( \tau \) is allowed to approach zero, \( \tau \to 0 \), as the number of remaining time frames decrease. For a given voxel TAC, the time index selected for the time frame is the time frame with the lowest TAC value. This time index thus selects the lowest TAC value over the search range. Thus, \( t_{\min}(t_i) \) represents a 3-D array of time indices for each voxel TAC where the value in each voxel TAC is a minimal value over the temporal search range.

While in an ideal mathematical sense MSA is equivalent to SP and SA algorithms when a perfect static object is used, when used in real-world data, this is not the case due to imperfections in scan geometry and data acquisition. However, limiting spatial resolution results for the other algorithms were found to be identical to the results of MSA. The effects of the algorithms were not the focus of this research. Recently, various interpolation algorithms have been developed and investigated to interpolate over areas of vessel overlap in the TAC data. The impact of these interpolation algorithms on resolution were not investigated in this work.

### 2.2 Frequency Response

A simplified form of the equation for 4-D DSA is shown in Eq. (7). The division by the reprojection of the constraining volume has been omitted for the current purposes of discussion; the theta notation and time variable have been dropped for the sake of simplicity.

\[ i_{4D} = i_C \ast f_{\text{BP}}(k_B \ast p_1). \tag{7} \]

The notation shown shall use lowercase to represent equations in image space with uppercase variables representing the Fourier transformed variables in frequency space. The temporal projection data, \( p_r \), is first convolved with the blurring kernel, \( k_B \). This operation is a convolution in image space, but is a multiplication in frequency space as described by Eqs. (8), (9), and (10). This is essentially a low-pass filter operation in which frequency content in the projection \( p_I \) is limited to the bandwidth of the filter kernel \( K_B \).

\[ P_{\text{kernel}} = k_B \ast p_1, \tag{8} \]

\[ k_B \ast p_1 \circ F K_B \ast P_I, \tag{9} \]

\[ P_{\text{kernel}} = K_B \ast P_I. \tag{10} \]

Basic properties of MTF and frequency analysis will be used to discuss the effects of 4-D DSA on the limiting spatial resolution. The equation using the Fourier transform, \( F \), for finding the MTF given the point spread function (PSF) data is shown in Eq. (11). The solution for the MTF of the system is shown in Eqs. (12) and (13).

\[ \text{MTF}(m, f) = |F[\text{PSF}(x, y)]|, \tag{11} \]

\[ \text{MTF}_{\text{System}} = \frac{\text{MTF}_{\text{Object}} \ast \text{MTF}_{\text{System}}}{\text{MTF}_{\text{Object}}}. \tag{12} \]

\[ \text{MTF}_{\text{System}} = \frac{\text{MTF}_{\text{Object}}}{\text{MTF}_{\text{Object}}}. \tag{13} \]

For the purpose of discussion, the limiting spatial resolution of two data sets in object space, \( a \) and \( b \), having been transformed into frequency space, \( A \) and \( B \), shall be defined here as \( f_A \) and \( f_B \), respectively. The maximum limiting spatial frequency \( f_M \) resulting from product of the two frequency responses is defined in Eq. (14).

\[ f_M = \min(|f_A, f_B|). \tag{14} \]

The limiting spatial resolution in MTF analysis is either the point where the MTF reaches the first zero when using a pure mathematical approach or in analysis of real-world data, the first point on the MTF curve that reaches 10% of the value at zero spatial frequency starting from zero spatial frequency. The maximum limiting spatial frequency, \( f_M \), of a convolution operation in image space is the minimum of the spatial frequency of the data being convolved, sets \( a \) and \( b \), as the multiplication in frequency space is dependent on the first zero crossing of either of the two data sets as described by Eq. (15). The limiting spatial frequency for the resulting 4-D DSA temporal projection, \( p_{4D} \), to be backprojected is therefore described by Eq. (16).

\[ f_{p_{4D}} = \min(|f_{K_s}, f_{P_I}|). \tag{15} \]

The next step is to backproject the temporal projection, \( p_{4D} \), to the object plane. The scaling of the image detected by the detector at the image plane is scaled into the object plane and is accomplished through the backprojection operation. The magnification, \( m \), represents the geometric scaling factor. The backprojection operation is not a simple 1-D operator, but acts to project the data along direct rays between the image plane and the source. However, for the purposes of discussion and to aid in clarity of understanding the backprojection operation effects on 4-D DSA, the backprojection operation shall be represented as a scaling of image data to the object plane. This analysis is akin to taking a 1-D centered radial sample of the transverse plane such that at the angle that is acquired, the central slice object data axis is exactly parallel with the detector as shown in Fig. 8.

The effect of this is shown as scaling the projection of an object of width \( a_I \) from the image plane \( I \) to the object plane \( O \), as shown in Fig. 8 resulting in an object width of \( a_O \). This results in a frequency scaling in frequency space and is described by Eq. (16) and application to 4-D DSA in Eq. (17).

\[ f_o = \frac{1}{a_o} = \frac{m}{a_I} = m \times f_I, \tag{16} \]

\[ f_{F_{4D}} = m \times f_{P_{4D}} = m \times (\min[f_K, f_{P_I}]). \tag{17} \]
The last operation to be performed is that of multiplying the constraining image with the backprojection of the temporal projection. A multiplication in image space is a convolution in frequency space. The wire object in the constraining image and the weighting volume image were modeled with a pair of Jinc functions to aid in determining the effects of the multiplication in image space. Various sizes were used in the model and resulted in the maximum spatial frequency tracking with object that had the highest spatial frequency or the smaller of the two objects in image space. Therefore, it was determined that maximum spatial frequency of the multiplication of the constraining image with the weighting volume is the maximum of the two spatial frequencies of the two objects when convolved in frequency space as described by Eq. (18) and with application to 4-D DSA shown in Eq. (19).

\[
f_C = \max([f_A, f_B]),
\]

\[
f_{4D} = \max([f_{IC}, f_{F}],).
\]

The resulting limiting resolution of 4-D DSA, \(f_{4D}\), is the maximum of the limiting resolution of the constraining image, \(f_C\), and the minimum of the limiting resolution of the blurring kernel and the temporal projection data, \(p_t\), scaled to the image plane by the scale factor, \(m\), as shown in Eq. (20).

\[
f_{4D} = \max([f_{IC}, f_{F}], m \times \min([f_K, f_{P}])).
\]

The 4-D DSA process includes a number of nonlinear operations such as thresholding and division of the temporal projections by reprojections of the constraining volume. Although 4-D DSA is a nonlinear system, it is treated as linear at an operating point. The standard approach of scanning a fine highly attenuating wire centered in and perpendicular to the transverse plane was performed. The effects of the 4-D DSA reconstruction on volumetric spatial resolution were investigated using both an ISPH and the scan of a physical 76 micron (um) diameter tungsten wire centered in a cylindrical supporting structure. ISPH was modeled after the PPH as cylinder centered in the transverse plane with the axis parallel to the axis of rotation extending throughout the entire volume of interest. The physical phantom is shown in Fig. 3. The constraining image inherits the reconstruction parameters from the 3-D DSA as it is a 3-D DSA where a threshold has been applied. The spatial resolution of a 4-D temporal volume can be obtained by the same means as for the 3-D DSA. The 3-D DSA is compared with the 4-D temporal frames (temporally enhanced 3-D volumes) of 4-D DSA reconstructions. This was done on a volumetric basis using a single transverse slice of a physical or digital phantom of a small wire. Resulting PSF data were radially averaged and Fourier analysis performed to generate averaged MTF data for each 3-D DSA volume and 4-D DSA temporal slice. The limiting spatial resolution was automatically determined by finding the spatial resolution when 10% of the magnitude at zero spatial frequency was reached. Numerical simulations and reconstruction of the real-world phantom were performed using a combination of MATLAb® (The Mathworks Inc., Natick, Massachusetts) and CUDA (NVIDIA Corp. Santa Clara, California) based software. The spatial resolution at the center of the central slice of the C-Arm CT biplane system (Artis zee, Siemens Healthcare, Forchheim, Germany) used is determined by Eq. (21) and is based on the Nyquist sampling criteria using the detector spacing (du), imaging geometry source to image distance (SID), and source to object distance (SOD) to determine the minimal detectable distance achievable in the transverse plane. This equation does not account for blurring effects due to focal spot, geometric instabilities of the C-Arm, or projection filtering. The minimal resolvable distance calculation is shown in Eq. (21).

\[
f_{\text{Nyquist}} = \frac{1}{2 \times du \times \frac{m}{2 \times du \times \text{SOD}}} = \frac{\text{SID}}{1200[\text{mm}]} = \frac{2 \times \frac{\text{del}}{\text{cyc}} \times \frac{\text{SOD}}{2}}{0.3808[\text{mm}] \times 750[\text{mm}]} \\
= \frac{2.59[\text{cyc/mm}]}{2.60[\text{lp/mm}]}.
\]

The scan geometry was determined using a standard 4-D DSA acquisition procedure without zoom of the detector/C-Arm with SID set to the maximum of 1200 mm and SOD held constant at 750 mm resulting in the magnification factor \(m\), and collimation set to maximum field of view with 2 x 2 binning yielding a detector resolution of 1240 x 960 detector elements with isotropic detector element size of 0.3080 mm (2480 x 1920 native non-binned resolution with 0.154 mm isotropic detector elements). The minimal resolvable distance is calculated with Eq. (21), which was used to ensure the reconstruction grid was smaller than this dimension.

\[
d_{\text{min}} = \frac{du}{m} = \frac{1}{f_{\text{Nyquist}}} = 0.385[\text{mm}] .
\]

The selection of the maximum allowable wire size was found to be 86 micron using the approach of Nicholoff®. The reconstruction grid (slice) was 512 by 512 voxels with a 0.0376 mm isotropic voxel size. The voxel size was made considerably smaller than the minimum resolvable object size, \(d_{\text{min}}\), to ensure proper...
reconstruction and 4-D DSA reconstruction artifacts could be properly investigated. Off axis and off central slice resolution was not investigated in this research and is a topic for future investigation and research. The physical wire phantom was built using a Scientific Instruments (New Jersey) 0.076 mm tungsten wire part number W91 surrounded in an air-filled thin-walled plastic cylinder, 47 mm O.D., as a supporting structure as shown in Fig. 3. The phantom was then scanned on C-Arm CT biplane system. The scan procedure was 8.2 s in duration providing 248 projections, at a frame rate of 30 fps, at 60.4 kVp and 168 mAs.

The phantom was placed in the field of view for the mask run and removed from the field of view for the fill run. This was done to allow the same processing pipeline to be used for current 4-D DSA processing while also setting the automatic exposure control to the object during the mask run. The mask and fill projections were then interchanged to allow correct subtraction and sign of the value in the projections. Normalized projections using Eq. (4) were used in this analysis.

The steps to generate MTFs are as follows. Select a back-projection filtering kernel and perform a reconstruction at the correct zoom factor to satisfy the Nyquist criteria and reconstruction grid requirements. Acquire a central transverse slice of the temporal frame of the reconstructed object and repeat the process for each 4-D volume. Sum all transverse slice time frames extracted from each 4-D time frame volume to make a composite PSF. Find the center of the composite PSF. Composite frame generation was performed to avoid projection angle dependent modulation in some reconstructed frames. The modulation was induced by the backprojection of blurred temporal projection data where blurring as a result of the blurring kernel, of size zero or two, is less than that induced blurring due to geometric instability. Preprocessing of the transverse slice as stated above can include cropping the image from 512 to 64 voxels on center to avoid any ripples in the frequency response curve near zero spatial frequency due to the standard deviation increasing with increasing N as described in Ref. 17.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kernel size</th>
<th>Threshold</th>
<th>3-D DSA Limiting spatial resolution (lp/mm)</th>
<th>4-D DSA Limiting spatial resolution (lp/mm)</th>
<th>Relative percent error 100 × (ΔA – B)/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISPH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>-11.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>-11.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPH</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>-7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>-7.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Results

The limiting spatial resolution of the 3-D DSA was found to be higher for ISPH than for PPH and is a result of simulated processing stage not accounting for geometric instability and focal spot blurring. While these results are not similar, the discussion of the differences is an important topic. The results are summarized in Table 1. Frequency response plots for the 3-D C-Arm CT, constraining image, PPH, and ISPH are shown in Figs. 5, 6(a), and 6(b).

The spatial resolution of the 4-D DSA tracks with that of the constraining image as can be seen from the table when
appropriate kernel sizes, 5, 10, and 20, are used. Provided the
threshold of the constraining image is not severe and limiting
resolution of the constraining image is maintained close to
the 3-D DSA, the limiting resolution of 4-D DSA temporal
volumes are very near to the resolution of the 3-D DSA
reconstruction volume. While Eq. (20) may appear to inflate
the resolution of 4-D DSA, it does so only marginally. Blurring
kernels of the size typically used widen the object data in image
space and thus decrease the limiting spatial frequency of the
object in frequency space. The wider the image is in image
space, the smaller the value is for the zero crossing in frequency
space for the frequency response of the image and, thus, the
smaller the contribution is to the resulting limiting spatial res-
olution. When typical parameters for the projection blurring are
used, the effects of the weighting volume term ($iW$) in Eq. (20)
have little impact on the limiting spatial resolution.

When the 4-D DSA algorithm is applied with square kernels
of 5, 10, and 20 pixels, the resolution is lowered for ISPH and
PPH only as a result of the constraining image. The kernel size

![Fig. 5 C-Arm CT and constraining image frequency response curves.](image)

![Fig. 6 Frequency response results for the (a) ISPH and (b) PPH. Near-exact results have been grouped for clarity.](image)

![Fig. 7 ISPH profiles of the PSF with (a) kernel size 5 and 10% threshold and (b) kernel size 0 and 10% threshold.](image)
can only act to increase the resolution beyond the constraining image by allowing high spatial frequency data in the projection to modulate the constrain image. This represents a reduction of $\sim 3.1\%$ for ISPH and $\sim 1.2\%$ for PPH. These typical values for the kernel size have a minor effect on the spatial resolution. It is not until the kernel is changed to 2 or no kernel is applied that projection is allowed to retain the high spatial frequency content. The effect is a modulation of the 4-D DSA volumes during the backprojection operation. The result is a narrowing of the 2-D PSF of the constraining 3-D volume perpendicular to the ray along which the data are backprojected. Figures 7 and 8 clearly show when modulation does and does not occur along the projection. Figures 7(b) and 8(b) demonstrate the modulation at the projection angle. This acts to cause an inflation of the results for the 4-D limiting spatial resolution as described by Eq. (20). The effect is apparent only in ISPH due to the ideal reconstruction process and the retention of high spatial frequency content in the projections. Modifying the volume threshold has the expected effect of artificially inflating the limiting resolution as can be seen when comparing datasets for which the kernel size was 10 or 20 and the threshold changed from 10 to 30% for either phantom.

4 Conclusion

4-D DSA is capable of producing a time series of 3-D angiographic volumes that have occurred at any time in the scan and from any view angle while retaining the resolution of the C-Arm CT FDK reconstruction (3-D DSA) volume. 4-D DSA is capable of providing a resolution of 1.67 lp/mm at a temporal resolution of 30 frames per second using a standard protocol.
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