Observation of negative mask error enhancement factor due to mask transmission resonance

Vicky Philippsen, Peter De Bisschop, and Kei Mesuda*
IMEC, Kapeldreef 75, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium
E-mail: vicky.philipsen@imec.be

Abstract. We report on the observation of mask transmission resonances in line/space gratings on bilayer mask stacks, both experimentally and in rigorous electromagnetic field (EMF) lithographic simulations. The mask transmission resonances manifest themselves as a local enhancement in the dose-to-size curve through mask linewidth for a given pitch targeted to a fixed wafer CD. We relate this finding to a local transmission loss of the propagating diffraction orders. We investigate both by experiments and by simulations the dependency of this mask transmission resonance on various lithographic conditions such as mask material, grating pitch, and incidence angle and polarization state of the incoming light. The resonant-type anomaly has a large impact on the mask error enhancement factor (MEEF) behavior of these bilayer mask stacks. The dependence of the MEEF as a function of mask linewidth shows strong variations and even negative MEEF values around the position of this resonance, which stem from the local dose-to-size enhancement. More precisely, the behavior of the MEEF can be well predicted by the differential of the dose-to-size curve. © 2008 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.2938993]

Subject terms: mask transmission resonance; negative mask error enhancement factor (MEEF); mask 3-D effects; hyper-NA lithography; wafer evaluation; resonant-type anomaly; diffraction spectrum.

Paper 07093LR received Dec. 17, 2007; revised manuscript received Mar. 5, 2008; accepted for publication Mar. 7, 2008; published online Jun. 26, 2008.

1 Observation of a Resonant-Type Anomaly

Starting from a range of mask linewidths for a given pitch, we determine, for each mask linewidth, the exposure dose needed to print the line/space grating to a fixed target CD, i.e., the dose to size. In general, the dose-to-size curve is expected to increase monotonically as the mask linewidth increases. However, we have detected a local enhancement in such a dose-to-size curve for a bilayer attenuated phase shift mask (att.PSM). The experiment is conducted with a mask consisting of a 34-nm-thick Ta layer with a 113-nm-thick SiON phase-shift layer on top—referred to as Ta/SiON 1% att.PSM—containing a y-oriented grating of 360-nm pitch. (All dimensions at mask level.) The grating is exposed at NA 1.20 using cQuad 20 deg (opening angle) 0.96/0.8 (outer/inner sigma) xy-polarized illumination. The wafer target is a 45-nm CD at 90-nm pitch. For these settings, the mask transmission resonance occurs as a sharp

peak on top of the smoothly increasing dose-to-size curve between 40- and 50-nm mask linewidth (at $1\times$), as presented in Fig. 1. This resonant-type anomaly is well predicted by rigorous electromagnetic field (EMF) lithographic simulators, and is thus fully described by the Maxwell equations. The experimental observation of this anomaly validates in fact the predictive power of lithographic simulators for the imaging performance of even this type of exotic mask stacks.

Simulations reveal this resonance effect already in the off-axis diffraction spectrum as a local transmission loss in the propagating diffraction orders (see Fig. 1). Both zeroth and first diffraction orders exhibit a dip in their amplitude leading to an increase of the required dose.

2 When Does the Mask Transmission Resonance Occur?

Because of its substantial impact on the dose-to-size behavior, we have examined the dependency of the mask transmission resonance on various mask and lithographic settings.

First, we observe multiple mask transmission resonances through mask linewidth for a large pitch range. Figure 2 compares the dose-to-size curves from simulation to those from experimental printing evaluation for a pitch range of 88 nm to 130 nm targeted to a 45-nm wafer CD. Resonances around mask linewidths 30 nm, 45 nm, and 62 nm become apparent. The pitch influences only the magnitude of the resonance.

Second, we have investigated the effect of the mask material and stack on the resonance at the same lithographic conditions as for the Ta/SiON 1% att.PSM. We did not find a resonance anomaly in the standard mask stacks Cr binary mask and MoSi 6% att.PSM. We also have looked into the behavior of two bilayer att.PSM versions with Ta as absorber, but SiO₂ as transparent phase shifter. These masks have a Ta thickness of 20 nm or 30 nm with a corresponding SiO₂ thickness of 145 nm or 138 nm, respectively, on top. Experimentally, we do not observe a resonance in these Ta/SiO₂ att.PSM for pitch 90 nm. This is an indication that the mask transmission resonance is not related to the

Fig. 1 Observation of a mask transmission resonance (indicated by an arrow) through mask linewidth at pitch 90 nm (at $1\times$) for Ta/SiON 1% att.PSM using NA 1.20 cQuad 20 deg 0.96/0.8 (outer/inner sigma) xy-polarized illumination. Right axis: experimental dose-to-size curve. Left axis: simulated amplitudes of the propagating diffraction orders for the x-oriented poles using y-polarization.

*On assignment from Dai Nippon Printing
1537-1646/2008/\$25.00 © 2008 SPIE

Fig. 2 Top: simulated dose-to-size curves through mask linewidth for a pitch range of 90 nm to 130 nm. Bottom: corresponding experimental printing results for a pitch range of 88 nm to 130 nm. Results for Ta/SiON 1% att.PSM using NA 1.20 x -oriented dipole 0.96/0.8 sigma outer-inner y -polarized illumination.

Ta-metal absorber alone, but is more likely created by the mask stack configuration of a dielectric layer on top of the absorber. Indeed, if we perform by simulations a thickness variation of SiON or SiO₂ on any absorber layer such as Ta, Cr, or even MoSi, we can find a thickness of the transparent layer that will generate a resonance around one or more specific mask linewidths. For example, at NA 1.2 using x -oriented dipole 40 deg 0.96/0.8 (outer/inner sigma) with y -polarized illumination, a SiO₂ thickness around 180 nm on top of 19-nm-thick Ta layer produces a mask transmission resonance, while at 145-nm SiO₂ thickness, no anomaly in the dose-to-size curve is seen [see Fig. 3(a)].

Last, the magnitude of the mask transmission resonance depends on the NA, illuminator shape, and polarization state of the incoming light, but the resonant-type anomaly appears at all investigated settings. For both x - and y -polarized light, resonances occur, but at different parametric mask settings. Figure 3(b) illustrates that at the SiON thickness, where a resonance occurs for y -polarized light (*cf.* Fig. 1), no resonance is observed for x -polarized light. However, by increasing the SiON thickness, the mask transmission resonance starts emerging at the large linewidth side. As such, the mask configuration acts as a polarization-sensitive filter. These findings support the idea

Fig. 3 Impact of the dielectric layer thickness on the presence of a mask transmission resonance in the simulated dose-to-size curve using x -oriented dipole illumination, other settings as in Fig. 1. (a) For Ta/SiO₂ att.PSM with 19-nm Ta-absorber using y -polarized light. (b) For Ta/SiON att.PSM with 34-nm Ta-absorber using x -polarized light.

of an optical resonance induced by the transparent phase-shift layer of the mask grating. This phenomenon seems closely related to the waveguide-grating resonances described in Refs. 1 and 2. The resonances occur due to the coupling of the diffracted fields from the incoming light into the waveguide modes. In our case, the absorber grating creates the diffracted fields, and the waveguide is formed by the dielectric layer of higher refractive index between the quartz substrate and air of lower refractive index. For a certain mask linewidth, the dielectric cavity becomes a resonator for one polarization direction. Looking at the transmission of the EMF under off-axis incidence through the mask, as exemplified in Fig. 4 for Ta/SiON 1% att.PSM, we observe spots of higher intensities confined inside the SiON-cavity for the resonant mask linewidth using y -polarized illumination, in contrast to the nonresonant mask linewidth and in contrast to x -polarized illumination.

3 Impact on Mask Error Enhancement Factor

The sharp enhancement of the dose-to-size curve around the resonant mask linewidth will induce a variation in the mask error enhancement factor (MEEF) behavior. We even measure negative MEEF values caused by a mask transmission resonance (see Fig. 5). A negative MEEF seems counterintuitive since it implies that the printed wafer CD decreases with increasing mask linewidth at fixed exposure dose. Moreover, the correlation between the dose-to-size curve and MEEF behavior clearly indicates that the MEEF behavior can be well predicted by the differential of the dose-to-size curve through mask linewidth. This can be simply explained as follows. MEEF is defined as the derivative of the wafer CD through mask linewidth, or

$$MEEF = \frac{dCD}{dmLW}, \quad (1)$$

with mLW =mask linewidth. Multiplying the right side of Eq. (1) by unity, or $(dDose/dDose)$ gives

Fig. 4 Transmission of the EMFs under 15 deg oblique incidence through the Ta/SiON 1% att.PSM mask unit cell of pitch 90 nm (at 1×). The illumination is y-polarized in (a) and (b), x-polarized in (c). The mask linewidth (at 1×) is 35 nm in (a) and 45 nm in (b) and (c).

$$MEEF = \frac{dDose}{dmLW} \cdot \frac{dCD}{dDose} \quad (2)$$

The wafer CD variation through exposure dose is assumed to correspond to a first-order function for small dose variation based on simulations and experiments specific to this study, in other words, $(dCD/dDose)$ is a constant, and Eq. (2) results in the proportionality

$$MEEF \propto \frac{dDose}{dmLW} \quad (3)$$

More precisely, when the dose-to-size curve decreases with increasing mask linewidth, a negative MEEF is measured,

which is consistent with the negative slope of the dose-to-size curve around these mask linewidths. Figure 5 is an experimental proof of the relationship Eq. (3) between dose to size and MEEF for the specific conditions of this study, showing a MEEF oscillation from +25 to −10 over only a 2-nm mask linewidth increase at 1×. The occurrence of strong varying MEEF within the current limits of mask linewidth uniformity is of course an undesirable imaging effect, as it will give rise to uncontrollable CD variations at fixed exposure dose. On the other hand, understanding the mechanism of the mask transmission resonance can offer the possibility to explore potential imaging applications.

4 Conclusions

In this letter, we have demonstrated the existence of mask transmission resonances under specific lithographic conditions of mask stack, line/space grating, and illumination. Experimental investigations have revealed the presence of such mask transmission resonance as an irregularity in the dose-to-size behavior through mask linewidth. As a consequence, even negative MEEF values were reported. Furthermore, we have argued that the differential of the dose-to-size curve through mask linewidth corresponds to the MEEF. In addition, the agreement between our experimental and simulated results confirms the validity of mask 3-D simulations for the conditions of this study.

We conclude this letter with a two-part remark: First, caution is required when exploring alternative mask stacks for improving imaging to exclude uncontrollable and thus unwanted optical anomalies. Second, the awareness of these optical effects could possibly translate into a controllable and thus beneficial impact on imaging. However, the implementation has yet to be proven viable.

References

1. R. Magnusson and S. S. Wang, "New principle for optical filters," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **61**(9), 1022–1024 (1992).
2. G. Bao and K. Huang, "Optimal design of guided-mode grating resonance filters," *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* **16**(1), 141–143 (2004).

Fig. 5 Settings identical to Fig. 1. Top: experimental dose-to-size curve; bottom: experimental MEEF curve and differential of the experimental dose-to-size curve.