Compact silicon slot waveguide polarization splitter

Anthony Katigbak,^a James F. Strother, Jr.,^b and Jie Lin^c

^aProgress Energy, 410 S. Wilmington St., Raleigh, North Carolina 27601

^bBucknell University, Physics Department, 701 Moore Avenue, Lewisburg, Pennsylvania 17837

^cBucknell University, Electrical Engineering Department, 701 Moore Avenue, Lewisburg, Pennsylvania 17837

E-mail: j1033@bucknell.edu

Abstract. A compact slot waveguide polarization splitter based on the silicon (Si) material system is proposed and analyzed. The slot waveguide structure introduces significant beat-length differences for transverse electric and transverse magnetic polarizations at operation wavelength of $1.55\ \mu\text{m}$. The special self-imaging design with limited modes and weak second-mode excitation shortens the device length to $<50\ \mu\text{m}$, while still delivering good performance. The polarization extinction ratio is 13 dB, and the excess loss is only 0.3 dB. The splitter also has a relaxed length tolerance of 550 nm. These attributes make it an excellent candidate for polarization diversity circuits and compact electronic-photonics integrated circuits. © 2009 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers.
[DOI: 10.1117/1.3200898]

Subject terms: silicon slot waveguide; silicon-on-insulator; multimode interference; polarization splitter; integrated circuits.

Paper 090190LR received Mar. 17, 2009; revised manuscript received Jun. 9, 2009; accepted for publication Jun. 22, 2009; published online Aug. 7, 2009.

1 Introduction

The development of photonic circuits and the emergence of electronic-photonics circuits show that integration becomes the key to the success of these photonic devices, which makes compact waveguide devices with low excess loss an essential component in integrated circuit design. One crucial problem in ultrasmall waveguide devices is the structural birefringence that is impossible to eliminate. Birefringence-induced polarization dependence is mostly undesirable because it causes phase shifts in all interferometric devices, such as Mach-Zehnder interferometers and ring resonators. In order to have polarization control, orthogonal transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) polarizations have to be split and processed separately. Therefore, polarization diversity circuits with polarization splitters are critical and necessary in ultrasmall device design. There are primarily two mechanisms for polarization splitters. One mechanism is waveguide-based polarization splitters, such as directional couplers,¹ Mach-Zehnder scheme interferometers,² and multimode interference splitters.^{3,4} Although much research has been done in the design and fabrication of these types of polarization splitters, the majority of these approaches have limitations, such as excess loss or requiring external stress-inducing schemes. The other mechanism is the photonic crystal

Fig. 1 Schematic structure of the polarization splitter. Inset shows the geometry of the MMI section and the waveguides cross section.

(PC)-based polarization splitter.⁵ Because of the nature of the PC, the existence of a TM photonic bandgap provides a mean for TE and TM splitting. However, the PC fabrication process is not compatible with general integrated circuit processes, which makes this approach difficult to deploy at the current stage.

Polarization separation based on self-imaging devices has been studied for the lithium niobate and glass material systems.⁶ But the lithium niobate and glass material systems are not suitable for integration due to the bulky size and noncompatibility with standard fabrication. The nonbirefringent silicon material system is a better candidate for integration because of its matured fabrication technology, low cost, and compatibility with most of the electronic/photonic devices. A simple structure polarization splitter based on the silicon material system is proposed in this paper. A multimode interference (MMI) polarization splitter on a commercial substrate silicon-on-insulator is investigated. The simplicity of the slot waveguide structure and the compact size make the proposed splitter a great candidate for photonic integrated circuits or electronic-photonics circuits. The characteristics of the slot waveguide are explained, followed by a discussion of limited-modes MMI design for a compact-size splitter. The performance and tolerance of the device are analyzed and presented at the end.

2 Waveguide Structure and Coupler Design

The slot waveguide core is composed of an upper silicon layer ($n=3.455$), a sandwiched silicon-oxide layer ($n<3.455$), and a lower silicon layer ($n=3.455$). The splitter schematic structure is shown in Fig. 1 and the slot waveguide cross section is depicted by the inset. The structure is analyzed by the rigorous finite difference method (mode solver) and the 3-D vector beam propagation method. The operating wavelength of the design is $1.55\ \mu\text{m}$.

In the slot structure, the structural birefringence is large even though there is no material birefringence in the Si material system. The characteristics of TE polarization are more sensitive to the lateral structure because the major electrical field of TE is in the horizontal direction; the characteristics of TM polarization are more sensitive to the vertical structure because the major electrical field of TM is in the vertical direction. As shown in Fig. 2, the majority of the TE field is contained in the upper and lower Si layers, whereas the majority of the TM field is contained in the sandwiched SiO_x layer.⁷ As a result, the effective indices of the TE and TM polarizations have large differences. This

Fig. 2 TE/TM mode field distributions for a typical Si slot waveguide.

birefringence causes a large beat-length difference between TE and TM polarizations. As shown in Fig. 3, the beat-length difference between TE and TM polarizations ($\Delta L_\pi = L_\pi^{\text{TE}} - L_\pi^{\text{TM}}$) changes as a function of the MMI section width (given a fixed sandwiched-layer index of 1.5, upper and lower Si-layer thicknesses of 200 nm, and a sandwiched-layer thickness of 100 nm).

In the MMI coupler, polarization splitting is obtained if the mirrored single image position of TE polarization and the direct single image position of TM polarization are the same, or vice versa.⁶ The images can be expressed as⁸

$$\Psi(y, L) = \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} c_v \psi_v(y) \exp \left[j \frac{v(v+2)\pi}{3L_\pi} L \right], \quad (1)$$

where m is the total number of guided modes supported in MMI section, L_π is the beat length, and c_v is the modal excitation coefficient, which can be calculated with the overlap integral. Clear single images formed at lengths of $p3L_\pi$ (where p is an integer).⁸

As the MMI width reduces, the number of guided modes reduces. Once the MMI section guide limited modes, such as four modes, the field can be expressed as follows, at distance $p3L_\pi + L_\pi$:

$$\Psi(y, L) = \sum_{v=0}^3 c_v \psi_v(y) \exp \left[j \frac{v(v+2)(3p+1)\pi}{3} \right]. \quad (2)$$

Without losing generality, p can be set to 1. By inspection, the phase is not a multiple of 2π only when $v=2$. Clear self-single imaging happens when all modes experi-

Fig. 3 TE and TM beat-length difference versus MMI width for different sandwiched layers at fixed sandwiched thickness 100 nm.

Fig. 4 The power excitation coefficients of the 1.4 μm MMI with the 0.5 μm wide access waveguide; the sandwiched layer index is 1.5.

ence phase shifts of a multiple of 2π . However, the power excitation coefficient c_v is a function of the input-field offset, the lateral distance between the center of the MMI section and the access waveguide.⁸ c_0 , c_1 , and c_3 show weak dependence on the input offset, but c_2 is strongly dependent on the input offset. The 1.4- μm wide MMI is taken as an example with the input access waveguide width of 0.5 μm and vertical structure identical to that of the MMI region. As shown in Fig. 4, the power excitation coefficient c_2^2 is very small (< -20 dB) when the offset is ~ 0.25 μm . With the input offset to the minimum c_2 excitation point, the summation of the fields at distance $p3L_\pi \pm L_\pi$ is almost the replica of the input-field image with the phase of the second mode being slightly off. The images at those positions are clear and have excess loss of < 0.5 dB. This holds true for both TE and TM polarizations.

The beat length difference between TE and TM polarizations is large due to the structure-induced birefringence. The TE and TM polarizations can be routed to different outputs in minimum length,⁶ if the MMI length is designed to satisfy the following condition (where m and n are integers):

$$L_{\text{MMI}} = m3L_{\pi, \text{TE}} + L_{\pi, \text{TE}} = n3L_{\pi, \text{TM}} + L_{\pi, \text{TM}}. \quad (3)$$

An example is demonstrated and analyzed here. The vertical structure is as previously stated. The MMI width (W) is chosen as 1.4 μm to ensure easy separation between the two output ports but support only four modes for TE polarization and three modes for TM polarization. The 0.5- μm single-mode access waveguides and 0.65- μm taper are adopted. The offset between input waveguide and MMI center is adjusted to 0.19 μm due to the existence of the taper. The first mirrored-image position of TE and TM polarizations can be found at 11.32 and 14.65 μm , respectively, according to the BPM results.

Referring to Eq. (3), when $m=4$ and $n=3$, the TE and TM polarizations form clear single images at cross and through ports, respectively. The MMI length is adjusted to 48.3 μm mainly due to the phase errors from the approximations of the self-image principle;⁷ it is also the effect of the input and output tapers. Excellent splitting between TE and TM polarizations is demonstrated in Fig. 5. The TE

Fig. 5 Field distribution of polarization splitter with width of $1.4\ \mu\text{m}$ and length of $48.3\ \mu\text{m}$ at the center of the rib in vertical direction for (a) TE polarization and (b) TM polarization.

polarization is routed to the cross output, while the TM polarization is routed to the through output. The extinction ratios are 12.7 and 15.98 dB for the TE and TM ports, respectively. The excess losses are 0.3 and 0.22 dB for TE and TM, respectively. For >-0.5 dB throughput, the MMI section length error tolerance is ~ 550 nm as shown in Fig. 6, and the width error tolerance and wavelength error tol-

Fig. 6 Length tolerance of the polarization splitter MMI section.

erances are 8 and 20 nm, respectively. The extinction ratios for TE and TM modes are higher than other similar devices with comparable size and are comparable to other similar devices with much large size. This shows that the slot waveguide structure is a great candidate as a Si waveguide polarization splitter for a polarization diversity scheme. The device can be used as a polarization combiner as well. With an automated and matured Si processing technology, the proposed devices are feasible and promising.

3 Conclusion

In conclusion, this work reports a slot waveguide structure polarization splitter based on a self-imaging scheme. A slot waveguide structure is adopted to obtain a large beat-length difference between TE and TM polarizations. A limited-modes MMI section together with weak excitation of the second-order mode gives clear single images. With careful design of MMI size and input access waveguide offset, excellent splitting is demonstrated with a polarization extinction ratio of 13 dB and low excess loss of 0.3 dB for both polarizations. Relatively relaxed tolerances are also obtained. This compact polarization splitter is a promising candidate for electronic-photonics integrated circuits.

References

1. I. Liyat, A. Aydinli, and N. Dagli, "A compact silicon-on-insulator polarization splitter," *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* **17**(1), 100–102 (2005).
2. L. B. Soldano, A. H. de Vreede, M. K. Smit, B. H. Verbeek, E. G. Metaal, and F. H. Groen, "Mach-Zehnder interferometer polarization splitter in InGaAsP-InP," *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* **6**, 402–405 (1994).
3. J. M. Hong, H. H. Ryu, S. R. Part, and J. W. Jeong, "Design and fabrication of a significantly shortened multimode interference coupler for polarization splitter application," *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* **15**(1), 72–74 (2003).
4. D. M. Mackie and A. W. Lee, "Slotted multimode-interference devices," *Appl. Opt.* **43**(36), 6609–6619 (2004).
5. E. Schonbrum, Q. Wu, W. Park, T. Yamashita, and C. J. Summers, "Polarization beam splitter based on a photonic crystal heterostructure," *Opt. Lett.* **31**(21), 3104–3106 (2006).
6. D. M. Mackie, T. J. Tayag, and T. E. Batchman, "Polarization separation/combination based on self-imaging," *Opt. Eng.* **40**(10), 2265–2272 (2001).
7. T. Fujisawa and M. Koshiba, "Theoretical investigation of ultrasmall polarization insensitive 1×2 multimode interference waveguides based on sandwiched structures," *IEEE Photonics Technol. Lett.* **18**(11), 1246–1248 (2006).
8. L. B. Soldano and E. C. M. Pennings, "Optical multi-mode interference devices based on self-imaging: principles and applications," *J. Lightwave Technol.* **13**(4), 615–627 (1995).