Optical Engineering

SPIEDigitalLibrary.org/oe

Temporal ghost imaging with a chaotic laser

Zhipeng Chen
Hu Li
Yuan Li
Jianhong Shi
Guihua Zeng

Temporal ghost imaging with a chaotic laser

Zhipeng Chen

Shanghai Jiaotong University
State Key Laboratory of Advanced Optical
Communication Systems and Networks
Key Laboratory on Navigation and Location-Based
Service
Department of Electronic Engineering
800 Dongchuan Road, Minhang District
Shanghai 200240, China
and
Shanghai Dianji University
College of Electronics and Information
1350 Ganlan Road
Pudong New District
Shanghai 201306, China
E-mail: chenzp@sjtu.edu.cn

Hu Li

Shanghai Jiaotong University
State Key Laboratory of Advanced Optical
Communication Systems and Networks
Key Laboratory on Navigation and Location-Based
Service
Department of Electronic Engineering
800 Dongchuan Road, Minhang District
Shanghai 200240, China

Yuan Li

Shanghai Dianji University
College of Electronics and Information
1350 Ganlan Road
Pudong New District
Shanghai 201306, China

Jianhong Shi

Guihua Zeng

Shanghai Jiaotong University
State Key Laboratory of Advanced Optical
Communication Systems and Networks
Key Laboratory on Navigation and Location-Based
Service
Department of Electronic Engineering
800 Dongchuan Road, Minhang District
Shanghai 200240, China

Abstract. We use a chaotic laser, instead of thermal light, as the light source in temporal ghost imaging. This laser is generated by employing an external optical feedback. The imaging magnification is varied by adjusting the group-delay dispersion parameters of the fibers. The temporal ghost imaging result is the convolution between the transmission function of the object and the temporal correlation functions of the chaotic laser. The simulation experiment, which uses a controllable time switch as the object, shows the effectiveness of our scheme. This scheme could find applications in the time-domain tomography of pulses. © *The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI.* [DOI: [10.1117/1.OE.52.7.076103](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.OE.52.7.076103)]

Subject terms: temporal ghost imaging; chaotic laser; second-order correlation; Kobayashi equation.

Paper 130221 received Feb. 11, 2013; revised manuscript received Jun. 5, 2013; accepted for publication Jun. 6, 2013; published online Jul. 5, 2013.

1 Introduction

Ghost imaging is a type of correlation imaging that utilizes the spatial or temporal correlation of incoherent light. In this technique, light is generally divided into two paths: the signal light and the idle light. The signal light illuminates an object, and the reflected or transmitted part is collected by a bucket detector. In contrast, the idle light propagates in the free space and is collected by a scanned detector. Finally, the spatial or temporal distribution information of the object is nonlocally reappeared through coincidence measurement between the bucket detector and the scanned detector.

Klyshko proposed the earliest ghost imaging scheme, which is based on the entangled behavior of photon pairs generated by the spontaneous parametric down-

conversion.¹ Later, Pittman et al. first realized ghost imaging using a two-photon entangled source.² Subsequently, ghost interference, subwavelength interference, nonlocal double slit interference, and incoherent coincidence imaging with X-ray diffraction were successively proposed.^{3–10} At that time, ghost imaging was deemed as a nonlocal phenomenon in quantum theory, and the entanglement was considered a prerequisite for achieving ghost imaging.¹¹ However, in 2002, Bennink et al. completed a ghost imaging experiment using a mirror random reflecting laser.¹² In 2004, the Italian Lugiato research group proposed that ghost imaging could be achieved using thermal light.¹³ Hence, an entangled light source is not a necessary condition for ghost imaging.

Ghost imaging was generally performed using the space correlation characteristics of the entangled light and the pseudo thermal light. However, these light sources have spatial as well as temporal correlation. Hence, the temporal ghost diffraction phenomena were reported when entangled two-photon pairs were employed as the light source.¹⁴ In 2008, Torres-Company et al. presented a ghost interference experiment with classical partially coherent light pulses.¹⁵ Their results are similar to those obtained by two-photon temporal entanglement. In their setup, a white-noise source was split into two different arms, and a temporal modulator was placed in only one arm. They theoretically proved that the intensity correlation measurements yield a temporal Fraunhofer pattern of the modulation gate function. In 2010, Setälä et al. presented temporal ghost imaging using long classical plane-wave pulses¹⁶ and proved that the intensity correlation is given by the fractional Fourier transform of the temporal object. In special cases, the correlation function could be reduced to the ordinary Fourier transform and the temporal image of the object. Shirai et al. presented temporal ghost imaging using nonstationary pulsed light.¹⁷ Under this condition, the temporal ghost image is generally distorted by the effect of the incident pulse.

At present, there are various techniques for achieving ghost imaging. However, the light source used is mainly classical temporally incoherent stationary light whose correlation time is zero.^{16,17} Since such light does not exist in reality, these conclusions are just theoretical results. In order to actually achieve temporal ghost imaging, in this study, a chaotic laser is employed whose correlation time is quite short. Therefore, it is suitable for temporal ghost imaging. In addition, chaotic laser can be easily generated by external optical feedback, and we can easily adjust parameters to change its correlation time. In this scheme, fibers are employed in the optical paths, and the imaging magnification can be changed by adjusting the group-delay dispersion parameters of the fibers. Further, a simulation experiment is carried out, and the results show that a temporal ghost image can be obtained.

This article is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we analyze the temporal correlation characteristics of the chaotic laser. In Sec. 3, we describe our temporal ghost imaging model and carry out simulation experiments using this model. Then the simulation results are discussed. Finally, conclusion is given in Sec. 4.

2 Temporal Correlation of Chaotic Laser

There are several methods of generating a chaotic laser.^{18–21} In our scheme, the Kobayashi model,²² which employs a semiconductor laser, is used. Let $E(t)$ represent the total electric field (including phase) in the laser cavity, and $N(t)$ represent carrier density in the laser cavity. Then the Kobayashi equation,²² which is the electric field rate equation of a single-mode semiconductor laser under optical feedback, can be written as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dE(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + i\alpha)G_N\left\{[N(t) - N_0] - \frac{1}{\tau_c}\right\}E(t) \\ \quad + kE(t - \tau)\exp(-i\omega_0\tau) \\ \frac{dN(t)}{dt} = J - \frac{1}{\tau_N}N(t) - G_N[N(t) - N_0]|E(t)|^2 \end{cases}, \quad (1)$$

where α is the line width enhancement factor, G_N is the differential gain, N_0 is the transparent carriers density, τ is the

Table 1 The parameters in the Kobayashi equation.

Parameter	Value	Unit
α	4.5	—
G_N	2×10^{12}	$\text{m}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$
N_0	10^{24}	m^{-3}
τ_c	2	ps
τ_N	2	ns
k	0.015	—
τ	1	ns
λ	850	nm
J	7.5×10^{26}	$\text{m}^{-3} \text{s}^{-1}$

feedback delay time, τ_N is the carrier life, k is the feedback coefficient, τ_c is the light round-trip time in laser cavities, ω_0 is the laser angular frequency ($\omega_0 = 2\pi c/\lambda$, where λ is the laser wavelength), and J is the pump current after charge normalization.

Since an analytical solution for Eq. (1) does not exist, we solve it numerically. The employed parameters are listed in Table 1.

The numerical solution of the chaotic laser is shown in Fig. 1, in which Fig. 1(a) is the amplitude for the chaotic laser, Fig. 1(b) is the frequency spectrum of chaotic laser, Fig. 1(c) is the space trajectory of chaotic laser, and Fig. 1(d) is the temporal correlation function of the chaotic laser.

According to Fig. 1(a), waveform value randomly varies over time in 20 ns. At the same time, it is also partly cyclical. These features indicate that the signal $E(t)$ is chaotic because chaos is just a state between random and determination. In

Fig. 1 (a) The amplitude of the chaotic laser: $|E(t)|$. (b) The frequency spectrum of the chaotic laser. (c) The space trajectory of the chaotic laser, where $\phi(t)$ is the phase of the chaotic laser after unwrapping. (d) The time correlation function of the chaotic laser.

addition, according to Fig. 1(b), the flat and wide-band frequency spectrum illustrates that the energy is dispersed over a wide frequency range. This is one of the most important characteristics of chaotic laser.

In order to further illustrate that the solution of Eq. (1) is chaotic, its space trajectory is shown in Fig. 1(c). From this figure, we can see that its attractor is a singular attractor, and therefore, the dynamic system determined by Eq. (1) is a chaotic system. At the same time, we compute the largest Lyapunov exponent²³ $\lambda_1 = 0.1554$, which is positive. This again confirms that the system is a chaotic system.

We now investigate the correlation function $\Gamma_0(t_1, t_2)$ of the chaotic laser, which is written as follows:

$$\Gamma_0(t_1, t_2) = \langle E^*(t_1)E(t_2) \rangle. \quad (2)$$

Because signal $E(t)$ is a stationary stochastic process, its correlation function is only related with the time difference $\tau = t_1 - t_2$. Then, Eq. (2) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\Gamma_1(\tau) = \langle E^*(t)E(t+\tau) \rangle = \frac{1}{T-\tau} \int_0^{T-\tau} E^*(t)E(t+\tau)dt, \quad (3)$$

where T is the duration of chaotic laser. In fact, $\Gamma_1(\tau)$ and $\Gamma_0(t_1, t_2)$ are the same correlation function: $\Gamma_1(\tau) = \Gamma_1(t_1 - t_2) = \Gamma_0(t_1, t_2)$. However, in order to distinguish the number of the independent variables, we use different notations (Γ_1 and Γ_0) to represent the functions of a single variable and two variables. Using Eq. (3), we can obtain the temporal correlation function of chaotic laser, which is shown in Fig. 1(d). In this figure, we can observe an obvious peak that rapidly declines with an increase in time delay τ . This indicates that when two times overlap, the chaotic laser has maximal correlation. When the time delay τ increases, the correlation quickly diminishes. These features show that the chaotic laser is suitable for use as the light source in the temporal ghost imaging.

3 Temporal Ghost Imaging with Chaotic Laser

3.1 Temporal Ghost Imaging Model

The setup of temporal ghost imaging is shown in Fig. 2. In this setup, we adjust the related parameters of the laser to obtain chaotic laser. A beam splitter divides the chaotic laser into two paths: the reference arm and the object arm. Both arms are sent into the fibers.

A temporal lens, whose characteristic function is $\exp(it^2/2\gamma)$, is placed on the reference arm, and an object is placed on the object arm in its optical path. The object is also called the time object because the final imaging result is the temporal characteristics of this object. The $m(t)$ is used to depict the time variation characteristics of the time object, e.g., a controllable switch on time, or lenses whose transmittance rates change over time, etc.

Two photoelectric detectors D_1 and D_2 are placed at the end of the two optical arms. The average optical intensity in the reference arm $I_1(t_1) \langle E_1^*(t_1)E_1(t_1) \rangle$ and the total electric field in the object arm $I_2(t_2) \langle E_2^*(t_2)E_2(t_2) \rangle$ are recorded by D_1 and D_2 , respectively. The fields $E_1(t_1)$ and $E_2(t_2)$ jointly obey Gaussian statistics, and hence, the actual field coherence function $\Gamma(t_1, t_2)$ can be obtained from the correlation of the measured intensities in the two arms.

Fig. 2 Temporal ghost imaging setup. BS is beam splitter, $m(t)$ represents the time variation characteristics of time object, $\exp(it^2/2\gamma)$ is the characteristic function of the temporal lens, D_1 and D_2 are two photoelectric detectors, and the fibers are characterized by the group-delay dispersion parameters Φ_i , with $i = (a, b, c \text{ and } d)$.

According to the linear systems theory of optical coherence propagation, the relationship between $\Gamma_0(t_1, t_2)$ and $\Gamma(t_1, t_2)$ is described as follows:

$$\Gamma(t_1, t_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma_0(t'_1, t'_2) K_1^*(t_1, t'_1) K_2(t_2, t'_2) dt'_1 dt'_2. \quad (4)$$

Here, K_1 is the kernel function in the reference arm, and K_2 is the kernel function in the object arm. In fact, the kernel function is the transmission function in the fiber channel, which can also be regarded as the unit impulse response of the system. According to Ref. 15, K_1 and K_2 can be written as

$$K_1(t, t') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{i}{\Phi_a}} \sqrt{\frac{i}{\Phi_b}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(\frac{it'^2}{2\gamma}\right) \times \exp\left[-i\frac{(t'' - t')^2}{2\Phi_a} - i\frac{(t'' - t)^2}{2\Phi_b}\right] dt'', \quad (5)$$

$$K_2(t, t') = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{i}{\Phi_c}} \sqrt{\frac{i}{\Phi_d}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m(t'') \times \exp\left[-i\frac{(t'' - t')^2}{2\Phi_c} - i\frac{(t'' - t)^2}{2\Phi_d}\right] dt'', \quad (6)$$

where Φ_a and Φ_b are the group-delay dispersion parameters of the fiber in the reference arm, and Φ_c and Φ_d are the group-delay dispersion parameters of the fiber in the object arm.

If we directly compute $\Gamma(t_1, t_2)$ through the integration described in Eq. (4), the calculation will be related to a very complex quadruple integral. In order to reduce the calculation complexity, the following method is designed.

Step 1: Through convolution, Eq. (4) can be simplified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Gamma_1(t'_1 - t'_2) K_1^*(t_1, t'_1) K_2(t_2, t'_2) \\ &\quad \times dt'_1 dt'_2 \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Gamma_1(t'_1) \otimes K_2(t_2, t'_1)) K_1^*(t_1, t'_1) dt'_1,\end{aligned}\quad (7)$$

where $\otimes$ denotes the convolution operation, which is defined follows: let $x(t_1)$ and $y(t_1)$ represent two signals, and the convolution between $x(t_1)$ and $y(t_1)$ is given as

$$x(t_1) \otimes y(t_1) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t_1 - t_2) y(t_2) dt_2. \quad (8)$$

Step 2: We transform the continuous variable $\Gamma_0(t'_1)$ to the discrete vector β and transform the continuous variable $K_1^*(t_1, t'_1)$, $K_2(t_2, t'_1)$ to the discrete matrices K_1 , K_2 .

Step 3: We record the convolution between β and the every row of K_2 as M .

Step 4: We add zeros into K_1 and ensure that it has the same dimensionality as M . The final integration result can be defined as

$$\Gamma = K_1 M^T \Delta t, \quad (9)$$

where Δt is the time sampling step.

According to Refs. 16 and 24, the temporal analog of the thin lens equation in spatial ghost imaging is

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\Phi_b} + \frac{1}{\Phi_a - \Phi_c}. \quad (10)$$

When the previous condition holds, we can obtain

$$|\Gamma(t_1, t_2)|^2 = \frac{I_0^2}{2\pi} \left| \frac{1}{\Phi_{ds}} \right| \left| m\left(\frac{t_1}{s}\right) \right|^2, \quad (11)$$

where

$$s = \frac{\Phi_b}{\Phi_c - \Phi_a}. \quad (12)$$

We can find that $|\Gamma(t_1, t_2)|$ is similar to $m(t)$, which means that it can be considered the imaging result of the temporal object. Here, s is the magnification factor.

3.2 Simulation Experiments and Discussion

According to the setup proposed above, the kernel function of the reference arm K_1 is certain, which is described in Eq. (5), whereas the kernel function of the object arm K_2 is uncertain, which depends on the time object. For convenience, we choose the most simple time object, e.g., a controllable time switch described in Eq. (11).

$$m(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & -1 < t < 1 \text{ (ns)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (13)$$

This will let the light completely pass from -1 to 1 ns and completely block the light at other times. Through the simulation, we obtain the result of the temporal ghost imaging,

which is shown in Fig. 3. Figure 3(a) shows simulated results of $|\Gamma(t_1, t_2)|$ when $s = 1$, $s = 0.5$, and $s = 3$. From these figures, we can easily find that the function achieves high values at the center, and these values decrease gradually toward the edge. These features agree with the function characteristics of a controllable time switch.

From Eq. (9), we can find that each line of $|\Gamma(t_1, t_2)|$ represents $m(t_1/s)$. Therefore, we take out the middle line of $|\Gamma(t_1, t_2)|$, which is shown in Fig. 3(b). From the simulated temporal ghost imaging results, we can see that when $s = 1$, the time object maintains its original size. When $s = 0.5$, the time object shrinks to half of the original size. When $s = 3$, the time object expands to three times its original size. These simulation results show the effect of the magnification factor s on the imaging quality. When $s < 1$, the image fluctuates considerably and the imaging quality is poor, whereas when $s > 1$, a better image can be obtained. Thus, the temporal ghost imaging achieved in this study is more suitable for enlarged imaging of an object.

From Fig. 3(b), we can observe that the function values are not smooth and have a certain degree of fluctuations. This phenomenon indicates that the imaging result is not the ideal image of the original object described in Eq. (9). When Eq. (9) is deduced, the light source considered is the ideal thermal light whose correlation function is an ideal unit impulse pulse. Its correlation function is described by the following formula:¹²

$$\Gamma_0(t'_1, t'_2) = I_0 \delta(t'_1 - t'_2). \quad (14)$$

In this case, the temporal ghost imaging can recover the original image perfectly. However, the light source in this article is a chaotic laser whose temporal correlation function is shown in Fig. 1(d), so Eq. (11) needs to be revised. From Eq. (7), we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(t_1, t_2) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Gamma_1(t'_1) \otimes K_2(t_2, t'_1)) K_1^*(t_1, t'_1) dt'_1 \\ &= \Gamma_1(t_1) \otimes \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_2(t_2, t'_1) K_1^*(t_1, t'_1) dt'_1.\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

Fig. 3 : Result of temporal ghost imaging. (a) The simulated results of $|\Gamma(t_1, t_2)|$. (b) Temporal ghost imaging results. $s = \Phi_b/(\Phi_c - \Phi_a)$ is the magnification factor.

According to Ref. 16, when Eq. (10) holds, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_2(t_2, t'_1) K_1^*(t_1, t'_1) dt'_1 \\ &= \frac{m(t_1/s)}{\sqrt{-2\pi i s \Phi_d}} \exp(i\psi') \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2\Phi_d} \left(\frac{t_1}{s} - t_2\right)^2\right] \\ & \times \exp\left[\frac{i}{2\Phi_b} \left(1 - \frac{1}{s}\right) t_1^2\right], \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where ψ' is a time-independent phase term. Substituting Eq. (16) into Eq. (15) gives

$$|\Gamma(t_1, t_2)|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \frac{1}{\Phi_d s} \left| m\left(\frac{t_1}{s}\right) \otimes \Gamma_1(t_1) \right|^2 \right|. \quad (17)$$

Equation (17) shows that final imaging result $\Gamma(t_1, t_2)$ is the convolution between the original image, $m(t_1/s)$, and the temporal correlation function of the chaotic laser, $\Gamma_1(t_1)$.

In order to verify Eq. (17), a simulation experiment is performed. The time object chooses a cycle of the sine function as the transfer function. This is more complex than the time switch, and it can be written as follows:

$$m(t) = \begin{cases} \sin(10^9 \times \pi t) & -1 < t < 1 \text{ (ns)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \quad (18)$$

The simulation result is shown in Fig. 4, in which Fig. 4(a) shows the original image of the time object, Fig. 4(b) shows the absolute value of the original image, Fig. 4(c) shows the absolute value of the convolution between $m(t)$ with $\Gamma(t)$, and Fig. 4(d) shows the temporal ghost imaging result obtained using the method proposed in this article.

By comparing Fig. 4(c) and 4(d), we observe that these two figures are almost the same. This shows that the temporal ghost imaging result is indeed the absolute value of the convolution between the original image and the temporal correlation function, thus verifying Eq. (13). In addition, because the final imaging result is the absolute value of the original image, the negative part in the original image cannot be distinguished and restored, i.e., temporal ghost

Fig. 4 (a) The function image of $m(t) = \sin(10^9 \times \pi t)$. (b) The function image of $|m(t)|$. (c) The function image of $|m(t) \otimes \Gamma_1(t)|$. (d) Temporal ghost image result: $\Gamma(t)$.

imaging can only obtain the absolute value image of the time object.

4 Conclusion

We have investigated the temporal correlation characteristics of a chaotic laser and found that its correlation time is very short. This indicates that a chaotic laser can be used as a new light source in temporal ghost imaging. By adjusting the group-delay dispersion parameters Φ , we can change the magnification factor s , so as to magnify or shrink the resultant image. However, because the correlation function of the chaotic laser is not an ideal unit impulse pulse, imaging results cannot accurately restore the time objects. In fact, the direct temporal ghost imaging result is the convolution between the original image and the temporal correlation function of the light source. In order to recover the original image, deconvolution must be performed on the imaging results, which involves postprocessing and image recovery. It should be noted that temporal ghost imaging virtually obtains the amplitude image of a time object. In the future, temporal ghost imaging with chaotic laser may be applied in some practical fields such as biomedical sensing and time-domain tomography of pulses.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants Nos: 60970109, 61170228, and 61201258).

References

1. D. N. Klyshko, "Combine EPR and two-slit experiments: interference of advanced waves," *Phys. Lett. A* **132**(6–7), 299–304 (1988).
2. T. B. Pittman et al., "Optical imaging by means of two-photon quantum entanglement," *Phys. Rev. A* **52**(5), R3429–R3432 (1995).
3. D. V. Strekalov et al., "Observation of two-photon 'ghost' interference and diffraction," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**(18), 3600–3603 (1995).
4. E. J. S. Fonseca, C. H. Monken, and S. Pádua, "Measurement of the de Broglie wavelength of a multiphoton wave packet," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**(14), 2868–2871 (1999).
5. E. J. S. Fonseca et al., "Quantum interference by a nonlocal double slit," *Phys. Rev. A* **60**(2), 1530–1533 (1999).
6. A. N. Boto et al., "Quantum interferometric optical lithography: Exploiting entanglement to beat the diffraction limit," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**(13), 2733–2736 (2000).
7. M. D'Angelo, M. V. Chekhova, and Y. Shih, "Two-photon diffraction and quantum lithography," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**(1), 013602 (2001).
8. J. Cheng and S. Han, "Incoherent coincidence imaging and its applicability in x-ray diffraction," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**(9), 093903 (2004).
9. K. Wang and D.-Z. Cao, "Subwavelength coincidence interference with classical thermal light," *Phys. Rev. A* **70**(4), 041801 (2004).
10. D.-Z. Cao, J. Xiong, and K. Wang, "Geometrical optics in correlated imaging systems," *Phys. Rev. A* **71**(1), 013801 (2005).
11. A. F. Abouraddy et al., "Role of entanglement in two-photon imaging," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**(12), 123602 (2001).
12. R. S. Bennink, S. J. Bentley, and R. W. Boyd, "Two-photon coincidence imaging with a classical source," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**(11), 113601 (2002).
13. A. Gatti et al., "Ghost imaging with thermal light: comparing entanglement and classical correlation," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**(9), 093602 (2004).
14. S. Viciani, A. Zavatta, and M. Bellini, "Nonlocal modulations on the temporal and spectral profiles of an entangled photon pair," *Phys. Rev. A* **69**(5), 053801 (2004).
15. V. Torres-Company et al., "Ghost interference with classical partially coherent light pulses," *Phys. Rev. A* **77**(4), 043811 (2008).
16. T. Setälä, T. Shirai, and A. T. Friberg, "Fractional Fourier transform in temporal ghost imaging with classical light," *Phys. Rev. A* **82**(4), 043813 (2010).
17. T. Shirai, T. Setälä, and A. T. Friberg, "Temporal ghost imaging with classical non-stationary pulsed light," *Opt. Soc. Am. B* **27**(12), 2549–2555 (2010).
18. D. V. Ivanov et al., "Chaos in a solid-state laser with periodically modulated losses," *Phys. Lett. A* **89**(5), 229–230 (1982).
19. T. Mukai and K. Otsuka, "New route to optical chaos: Successive-subharmonic-oscillation cascade in a semiconductor laser coupled to an external cavity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **55**(17), 1711–1714 (1985).

20. S. Tang and J. M. Liu, "Chaotic pulsing and quasi-periodic route to chaos in a semiconductor laser with delayed opto-electronic feedback," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **37**(3), 329–336 (2001).
21. F. Y. Lin and J. M. Liu, "Nonlinear dynamical characteristics of an optically injected semiconductor laser subject to optoelectronic feedback," *Opt. Commun.* **221**(1–3), 173–180 (2003).
22. R. Lang and K. Kobayashi, "External optical feedback effects on semiconductor injection laser properties," *IEEE J. Quantum Electron.* **16**(3), 347–355 (1980).
23. J. Yang, G. Hu, and J. Xiao, "Chaos synchronization in coupled chaotic oscillators with multiple positive lyapunov exponents," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**(3), 496–499 (1998).
24. Y. Cai and S.-Y. Zhu, "Ghost imaging with incoherent and partially coherent light radiation," *Phys. Rev. E* **71**(5), 056607 (2005).

Zhipeng Chen received his BS and MS from the School of Communication and Information Engineering, University of Electronic Science and Technology, Chengdu, China, in 2005. He received his MS from Institute of Electronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, in 2008. He is now doing research in pursuit of his doctoral degree in quantum optical imaging in Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China. His research interests include theory and applications of ghost imaging with a thermal source and entangled source.

Hu Li received his BS and MS from the Department of Electronic Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, in 2009 and 2011, respectively. He is now doing research there in pursuit of his doctoral degree in quantum optical imaging. His research interests also include theory and applications of ghost imaging with a thermal source and an entangled source.

Yuan Li received his BS and MS from the Department of Applied Mathematics, Xi'an University of Science and Technology, China, in 2000 and 2006, respectively, and his PhD from Shanghai Jiaotong University in 2009. His research interests include quantum secret communication, quantum error correction coding, and quantum network.

Jianhong Shi received his BS degree in applied electronics from Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an China, in 1997, the Master and PhD degree in optics from Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China, in 2000 and 2003, respectively. He is currently an associate professor of the State Key Laboratory of Advanced Optical Communication Systems and Networks, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China. Prior to that, He was worked in CERN in Geneva with the group of AMS leading by Samuel C. C. Ting during 2003–2004, and a visiting scholar in NIMS in Tsukuba, Japan. His current research interests include quantum imaging, correlated imaging and their applications.

Guihua Zeng received his BS in physics from Hunan Institute of Humanities, Science and Technology, China, his MS in physics from Northwest University, China, and a PhD in optics from the Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics (SIOFM), the Chinese Academy of Science (CAS), China, in 1988, 1994, and 1997, respectively. From 1997 to 1999, he was as a postdoctoral fellow at Communication Engineering Department of the Xidian University, China. Since 2000, he has been a professor in the Electronic Engineering Department of Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China. From 2001 to 2002, he was an advanced visiting professor supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Fellowship at the Freiburg University in Germany. He also held a visiting position with the Chonbuk National University, Korea, in 2006. His research interests include quantum optical communication, quantum cryptography, correlated optics, and coding. He is a member of the Chinese Cryptology Society.