Optical Engineering

[SPIDigitalLibrary.org/oe](https://spiedigitallibrary.org/oe)

Calibration of a stereoscopic system without traditional distortion models

Wei Wang
Ji-Gui Zhu
Jia-Rui Lin

Calibration of a stereoscopic system without traditional distortion models

Wei Wang

Ji-Gui Zhu

Jia-Rui Lin

Tianjin University

State Key Laboratory of Precision Measuring

Technology and Instrument

Tianjin 300072, China

E-mail: linjr@tju.edu.cn

Abstract. In the field of photography measurement, parameterized models are usually established to account for lens distortions, such as the radial, tangential, affine, and nonorthogonality deformations. However, all of these models are the approximations of the realistic model of lenses, instead since some distortions cannot be parameterized accurately. This restricts the improvement of measuring accuracy. Moreover, the nonlinear minimization, which has been widely used with the pin-hole model and lens distortion model coupled, always converges to local solution because of the correlation of the parameters in the two models. Several researchers have proposed generic nonparametric idea, which can be applied equally well to all types of cameras, but the accuracy cannot meet the requirement of close-range photogrammetry. So an optical calibration method based on nonparametric ideas is proposed to find the mapping between incoming scene rays and image points, and subpixel image processing was used to position the image points. It is applicable to a central (single viewpoint) camera equipped with any lenses. This method is applied to the stereoscopic system, and the results show a good measuring accuracy. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: [10.1117/1.OE.52.9.093104](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.OE.52.9.093104)]

Subject terms: optical correction; photogrammetric; stereo camera system.

Paper 130735 received May 18, 2013; revised manuscript received Aug. 19, 2013; accepted for publication Aug. 19, 2013; published online Sep. 10, 2013.

1 Introduction

Stereoscopic system is considered a classic system in photography measurement. Accurate calibration of cameras is especially crucial, because it plays an important role in the measurement accuracy of a stereoscopic system. General calibration of a stereoscopic system consists of estimating the internal and external parameters. The internal parameters determine the image coordinates of the measured points in a scene with respect to the camera coordinate frame, and the external parameters represent the geometrical relationship between the camera and the scene or between the different cameras.

The existing techniques for camera calibration can be classified into two categories: parametric methods and general nonparametric methods.¹

Parametric calibration methods are standard approaches to discover the relation of the three-dimensional (3-D) Euclidean world to the two-dimensional image space. However, for each different sensor type, a different parametric representation is required. In photography measurement, pinhole cameras are often used, so we mainly review the works that have been done for regular pinhole cameras.

Considering all the parameters simultaneously, a direct nonlinear minimization is a good choice by using an iterative algorithm with the objective of minimizing residual errors of some equations.^{2,3} The great disadvantage is that the nonlinear processing may end in a local solution with different types of parameters included in one space. Some existing linear methods solve linear equations established by some constraints with the goal of computing a set of intermediate parameters.^{4,5} But in most cases, the lens distortions are not

considered so the accuracy of the final solution is relatively low. Other parametric methods may compute some parameters first and then followed by others. Tsai⁶ derived a closed form solution for external parameters and the focal length and then used an iterative scheme to estimate other parameters. Straight lines in space were used as constraints in order to find the right parameters of the distortion model in Refs. 7–9. In Ref. 10, geometrical and epipolar constraints were imposed in a nonlinear minimization problem to correct points' location in images first and then the lens distortion and fundamental matrix were estimated separately.

With the parameterized model to handle the lens distortion, we find a serious discrepancy that the results obtained with calibration data are better than the ones with testing data.¹¹ This discrepancy can be explained by the inadequacy of the parameterized distortion model.

An alternative idea of nonparametric camera calibration was introduced by Grossberg and Nayar,¹² who used a set of virtual sensing elements called raxels to describe a mapping from incoming scene rays to photosensitive elements on the image detector, and a more general approach was developed in Refs. 13–15. In this generic method, several planar calibration objects are used to determine the corresponding optical ray of each pixel, and it is powerful as it can be applied equally well to any arbitrary imaging systems. But for close-range photogrammetry, the calibration from pixel to pixel does not realize high-accuracy measurements.

We have designed a pure optical distortion correction method for calibrating perspective imaging systems. The proposed method inherits the idea of nonparametric modeling and uses precise rotating platform and subpixel image processing to realize the mapping between incoming scene

Fig. 1 A schematic diagram of the pure optical correction method. In practice, the straight line was composed of many points, and there were many rotating angles, so at i 'th rotation, denotes α_i and l_i as the corresponding angle and image (composed of image points) of the straight line, respectively.

rays and photosensitive elements on the image detector (every photosensitive element could be divided into many parts to improve the accuracy if necessary). Then, we applied it to the calibration of a stereo vision system. In contrast to the standard parametric approach, it decouples the distortion estimation from the calibration of external parameters of two cameras at the same time, thus avoiding any error compensation between each other.^{16,17}

2 Proposed Camera Correction Process

In the pin-hole camera model, the object point in scene and its image point obey a certain geometrical constraint: object point P , image point p , and the optical center of camera O lie in a line.

Because of the distortion in real circumstances, the optical lines are refracted when passing through the optical center. Then, we obtain the distorted image points that have deviations from the ideal ones. The parametric methods try to establish mathematical models in order to relate the distorted image plane and the undistorted one correctly. But, apparently, it is difficult since the distortion caused by the lenses shows both regularity and irregularity.

So, we proposed a pure optical correction method to record the rays entering into the lens, as many as possible. Every ray will have an image when entering into lenses, and the direct method is to relate the coordinate of the image point and the angle determining the incident ray.

First, we establish a Cartesian coordinate system as shown in Fig. 1. Take the optical center as origin O , optical axis as Z -axis, and make X -axis and Y -axis parallel to the vertical and horizontal axes of image plane, respectively. Then, rotate the camera around X -axis and take a photograph of a fixed straight line in scene at every certain interval. At every angle α_i that determines the plane π_i , we can get the distorted image l_i of the straight line L_i on the image detector. When all of the angles in the field of view are recorded, a database about one-to-one correspondence between the angle α_i and the curved line l_i has been already established. Then, rotating the camera for 90 deg around Z -axis, with the same method, we can get another angle β_i .

Since, in practice, not all the incident rays could be recorded, the image plane is divided into many square

grids. If the interval of the rotating angle is set small enough, high-accuracy results can be obtained. Given an arbitrary point P in measuring field, as shown in Fig. 1, its correspondence on the image plane will lie in a small grid. We can get the fitted angle by bilinear interpolation¹⁸ as

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{(u,v)} &= (\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i) \times \frac{u - u_i}{u_{i+1} - u_i} \\ \beta_{(u,v)} &= (\beta_{i+1} - \beta_i) \times \frac{v - v_i}{v_{i+1} - v_i}.\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

So with any measured point's image coordinate (u, v) , we can calculate its corresponding angle (α, β) . If the image point just lies on the curved line, we can directly get the corresponding angle through searching the database.

3 Calibration of a Stereoscopic System

When the above-mentioned procedures are completed, the stereoscopic system can be easily calibrated by placing a fixed-length reference one-dimensional (1-D) target arbitrarily in the field of view, which is commonly used.^{3,19} As shown in Fig. 2, two feature points are fixed on the ends of reference target with the distance exactly known in advance.

The external parameters of a stereoscopic system include rotation matrix R and translation vector T , which can be represented with the essential matrix E . Let F be the fundamental matrix of a stereoscopic system, then we have

$$p_r^T F p_l = p_r^T (A^{-T} E A^{-1}) p_l = 0, \quad (2)$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -c & c \times \cot \theta & 0 \\ 0 & -c / \sin \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

Fig. 2 One-dimensional target and the feature points were marked by a red circle and magnified to show more details.

c , virtual focal, θ , the angle between horizontal and vertical axis, usually is close to $\pi/2$; $p_r = (c \cdot \sin \alpha_r, c \cdot \sin \beta_r, 1)^T$ and $p_l = (c \cdot \sin \alpha_l, c \cdot \sin \beta_l, 1)^T$ are the virtual image coordinates of P on the virtual image planes of right and left cameras, respectively. [Note that with the image coordinate of the feature point, we can figure out its corresponding angle (α, β) , which determines the (half-)ray along which the light travels through the feature point. Then, we can set a virtual image plane for each camera before the optical center.]

The fundamental matrix can be computed with the eight-point algorithm proposed in Refs. 4 and 20. At least seven pairs of corresponding virtual image points and the distance L are needed to obtain R , T , and the 3-D coordinates of the reconstructed feature points, which are used as the initial values of the following nonlinear minimization.

Then, we can establish the minimization function to obtain optimal values of external parameters with the fixed length of 1-D target and geometrical constraints.

As shown in Fig. 3, iP_1 , and iP_2 represent two feature points located in the 1-D target, where i is the number of positions that the 1-D target has been placed in. The plane, that iP_1 should lie on, is ${}^i\pi_1^j$, where j is the number of the plane since a feature point is the intersection point of four planes; $d(\cdot)$ represents the distance between a point and a plane. Then, we have the error equation as follows:

$$e_1(X, P) = \sum_{i=1}^t \sum_{j=1}^4 [d(P_1^i, {}^i\pi_1^j) + d(P_2^i, {}^i\pi_2^j)]. \quad (3)$$

Denote L and $D(\cdot)$ as the true and measured distance between the two feature points of the 1-D target, respectively, then we have

$$e_2(X, P) = \sum_{i=1}^t [L - D({}^iP_1, {}^iP_2)]^2, \quad (4)$$

where $X = [r_x, r_y, r_z, t_x, t_y, t_z]^T$; $[r_x \ r_y \ r_z]^T$ is the vector form of the rotation matrix R .

With Eqs. (3) and (4), the minimization equation is established as follows:

$$e(X, P) = e_1(X, P) + e_2(X, P). \quad (5)$$

The nonlinear minimization has the angles to feature points from the optical center and the real length of 1-D target as inputs. External parameters X and feature points P are

Fig. 3 A schematic diagram of the constraints of optical planes.

corrected to minimize Eq. (5). The algorithm is a Levenberg–Marquardt nonlinear minimization that starts with the initial values X_0 and P_0 and ends with the optimized solution of the external parameters.

4 Experiments

4.1 Optical Correction for the Camera

A pure optical method requires a high-accuracy straight line in scene which can be captured by the camera. Note that the subpixel detection of image dots gives more reliable results than cross detection.²¹ A photoreflector material seems like a good choice, but it is liable to be affected by lighting variation, and an extra light source is often needed to get high contrast ratio. Since the repetitive positioning accuracy of the light-emitting diode's (LED) image is better than 0.02 pixels,^{22–24} a set of near-infrared LEDs were used, which were adjusted into a straight line by a high-accuracy linear guide.

The rotation of the camera around an axis was completed by the multitooth dividing table. The determination of the optical center that must be coincident with the rotating axis of multitooth-dividing table is critical to the overall accuracy. The determination of the rotating axis was completed by a six-dimensional (6-D) high-accuracy adjustable platform, a physical axis, and a dial gauge, as shown in Fig. 4. The multitooth-dividing table can rotate 360 deg. We adjusted the 6-D high-accuracy adjustable platform to keep numerical values measured by the dial gauge almost invariant when the multitooth-dividing table was rotating.

We used collimated semiconductor laser beams shaped by an aperture stop as the narrow parallel beams, and in space we need at least two beams to determine a point. Here, we used three beams as shown in Fig. 5.

The process of the alignment of the optical center with the rotating axis was

1. As shown in Fig. 4, make the rotating axis coincide with the physical axis to visualize the rotating axis;
2. Adjust three beams to meet at a point right on the physical axis;

Fig. 4 The procedures of determining the rotating axis by a six-dimensional (6-D) precision adjustable platform, a physical axis, and a dial gauge.

Fig. 5 Align the optical center with the rotating axis using three beams.

3. Replace the physical axis with a camera and adjust the 6-D high-accuracy adjustable platform to make the three beams pass through the optical center, as shown in Fig. 5;
4. Use a multitooth-dividing table to control the rotation angles of the camera precisely. For the multitooth-dividing table, the minimum rotation interval is 1 arc sec and rotation accuracy is 0.6 arc sec.

To test the performance of the pure optical method, a corrected camera was placed at several locations to capture multiple images of the straight line which was composed of a set of feature points before the camera. With the image coordinates of the feature points, we could obtain the corresponding horizontal and vertical angles through the proposed method, and all the feature points were reprojected on a virtual image plane, which was perpendicular to the optical axis. Then, their regression line was computed and the root mean square (RMS) distance from each feature point to the line was used as the measure error.

Figure 6 denotes the orientation of the lines on the virtual image plane corrected by our method and Table 1 shows the

Fig. 6 The line composed of near-infrared LEDs (a) were captured by camera in six orientations as shown in (b).

Table 1 The root mean square error (RMSE, in pixels) of the feature points to their regression lines.

	RMSE (in pixels)	
	Corrected by our method	Uncorrected
Line 1	0.0535	0.0666
Line 2	0.1007	0.1062
Line 3	0.0644	0.1637
Line 4	0.1033	0.1763
Line 5	0.1099	0.2034
Line 6	0.0771	0.2371

RMS errors of the corrected and uncorrected lines, from which we can see that the RMS errors (in pixels) of the feature points to their regression lines were improved with our method.

4.2 Spatial Measurement by the Stereoscopic System

Two FL2G-50S5M cameras with the resolution of 2448×2048 pixels, equipped with 23-mm lens, were used to set up a stereoscopic system. Its working distance was about 8000 mm and the range of measurement was 4000×5000 mm, and the baseline between the two cameras was about 7000 mm. The 1-D target had two feature points with a 1026.150-mm interval, and the 1-D target could be located at any orientation from different viewpoints in the field of view.

After the optimization with external parameters X and feature points P mentioned in Sec. 3, we got M , the final result of external parameters, and the errors between the real distance of the two feature points on the target and the measured one listed in Table 1.

Table 2 Calibration results.

		Measured distance of 1-D target (mm)	Error (mm)
Calibration data	1	1026.15247	0.002467
	2	1026.19204	0.042043
	3	1025.95986	-0.19014
	4	1026.11138	-0.038616
	5	1026.14653	-0.003472
	6	1026.08118	-0.068824
	7	1026.17616	0.026162
	8	1026.17984	0.029838
RMS error (mm)			0.075616

Table 3 Measurement results.

		Measured distance of 1-D target (mm)	Error (mm)
Testing data	1	1026.02534	-0.124656
	2	1026.15864	0.008642
	3	1026.10046	-0.049544
	4	1026.07426	-0.075744
	5	1026.0481	-0.101904
	6	1026.15457	0.004569
	7	1026.19626	0.046261
	8	1026.22503	0.075026
		RMS error (mm)	0.072439

$$M = [R|T]$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 6.028 \times 10^{-1} & 5.574 \times 10^{-3} & -7.979 \times 10^{-1} & -6.275 \times 10^3 \\ 3.336 \times 10^{-3} & 9.999 \times 10^{-1} & 9.507 \times 10^{-3} & 3.718 \times 10^1 \\ 7.979 \times 10^{-1} & -8.392 \times 10^{-3} & 6.028 \times 10^{-1} & -3.064 \times 10^3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The 1-D target was randomly placed another 10 times at different positions, maybe in the fringe field of view, and the measured distance between two endpoints on the target was used to evaluate the measuring accuracy of the stereoscopic system. From the calibration and measurement data listed in Tables 2 and 3, we can see that the RMS errors are in the same order of magnitude, and both of them are <0.1 mm.

5 Conclusion

A novel camera calibration method based on nonparametric models has been defined. First, a database has been obtained to remove influences of the distortion caused by lenses by a pure optical adjustment method. Second, a stereoscopic system has been established to test the performance of the proposed method, and the external parameters of cameras can be accurately acquired with the 1-D target. This method gets rid of the constraints of camera distortion models, and it is applicable to a central camera equipped with any lenses. Also, the coupling among all the intrinsic and external parameters is avoided, which may otherwise lead to instability and compensation of each other. On the other hand, as the subdivision number of angles increases, the correction time increases too. However, since camera correction is an off-line process, more time consumed for higher accuracy is acceptable.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Funds for Distinguished Young Scholars of China (Grant No. 51225505) and the National High-technology & Development Program of China (863 Program, Grant No. 2012AA041205). The authors would like to express

their sincere thanks to them and comments from the reviewers and the editor are very much appreciated.

References

1. A. K. Dunne, J. Mallon, and P. F. Whelan, "A comparison of new generic camera calibration with the standard parametric approach," in *MVA2007—IAPR Conference on Machine Vision Applications*, Tokyo, Japan (16–18 May 2007).
2. Z. Zhang, "A flexible new technique for camera calibration," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* **22**(11), 1330–1334 (2000).
3. J. Sun et al., "A calibration method for stereo vision sensor with large FOV based on 1D targets," *Opt. Lasers Eng.* **49**(11), 1245–1250 (2011).
4. H. C. Longuet-Higgins, "A computer algorithm for reconstructing a scene from two projections," *Nature* **293**, 133–135 (1981).
5. X. Armangué and J. Salvi, "Overall view regarding fundamental matrix estimation," *Image Vis. Comput.* **21**(2), 205–220 (2003).
6. R. Tsai, "A versatile camera calibration technique for high-accuracy 3D machine vision metrology using off-the-shelf TV cameras and lenses," *IEEE J. Rob. Autom.* **3**(4), 323–344 (1987).
7. B. Prescott and G. F. McLean, "Line-based correction of radial lens distortion," *Graph. Models Image Process.* **59**(1), 39–47 (1997).
8. T. Pajdla and T. Werner, "Correcting radial lens distortion without knowledge of 3-D structure," Technical Report TR97-138, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Czech Technical University, Praha, Czech Republic (1997).
9. F. Devernay and O. Faugeras, "Straight lines have to be straight," *Mach. Vis. Appl.* **13**(1), 14–24 (2001).
10. C. Ricolfe-Viala, A. J. Sanchez-Salmeron, and E. Martinez-Berti, "Calibration of a wide angle stereoscopic system," *Opt. Lett.* **36**(16), 3064–3066 (2011).
11. C. Ricolfe-Viala, A. J. Sanchez-Salmeron, and E. Martinez-Berti, "Accurate calibration with highly distorted images," *Appl. Opt.* **51**(1), 89–101 (2012).
12. M. D. Grossberg and S. K. Nayar, "A general imaging model and a method for finding its parameters," in *8th Int. Conf. Proc. Computer Vision, ICCV 2001*, Vol. 2, pp. 108–115, IEEE, Piscataway, New Jersey (2001).
13. P. Sturm and S. Ramalingam, "A generic calibration concept: theory and algorithms," Research Report 5058, INRIA, France (2003).
14. P. Sturm and S. Ramalingam, "A generic concept for camera calibration," in *Computer Vision-ECCV 2004*, pp. 1–13, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (2004).
15. S. Ramalingam, P. Sturm, and S. K. Lodha, "Towards complete generic camera calibration," in *IEEE Computer Society Conf. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, CVPR 2005*, Vol. 1, pp. 1093–1098, IEEE, Piscataway, New Jersey (2005).
16. J. Weng, P. Cohen, and M. Herniou, "Camera calibration with distortion models and accuracy evaluation," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* **14**(10), 965–980 (1992).
17. T. A. Clarke, X. Wang, and J. G. Fryer, "The principal point and CCD cameras," *Photogramm. Rec.* **16**(92), 293–312 (1998).
18. T. M. Lehmann, C. Gonner, and K. Spitzer, "Survey: interpolation methods in medical image processing," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imag.* **18**(11), 1049–1075 (1991).
19. H. Lei, Z. Wei, and G. Zhang, "A simple global calibration method based on 1D target for multi-binocular vision sensor," in *Int. Symp. Computer Science and Computational Technology, ISCCT'08*, Vol. 2, pp. 290–294, IEEE, Piscataway, New Jersey (2008).
20. R. I. Hartley, "In defense of the eight-point algorithm," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* **19**(6), 580–593 (1997).
21. J. M. Lavest, M. Viala, and M. Dhome, "Do we really need an accurate calibration pattern to achieve a reliable camera calibration?," in *Computer Vision—ECCV'98*, pp. 158–174, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg (1998).
22. Z. Jian, *Study on the Precision Amelioration of Optical Coordinate Measuring*, Tianjin University, Tianjin (2009).
23. Z. Guangjun, *Machine Vision*, Science Press, Beijing (2008).
24. J. Ares and J. Arines, "Influence of thresholding on centroid statistics: full analytical description," *Appl. Opt.* **43**(31), 5796–5805 (2004).

Wei Wang is a PhD candidate in precision measuring technology and instruments in University of Tianjin, and he received his MS degree in precision measuring technology and instruments from the University of Tianjin in 2011. His research interests are in photoelectric precision measuring and photography measurement.

Ji-Gui Zhu received his BS and MS degrees from the National University of Defense Science and Technology of China in 1991 and 1994, and his PhD degree in 1997 from Tianjin University, China. He is now a professor at the State Key Laboratory of Precision Measurement Technology and Instruments, Tianjin University. His research interests are focused on laser and photoelectric measuring technology, such as industrial online measurement, and large-scale precision metrology.

precision metrology.

Jia-Rui Lin received his PhD from Tianjin University in 2012. He is currently a lecturer at the State Key Laboratory of Precision Measurement Technology and Instruments, Tianjin University. His research interests are focused on vision measurement and photoelectric measuring technology.