Optical Engineering

OpticalEngineering.SPIEDigitalLibrary.org

Glasses-free large-screen three-dimensional display and super multiview camera for highly realistic communication

Masahiro Kawakita
Shoichiro Iwasawa
Roberto Lopez-Gulliver
Naomi Inoue

SPIE.

Masahiro Kawakita, Shoichiro Iwasawa, Roberto Lopez-Gulliver, Naomi Inoue, "Glasses-free large-screen three-dimensional display and super multiview camera for highly realistic communication," *Opt. Eng.* **57**(6), 061610 (2018), doi: 10.1117/1.OE.57.6.061610.

Glasses-free large-screen three-dimensional display and super multiview camera for highly realistic communication

Masahiro Kawakita,^{a,*} Shoichiro Iwasawa,^b Roberto Lopez-Gulliver,^c and Naomi Inoue^d

^aJapan Broadcasting Corporation, Science and Technology Research Laboratories, Tokyo, Japan

^bNational Institute of Information and Communications Technology, Universal Communication Research Institute, Tokyo, Japan

^cRitsumeikan University, Department of Information Systems Science and Engineering, Shiga, Japan

^dKDDI Research Inc., Saitama, Japan

Abstract. We studied highly realistic communication systems using a super multiview three-dimensional (3-D) video system. We propose the developed glasses-free 200-in. 3-D display using ~200 high-definition (HD) projector units to reconstruct natural life-size 3-D moving objects, such as cars and humans. We also analyzed the optimal arrangement of the multiview camera system for the 3-D display. In the experiments, we developed a prototype super multiview 3-D camera system using compact HD video cameras with real-time convergence compensation circuits that correct the captured images via image processing optimized for the display. We also performed demonstration experiments using the developed 3-D display and camera system in a public area. As a result, we highlight several possibilities for actual applications of the glasses-free super multiview 3-D video system. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: [10.1117/1.OE.57.6.061610](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.OE.57.6.061610)]

Keywords: glasses-free; three-dimensional display; large-screen; three-dimensional camera; multiview camera.

Paper 171832SS received Nov. 17, 2017; accepted for publication Feb. 14, 2018; published online Mar. 7, 2018.

1 Introduction

We conducted research on highly realistic communication systems using natural three-dimensional (3-D) images that do not require special glasses for viewing. Highly realistic communication systems will enable communication with people in remote locations as if one were actually in their presence. Such systems would allow us to present, appreciate, and learn from valuable cultural assets as if they were actually present, greatly enriching our lives.

In recent years, augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR), which are highly realistic technologies, have spread throughout games, entertainment, and other applications, and new sensors, displays, and other equipment for general consumer use are now being commercialized. At the same time, studies are also underway on next-generation highly realistic 3-D images that do not require special glasses¹⁻⁶ to reproduce multiple parallax images and groups of light rays in an attempt to achieve 3-D image displays for practical use. In addition to the technology for capturing and displaying light fields, comprehensive efforts are now under way to proceed with the standardization of image formats, compression coding, and evaluation methods.

Natural 3-D image reconstruction technology without special glasses is undergoing steady progress. An integral 3-D system based on integral photography⁷ is classified as a 3-D system of the spatial image reconstruction type. An integral 3-D system that features horizontal as well as vertical parallax is being developed for future TV broadcasting.⁸ An integral 3-D system requires many pixels to achieve high

image quality. Therefore, ultrahigh-definition (UHD) video with 8 K resolution is used to capture and display integral 3-D images consisting of ~100,000 pixels.⁹ Furthermore, multiple display devices are used to enhance the resolution of integral 3-D images.^{10,11} However, to achieve high presence communication using integral 3-D images, we must enhance the resolution and size of 3-D images that allow multiple viewers to simultaneously observe life-size 3-D images of large objects, such as human beings or cars.

Lee et al.¹² studied an optimal configuration design of a simple multiprojection 3-D display using only a vertical diffuser film for the screen. However, uneven brightness in 3-D images is likely to occur, which is reduced by suitable arrangements of the projectors. Efrat et al.¹³ developed a 3-D display for the viewing environment similar to that at a movie theater. Here, a 3-D image can be displayed to observers at their respective seat positions of different viewing distances using slanted barriers in front of a flat panel display. However, the viewing area is limited to the width of the seat, and it is difficult to display 3-D images with a large viewing angle.

In this study, to realize a highly-realistic communication system based on multiview 3-D video, we proposed a projection-type 3-D display method that can display glasses-free 3-D images on a large screen using multiple projectors. We have designed and fabricated a 200-in. 3-D display system using ~200 HD projector units to enlarge the viewing area and to enable many observers to simultaneously see 3-D images from various angles. The resolution and color reproducibility of the 3-D images displayed are of HD image quality.

We have also developed a super multiview 3-D capture system with compact HD camera units that include

*Address all correspondence to: Masahiro Kawakita, E-mail: kwakita.m-ga@nhk.or.jp

real-time video signal correction circuits for camera calibration and image rectification. First, we analyzed the optimal camera arrangements for multiprojection-type 3-D displays. Second, based on experiments, we developed a super multiview camera system using ~200 HD cameras to capture moving objects. The registrations of captured images were arranged by high-accuracy camera adjustment and geometrically compensated by image processing for the multiprojection 3-D display. Experimental result showed that we successfully captured and displayed 3-D videos of moving objects using our system.

2 System Design of Multiview Three-Dimensional Video

2.1 Basic System Configuration

Figure 1 shows the basic configuration of our multiview 3-D video capture and display system. A projector array is used as an image display device and consists of several small projectors called “projector units” arranged horizontally and vertically. From each projector unit, images with horizontal parallax are projected and superimposed on the plane for image display that combines an optical film that has anisotropic diffusion characteristics with a condenser lens. The diffuser film is a rear screen that has a small diffusion angle in the horizontal direction relative to the incident light, and a wide diffusion angle in the vertical direction. These diffusion characteristics enable the system to produce different images at various horizontal angles, thereby allowing the observer to see parallax images based on the observer’s position. Conversely, in the vertical direction, the incident light becomes widely diffused, thereby eliminating the effects of the projection angle in that direction. One can therefore arrange the projector units vertically, so that increasing the number of units increases the image density, which is defined as the horizontal number of parallax images per unit viewing angle. Increasing the parallax image density can be expected to produce a high-quality 3-D display at high resolution with smooth motion parallax over a wide viewing zone.

A 3-D camera system consists of numerous camera units arranged in a horizontal row, unlike the projector unit, so as not to cause vertical parallax. It is difficult to arrange the camera pitch closely by several cm or less due to limitations imposed by the size of the cameras. Therefore, we generate interpolated images to increase the parallax. Image quality correction using high-accuracy adjustment of camera calibration and geometrical compensation are common problems in the multiview 3-D camera method. In this work, we study suitable 3-D camera conditions for a 3-D display

system using multiprojectors and an optical screen consisting of an anisotropic diffusion film and a Fresnel lens.

2.2 Optimal Arrangement of Projector Array and Camera Array

First, we study the camera and display system arrangement to reconstruct the correct viewing angle and visual field. Figure 2 shows the viewing angle and visual angle in our multiview 3-D display system. The optical axes of images projected from the projector units converge at one point in the center of the display screen as shown by the dashed lines in the figure. All projector units have a projection lens that moves laterally to shift the optical axes of the light sources. In the figure, the viewing angle θ_d is expressed as

$$\theta_d = 2 \arctan \left(\frac{L_d}{2a_d} \right), \quad (1)$$

where L_d is the width of the projector array, and a_d is the distance between the projector array and the condenser lens.

The width of viewing area V is expressed as

$$V = \frac{L_d}{a_d} z_o, \quad (2)$$

where z_o is the distance between the display screen and an observer. In this method, the interval between parallax images at the observer’s position should be less than the distance between human eyes, $e \approx 65$ mm. Therefore, the number of parallax images N_p required is

$$N_p \geq \frac{V}{e} = \frac{L_d z_o}{a_d e}. \quad (3)$$

For example, in the case of $L_d = 2$ m, $a_d = 2.5$ m, and $z_o = 2.5$ m, we require a minimum of 30 parallax images.

On the other hand, the visual angle Ω_d in Fig. 2 is expressed as

$$\Omega_d = 2 \arctan \left(\frac{W_d}{2z_o} \right) \approx \frac{a_d}{z_o} \varphi_d, \quad (4)$$

where W_d is the total width of the projected images and φ_d is the projection angle. From Eq. (4), the visual angle Ω_d is proportional to the projection angle φ_d and projection distance a_d , and is inversely proportional to the viewing distance z_o .

Next, we consider the capture angle in the 3-D camera system. In Fig. 3, the dashed lines show the optical axes

Fig. 1 System configuration of multiview 3-D video.

Fig. 2 Configuration of 3-D display system.

of each camera that converge at point O in plane P . The convergence angle θ_c is thus expressed as

$$\theta_c = 2 \arctan\left(\frac{L_c}{2a_c}\right), \quad (5)$$

where L_c is the width of the camera array, and a_c is the distance from the camera array to convergence plane P . For the condition of when the capture angle φ_c is equal to the viewing angle θ_d , we obtain

$$\frac{L_c}{a_c} = \frac{L_d}{a_d}. \quad (6)$$

For the condition of when capture angle φ_c is equal to visual angle Ω_d , we obtain

$$\frac{W_c}{a_c} = \frac{W_d}{z_o}. \quad (7)$$

From Eq. (6) to reconstruct the correct viewing angle in the display system, i.e., L_d/L_c (ratio of the width of the projector array and one of the camera arrays) must be equal to a_d/a_c (ratio of the projector distance and camera-to-object distance). From Eq. (7), to reconstruct the correct visual angle in the display system, i.e., W_d/W_c (ratio of the size of the projected image and one of the capture images) must be equal to z_o/a_c (ratio of the viewing distance and one of the capture distances).

2.3 Resolution Characteristics of Three-Dimensional Video System

We estimate the required resolution of the 3-D system by correlating the Nyquist frequency of the sampling and the resolution of the camera and display.^{14,15}

Camera units are arranged so that the optical axes converge at one point O , as shown in Fig. 4. A grating pattern is placed at a distance z_i from the convergence plane. With one cycle of the grating being $(a_c - z_i)/f_c$, the spatial frequency of the grating from each camera is f_c [cycle/rad]. The spatial frequency f_o [cycle/rad] of the grating viewed from point O on the convergence plane is then expressed as

$$f_o = f_c \frac{z_i}{a_c - z_i}. \quad (8)$$

When the camera units are spaced at a pitch p_c , the angular pitch of sampling is p_c/a_c rad, and the Nyquist frequency f_{cnyq} [cycle/rad] of the sampling is $a_c/2p_c$. To prevent aliasing with this sampling, the following condition must be met:

$$|f_o| < f_{\text{cnyq}} = \frac{a_c}{2p_c}. \quad (9)$$

From Eqs. (8) and (9), the condition to prevent aliasing is expressed as

Fig. 3 Configuration of 3-D capture system.

Fig. 4 Arrangement of camera units and projector units.

$$f_c < \frac{a_c}{2p_c} \left| \frac{a_c - z_i}{z_i} \right|. \quad (10)$$

The resolution f_c is also limited by the camera's maximum frequency $f_{c \max}$ that depends on the pixel pitch of the capture device.

Next, the sampling effect of the display system, as well as the camera system, is examined. Projector units are arranged to converge and the optical axes converge at the center of the display screen, as shown in Fig. 4. A grating pattern is displayed at a distance z_i from the display screen. The spatial frequency of the grating viewed from the observer's position is f_i [cycle/rad]. The spatial frequency f_d of the grating viewed from the display screen is expressed as follows:

$$f_d = f_i \frac{z_i}{z_o - z_i}. \quad (11)$$

When the projector units are spaced at a pitch p_d , the angular pitch of sampling is p_d/a_d rad, and the Nyquist frequency f_{dnyq} of the sampling is $a_d/2p_d$. To prevent aliasing with this sampling, the following condition must be met:

$$|f_d| < f_{\text{dnyq}} = \frac{a_d}{2p_d}. \quad (12)$$

From Eqs. (11) and (12), the condition to prevent aliasing is expressed as

$$f_d < \frac{a_d}{2p_d} \left| \frac{z_o - z_i}{z_i} \right|. \quad (13)$$

The resolution f_d is also limited by the display's maximum frequency $f_{d \max}$, which depends on the pixel pitch of the display device.

Figure 5 shows the relationships between the depth position of the object and the capture spatial frequency and depth position of the reconstructed image and the display spatial frequency. From Fig. 5, we examine the required camera conditions.

The maximum spatial frequency of the camera system must be higher than that of the display system

$$f_{c \max} \geq f_{d \max}. \quad (14)$$

The depth positions of z_{cf} , z_{df} , z_{cn} , and z_{dn} shown in Fig. 5 must meet the following conditions:

$$z_{cf} \leq z_{df}, \quad (15)$$

Fig. 5 Resolution of multiview camera and display system.

$$z_{cn} \geq z_{dn}. \quad (16)$$

From Eqs. (15) and (16), we obtain the following conditions that must be met:

$$\frac{a_c}{1 + \frac{2p_c}{a_c} f_{c \max}} \leq \frac{z_o}{1 + \frac{2p_d}{a_d} f_{d \max}}, \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{a_c}{1 - \frac{2p_c}{a_c} f_{c \max}} \geq \frac{z_o}{1 - \frac{2p_d}{a_d} f_{d \max}}. \quad (18)$$

3 Characteristics of Three-Dimensional Display Using Projector Array

3.1 Gap of Parallax Images

Figure 6(a) shows an overhead view of the basic arrangement of the 3-D system using projector units, where the number of projector units is almost the same as the number of horizontal parallax images. Thus, the horizontal pitch p_d between the projector units is set to a value that matches the number of parallax images per horizontal unit angle. The viewing angle θ_d of the 3-D image displayed at the center of the screen in Eq. (1) will become

$$\theta_d = 2 \left| \arctan \left(-\frac{Np_d}{a_d} \right) \right|, \quad (19)$$

where N denotes a natural number, and the total number of projector units is $2N + 1$. Distance z_o between the condenser lens and a suitable viewing position has the relationship

Fig. 6 Arrangement of (a) 3-D display using projector units and (b) an example of the arrangement of the projector array.

$$\frac{1}{a_d} + \frac{1}{z_o} = \frac{1}{f}, \quad (20)$$

where f is focal length of condenser lens. Therefore, the viewing area V in Eq. (2) is expressed as

$$V = 2 \left| \frac{f}{a_d - f} \right| N p_d. \quad (21)$$

Equations (19) to (21) make it possible to determine the number of projector units $2N + 1$, arrangement pitch p_d , distance L_d between the projector array and display screen, and other parameters based on the viewing angle θ_d for the 3-D image, viewing area V , and other factors that are required for the 3-D image. These parameters are used as guidelines during system design.

The arrangement of the projector array is limited by the size of each unit, thereby making it difficult to arrange the projectors densely in the horizontal direction alone. For this reason, the system is composed not only horizontally but also vertically, in the 2-D manner as shown in Fig. 6(b).

The gap between displayed parallax images p' is expressed as

$$p' = \left| \frac{f}{a_d - f} \right| p_d. \quad (22)$$

The ideal gap of parallax images p' is less than the size of a pupil, but it is difficult to create a practical 3-D system based on this requirement. The gap of parallax images in our previous system was 29.4 mm, which could be used to display 3-D images with natural motion parallax.¹⁶ We designed the system parameters in the proposed 200-in. 3-D display system to be $p' = 22.8$ mm, which is approximately one-third of the interpupillary distance, to enhance smooth motion parallax in 3-D images. The number of required projectors was estimated from the gaps of the parallax, the optimal viewing distance, and the required viewing angle.

3.2 Uniformity of Three-Dimensional Image's Brightness

We experienced problems related to the appearance of stripe noise, i.e., nonuniform brightness and color areas in 3-D

images, reduced 3-D image resolution, and unnatural images owing to observer movements, which made it difficult to increase the screen size using conventional methods. Stripe noise occurred because of the angle pitch of the parallax images, uniform brightness and color in projected images, and diffusion angle of the diffuser film.

As shown in Fig. 7(a), the incident light from a projector unit is emitted at a horizontal angle θ_m relative to the optical axis of the condenser lens. Let the horizontal diffusion be a Gaussian distribution; the optical distribution i_m of the output beams from the m 'th projector unit can then be expressed as

$$i_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} \exp \left[-\frac{(\theta - \theta_m)^2}{2\sigma_x^2} \right], \quad (23)$$

where σ_x denotes the standard deviation of the distribution of optical diffusion angles, and it represents the size of the diffusion angle. This is known as the diffusion angle in this paper. Incident light from the group of projector units is emitted and superimposed as shown in Fig. 7(b), where the optical distribution $I(\theta)$ is given by

$$I(\theta) = \sum_{m=-N}^N i_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_x} \sum_{m=-N}^N \exp \left[-\frac{(\theta - \theta_m)^2}{2\sigma_x^2} \right]. \quad (24)$$

The flatness and crosstalk in the brightness distribution between parallax images will be affected by the screen's horizontal diffusion characteristics in a 3-D image synthesized from parallax images. A small diffusion angle causes irregular brightness between parallax images in terms of the brightness value of the synthesized light shown in Fig. 7(b), resulting in uneven brightness in the image. In contrast, increasing the diffusion angle increases the proportion of the crosstalk area in Fig. 7(b).

For example, let the number of parallax images be 50. First, we evaluate the degree of synthesized light brightness modulation using $M = (I_{\max} - I_{\min}) / (I_{\max} + I_{\min}) \times 100$ [%] (where, as shown in Fig. 7(b), $I_{\max}$ denotes the maximum brightness and $I_{\min}$ the minimum brightness), before estimating the crosstalk rate as the proportion of the crosstalk relative to the entire output beam. The degree of modulation

Fig. 7 Effects of the diffuser screen (a) incident light and diffused light and (b) intensity distribution of output light.

M [%] of uneven brightness relative to the diffusion angle σ_x and crosstalk rate R [%] is shown in Fig. 8.

When the horizontal diffusion angle σ_x is small, the degree of modulation (M) of the synthesized beam will be greater, thereby resulting in more uneven brightness. In contrast, when σ_x is high, the crosstalk (R) of the output beam will be higher between parallax images. Based on Fig. 8, we can balance the uneven brightness and crosstalk, where the appropriate diffusion angle σ_x can be projected in a range from 0.25 to 0.3. This corresponds to a half-value angle of 0.5 deg to 0.7 deg in the diffusion angle distribution of the beams. Another method involves selecting the optimal diffusion characteristics from these relationships to improve the screen material and structure, thereby optimizing the diffusion angle distribution characteristics of the beams.

In terms of the vertical direction shown in Fig. 9(a), the angle of the beam that enters the diffuser screen varies depending on which projector unit is involved, i.e., upper or lower. Consequently, a difference also arises in the diffusion direction of the beam depending on whether an upper or lower projector unit is involved. When observed from position y_0 , as shown in Fig. 9(a), the distribution $I(y)$ of the brightness values in the y -axis direction of the screen (as viewed by the observer) is as follows:

$$I(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_y} \sum_{m=-N_v}^{N_v} \exp\left[-\frac{(\theta_{mv} + \theta_o)^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right] \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_y} \sum_{m=-N_v}^{N_v} \exp\left[-\frac{\left(\frac{mp_v}{a_d} + \frac{y-y_o}{z_0}\right)^2}{2\sigma_y^2}\right], \quad (25)$$

where $2N_v + 1$ is the number of lines in the projector array in the vertical direction, θ_{mv} denotes the irradiation angle of the beam in the vertical direction, θ_o is the angle of the beam from each image location to the observer as shown in Fig. 9(a), and σ_y is the diffusion angle in the vertical direction. For example, if we assume that $N_v = 3$, $a_d = 2.5$ m, $p_v = 0.15$ m, $z_0 = 3$ m, and $y_0 = 0$ m, then the distribution of brightness values in the y -axis direction of the display image will be as shown in Fig. 9(b).

As indicated in Eq. (25), the image is shaded depending on location y of the image, location y_0 of the observer, and location mp_v of the projector unit. Note that a comparatively large diffusion angle characteristic is needed to obtain an image with a brightness distribution that provides a certain degree of uniformity in the vertical direction.

4 Fabrication of 200-in. Three-Dimensional Display

4.1 Projector Unit

We developed a compact projector unit measuring $180(\text{W}) \times 180(\text{H}) \text{ mm}^2$ to pack the projector units densely, as shown in Fig. 10. One of the main impediments to image quality is the stripe noise between parallax images, which is influenced by the uniformity of brightness and color balance in the parallax images. To solve these problems, the brightness and color balance between projector units are compensated for using power-controlled LED light sources in the projector units. The uniformity of brightness in each projected image is also compensated for electrically by using a shading compensation circuit in each projector unit. The specifications of the projector unit are summarized in Table 1.

4.2 Prototyped Three-Dimensional Display System

The accuracy of the light control on the display screen affects the resolution and natural motion parallax of 3-D images.

Fig. 8 Diffusion angle of diffuser film and characteristics of displayed image.

Fig. 9 Vertical diffusion characteristics (a) incident light and output light and (b) relationship between vertical image position and brightness of observed images.

Fig. 10 Projector unit for 200-in. 3-D display.

Table 1 Specifications of projector unit.

Display device	0.7 in., LCOS × 3
Number of pixel	1920 (H) × 1080 (V)
Projection lens	Focal length: 21.4 to 42.8 mm F number: 3.2 to 4
Light source	RGB LEDs Center wavelength: R: 617 nm, G: 525 nm, B: 465 nm
Luminous flux	20 lm
Size	180 (W) × 1300 (D) × 180 (H) mm

We selected a suitable diffuser film with a 0.88 deg horizontal diffusion angle and 35 deg vertical diffusion angle and we combined it with an optimally designed 200-in. Fresnel lens with an aspherical surface (Fig. 11). As a result, approximately 200 parallax images could be displayed at a viewing distance of 5.517 m. The stripe noise in the displayed 3-D images, which reduced the image quality in our previous prototype system,¹⁶ as shown in Fig. 12(a), was reduced in our newly developed 200-in. display system, as shown in Fig. 12(b).

Fig. 11 Prototyped glasses-free 200-in. 3-D display.

The specifications and performance of our newly developed 3-D display are listed in Table 2. The on-screen surface resolution of the 3-D images is 1920 pixels horizontally and 1080 pixels vertically. The viewing angle is 40 deg, and the width of the viewing area is 4 m at a viewing distance of 5.517 m. It is possible to display moving images with a frame rate of 60 fps.

Figure 13 shows a reconstructed 3-D image of a life-size computer graphics (CG) car, and parallax images observed from the left, center, and right views. Motion parallax is present owing to the difference in the positional relationships of the car door and the interior depending on the observer's location. We can also confirm that the reflections on the door change according to the viewing position just as for a real object as shown in Fig. 14.

Fig. 12 Displayed 3-D images with (a) our previous 70-in. 3-D display¹⁶ and (b) our newly developed 200-in. 3-D display. Stripe noise was reduced by optimization of the optics and development of a new projector unit.

Table 2 Specifications and performance of 200-in. 3-D display.

Screen	Diffusion angle	0.88 deg (H) × 30 deg (V) (FWHM)
	Diffuser film	Polycarbonate
	Size	200 in. (16:9)
	Fresnel lens	Aspherical surface
Projector units	Interval of units	33 mm
	Number of units	201 units
3-D image	Size	200 in. (16:9)
	Resolution	1920 (H) × 1080 (V)
	Frame rate	60 fps
	Number of parallax images	170
	Interval of parallax images	22.8 mm (at viewing distance 5.517 m)
	Viewing angle	40 deg (horizontal direction)

Fig. 14 Motion parallax of the displayed 3-D image of life-size CG car (Video 1, MPEG, 9 MB [URL: <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.OE.57.6.061610.1>]).

5 Super Multiview Camera for Three-Dimensional Display

5.1 Camera Units and Field Test of Multiview Capture

We developed a compact camera unit to capture dense multiview video as shown in Fig. 15. The specifications of the camera unit are listed in Table 3. The width of the

Fig. 13 Displayed 3-D image of life-size CG car and left, center and right view images.

Fig. 15 Camera unit and lens.

Table 3 Specifications of camera unit.

Camera unit	Number of pixel	1920 (H) × 1080 (V)
	Frame rate	60 fps
	Output video signal	3G-SDI
	Image sensor size	1/3 in.
Camera lens	Focal length	6 mm
	Angular field of view	43 deg (H) × 33 deg (V)
	Iris range	F1.2 to F16

camera units is 30 mm to allow for a dense camera array. The output video has an HD resolution of 1920 (H) × 1080 (V) pixels. All camera units can operate with an external synchronization video signal. Each camera has a compact oblique stage to adjust the camera position accuracy as shown in Fig. 15.

Figure 16 shows the field test setup for capturing actual live moving objects using a camera array of 64 camera units at the Koyasan Kongobuji Temple in Japan. Each camera requires only one cable to control camera gain and white balance, and to transmit the captured video signal to an image processor and camera control unit. Moreover, camera unit pairs are connected by one cable in a daisy-chain fashion. Therefore, we can reduce the number of cables between the camera control units and the camera units to half of the number of camera units. To record 3-D sound, we also place small microphone arrays below and above the camera array, as shown in Fig. 16. The camera array system is mounted on a tripod, which enables changing the shooting angle and direction. The number of camera units can be changed according to the distance from the camera to the objects, as well as the size of the objects.

5.2 Real-Time Capture and Display System

Figure 17 shows the system configuration of the real-time capture and 3-D video display system. To capture and display 3-D images in real-time, we developed a dynamic convergence compensation circuit for each camera control unit. The registrations of captured images were arranged by high-accuracy camera adjustment geometrically compensated for using image processing for the multiprojection 3-D display.¹⁷ The output 3G-serial digital interface (SDI) signals from the camera control units are connected to the signal sources of the 3-D display system. Experimental results are shown in Fig. 18, and we successfully captured 3-D images by developing multiview camera array, and displayed 3-D videos of life-size moving objects in real-time. In this demonstration, we connected the camera side and display side with multiple metallic video cables. It will be possible to transmit these 3-D videos between distant places using a highly efficient compression method for multiview images.¹⁸

Fig. 16 Example of the field test camera array system used to capture moving scene at the Koyasan Kongobuji Temple in Wakayama Prefecture in Japan. (a) Camera array, (b) left view, (c) center view, and (d) right view.

Fig. 17 Configuration of real-time capture and 3-D display video system.

Fig. 18 Demonstration of real-time capture and 3-D display video system for highly realistic communications. (a) Capture and (b) display.

5.3 Super Multiview Camera System

Next, we designed a real-time capture system using the above-mentioned compact camera units for the dense multiview 3-D display described in Sec. 4. First, we consider the parameters of the camera pitch and projector pitch for the reconstruction required to correct the 3D images. From a geometrical analysis using Fig. 19, to conserve the depth-to-distance ratio of the objects so that $a_c/w_c = a_d/w_d$, we obtain the following relationship:¹⁹

$$\frac{g_d}{g_c} = \frac{C_d}{C_c}, \quad (26)$$

where g_d is the focal length of the projection lens, g_c is the focal length of the camera lens, C_d is the size of the display device, and C_c is the size of the capture device. On the other hand, the condition to conserve the depth-to-distance ratio of an object so that $a_c/b_c = a_d/b_d$ is

$$\frac{p_d}{p_c} = \frac{a_d}{a_c}. \quad (27)$$

In our developed 3-D display, the pitch of the projector array p_d is 33 mm, the projection distance a_d is 8 m, the distance between the camera and objects, a_c , is 5.517 m, and is equal to the viewing distance. As mentioned previously, a camera pitch of 22.8 mm is required. The 22.8-mm value is less than the width of the camera units, so we developed a synthesized optical system consisting of a mirror and half mirror to combine a two-array camera system as shown in Fig. 20(a). A two-array system consisting of two sets of 96 camera units with 45.6-mm intervals [Fig. 20(b)] was combined with the synthesized optical system, and the pitch of the multiview camera became effectively 22.8 mm.

5.4 Demonstration Experiments

We carried out social demonstration experiments for the highly realistic communication system by introducing

Fig. 19 Scaling parameters of 3-D camera and display system.

Fig. 20 Arrangement of super multiview camera array for 3-D display system. (a) Optical system for combining camera arrays and (b) 192 synthesized camera units.

the developed multiview 3-D camera and display systems in a new development area north of Osaka station in Japan from April 2013 to November 2015. In the demonstration experiments, we used the developed 200-in. 3-D display system and 3-D contents captured by the camera array.

Figure 21(a) shows the installed 200-in. 3-D display system, called ray emergent imaging (REI)²⁰ and the super multiview camera array system. The height of the screen center is 2 m, to enable many people to observe 3-D images simultaneously, as shown in Fig. 21(b). The super multiview 3-D camera system described in Sec. 5.3 is installed under the

Fig. 21 Equipment for demonstration experiments. (a) 200-in. 3-D display "REI" and super multiview camera and (b) demonstration experiments.

Fig. 22 3-D contents used in the demonstration experiments. (a)–(d) Real objects captured by the camera system, and (e)–(h) are CG contents rendered from a 3-D CG model. (d) and (h) Moving content and others are still images.

screen, so that the appearance of visitors can be captured and displayed on the screen in real-time.

There were up to 2500 visitors per day to the exhibition during the summer vacation periods. We have examined the reactions and behavior of visitors when viewing several 3-D contents as shown in Fig. 22, and surveyed the visitors about the impressions of the observed 3-D images.²¹

In the results, the visitors stopped at and showed the most interest in the content of the colorful real objects spread over a wide area, as shown in Fig. 22(a). The visitors also felt the most motion parallax with the content of Fig. 22(b).²² We believe that the observers experienced a high degree of realism owing to the reproduction of natural glasses-free 3-D images on the large screen in life-size dimensions, as if the objects were really in front of them.

6 Conclusion

To achieve a highly realistic communication system based on a natural 3-D video, we proposed a projection-type 3-D display method that can display glasses-free 3-D images on a large screen using multiple projectors. We designed and fabricated the 200-in. 3-D display system to allow 40 deg viewing angles. The gap between the parallax images was 22.8 mm, which helped us to produce natural HD 3-D images with smooth motion parallax. We also developed the super multiview 3-D capture system with compact HD camera units that have real-time video signal correction circuits for camera calibration and image rectification.

We performed social demonstration experiments by introducing the developed 3-D video system in a public area. The large 3-D image, which does not require observers to wear special glasses, enables several people to observe large 3-D images and share a 3-D space and environment. By reproducing people, vehicles, and other familiar objects and environments in life-size dimensions, the observer can experience a high degree of realism. Such images are expected to find many applications in the verification of industrial designs, publicity, exhibitions of cultural heritage, works of art, and other areas, in addition to being used for digital cinema.

Acknowledgments

This research was conducted when the authors were employed by Universal Communication Research Labs in the National Institute of Information and Communications Technology. Part of this research was supported by the “Research and Development of Glasses-Free 3-Dimensional Image Technology, 3-Dimensional Image Support Technology” research program of the Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, Japan. The authors would like to extend special thanks to Masahisa Sakai, Yasuyuki Haino, and Masahito Sato of JVC KENWOOD, Inc. for their technical support in developing the 3-D display system. Our gratitude is also extended to Kongobuji Temple and NHK Enterprises, Inc. for their support in the capture field test at Koyasan and to ORIX Real Estate Corporation for their support in the capture test at Kyoto Aquarium. The authors would like to thank Knowledge Capital Association and KMO Corporation for their support of the experimental demonstration in GRAND FRONT OSAKA.

References

1. T. Okoshi, A. Yano, and Y. Fukumori, “Curved triple-mirror screen for projection-type three-dimensional display,” *Appl. Opt.* **10**(3), 482–489 (1971).
2. W. Matusik and H. Pfister, “3-D TV: a scalable system for real-time acquisition, transmission, and autostereoscopic display of dynamic scenes,” *AMC Trans. Graphics* **23**, 814–824 (2004).
3. T. Balogh et al., “A scalable hardware and software system for the holographic display of interactive graphics applications,” in *EUROGRAPHICS Short Papers Proc.* (2005).
4. H. Liao et al., “Scalable high-resolution integral videography autostereoscopic display using a seamless multiprojection system,” *Appl. Opt.* **44**(3), 305–315 (2005).
5. M. Yamasaki et al., “High-density light field reproduction using overlaid multiple projection images,” *Proc. SPIE* **7237**, 723709 (2009).
6. Y. Takaki and N. Nago, “Multi-projection of lenticular displays to construct a 256-view super multi-view display,” *Opt. Express* **18**(9), 8824–8835 (2010).
7. M. G. Lippmann, “Épreuves, réversibles donnant la sensation du relief,” *J. Phys.* **7**(1), 821–825 (1908).
8. F. Okano et al., “Real-time pickup method for a three-dimensional image based on integral photography,” *Appl. Opt.* **36**(7), 1598–1603 (1997).
9. J. Arai et al., “Integral three-dimensional television using a 2000-scanning video system,” *Appl. Opt.* **45**(8), 1704–1712 (2006).
10. N. Okaichi et al., “Integral 3-D display using multiple LCD panels and multi-image combining optical system,” *Opt. Express* **25**(3), 2805–2817 (2017).

11. H. Watanabe et al., "Wide viewing angle projection-type integral 3-D display system with multiple UHD projectors," in *IS&T Int. Symp. on Electronic Imaging*, SD&A-358, pp. 67–73 (2017).
12. J. Lee et al., "Optimal projector configuration design for 300-Mpixel multi-projection 3D display," *Opt. Express* **21**(22), 26820–26835 (2013).
13. N. Efrat et al., "Cinema 3D: large scale automultiscopic display," *ACM Trans. Graphics* **35**(4), 1–12 (2016).
14. H. Hoshino, F. Okano, and I. Yuyama, "A study on resolution and aliasing for multi-view point images acquisition," *IEEE Trans. Circuit Syst. Video Technol.* **10**(3), 366–375 (2000).
15. M. Zwicker et al., "Antialiasing for automultiscopic 3D displays," in *Proc. the 17th Eurographics Conf. on Rendering Techniques*, pp. 73–82 (2006).
16. S. Iwasawa et al., "Implementation of autostereoscopic HD projection display with dense horizontal parallax," *Proc. SPIE* **7863**, 78630T (2011).
17. R. Lopez-Gulliver, M. Kawakita, and S. Iwasawa, "Live real scene contents for auto-stereoscopic displays," in *Proc. 3DSA (Three Dimensional Systems and Applications)*, P1-2, pp. 1–4 (2013).
18. M. P. Tehrani et al., "SECOND-MVD synthesis error compensated multiview video plus depth," in *Proc. 3DSA (Three Dimensional Systems and Applications)*, S3-2, pp. 1–4 (2013).
19. T. Okoshi, "Optimum parameter and depth resolution of lens sheet and projection-type three-dimensional display," *Appl. Opt.* **10**(10), 2284–2291 (1971).
20. S. Iwasawa, M. Kawakita, and N. Inoue, "REI: an automultiscopic projection display Development of a many-unit projection display system," in *Proc. 3DSA (Three Dimensional Systems and Applications)*, Selected Paper 1 (2013).
21. S. Iwasawa, M. Okui, and N. Inoue, "Status report of REI, a rear projection automultiscopic display technology public viewing," Technical Report 38(24), pp. 3–6, ITE (The Institute of Image Information and Television Engineering) (2014).
22. M. Makino et al., "Field experiments, in a public space, regarding 3D contents on an auto-multiscopic display (REI)," Technical Report 38(11), pp. 31–34, ITE (The Institute of Image Information and Television Engineering) (2014).

Masahiro Kawakita received his BS and MS degrees in physics from Kyushu University and his PhD in electronic engineering from the University of Tokyo in 1988, 1990, and 2005, respectively. In 1990, he joined NHK (Japan Broadcasting Corporation), Tokyo. Since 1993, he has been at the Science and Technical Research Laboratories of NHK, where he has been researching applications of liquid crystal devices and optically addressed spatial modulators, 3-D TV cameras, and display systems.

Shoichiro Iwasawa received his PhD in electrical engineering and electronics from Seikei University, Japan. He is a senior researcher at the National Institute of Information and Communications Technology, Japan, and a member of ACM and IEEE. His fields of interest include image-based social listening, 3-D display, pervasive computing, computer graphics, and computer vision.

Roberto Lopez-Gulliver received his PhD of engineering in information and media science from Kobe University. He worked as a senior researcher at ATR and NICT research labs from 1994 to 2014, and he is currently an associate professor at Ritsumeikan University in Kyoto, Japan. His research interests include interactive autostereoscopic 3-D imaging and displays, virtual reality, and human computer interaction.

Naomi Inoue received his DEng, ME, and BE degrees from Kyoto University in 1998, 1984, and 1982, respectively. From 1987 to 1991, he was a researcher at ATR Interpreting Telephony Research Laboratories. In 1991, he joined KDD R&D Laboratories. He joined NICT Universal Media Research Laboratory in 2006, and became its director in 2010. He promoted research on ultrarealistic communication for 10 years. He rejoined KDDI Research, Inc. in 2016.