In vivo ultrahigh-resolution optical coherence tomography of mouse colon with an achromatized endoscope
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1 Background and Introduction

1.1 In Vivo Monitoring of Colon Disease in Mice

Many mouse models for studying human colon pathology exist and are under continual development (see Table 1). It is interesting to determine the state of disease in vivo for serial studies in which the progress of disease is monitored and to determine, prior to sacrifice, if disease has occurred. Minimally invasive methods promise to reduce the cost and time to develop new breeds of disease model mice and to evaluate chemopreventive and therapeutic agents. A slightly modified pediatric cystoscope (2.1-mm diameter) has been used by other groups to visualize the luminal surface of the murine colon.
Table 1  Mouse models of cancer large intestine/colon/rectum. Summarized from Boivin et al. (Ref. 17) unless otherwise noted. (AOM, azoxymethane; DMH, dimethylhydrazine; DSS, dextran sodium sulfate; MNG, N-methyl-N’-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine; PI, phosphoinositide; NR, not reported.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Model</th>
<th>Predominant Neoplasm</th>
<th>Metastasis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMR genes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC^{min/+}</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC^{min/+} w/arginine</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APC^{1638/+}</td>
<td>Adenoma/carcinoma</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rag2^{−/−}/Tgfβ1^{−/−}</td>
<td>Mucinous carcinoma</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rag2^{−/−}/Tgfβ1^{+/−}</td>
<td>Adenoma</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smad3^{−/−}</td>
<td>Mucinous carcinoma</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunodeficient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin-10^{−/−}</td>
<td>Colitis/carcinoma</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin-2</td>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tcrα^{−/−}</td>
<td>Colitis</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gαq^{−/−}</td>
<td>Colitis/carcinoma</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinogen-treated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOM (DMH)</td>
<td>Adenoma/carcinoma</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOM w/DSS</td>
<td>Adenoma/carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNG</td>
<td>Adenoma/carcinoma</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cdx2^{−/−}</td>
<td>Gastric and intestinal heteropia</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muc2^{−/−}</td>
<td>Adenoma/carcinoma</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI 3-kinase^{γ/−}</td>
<td>Carcinoma</td>
<td>Peritoneal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reference 18.*  
*Reference 19.*

The minute scale of the mouse presents a challenge, not only in packaging but also in resolution. Standard resolution OCT endoscopy in the mouse has clearly shown the layered structure of the colon wall and gross tissue abnormalities but has failed to resolve smaller features such as the colonicCrypts where adenoma are believed to develop. We aim to create an endoscopic ultrahigh-resolution OCT (UHR-OCT) system capable of resolving colonic crypt structure in the mouse.

### 1.2 Optical Considerations in Ultrahigh-Resolution OCT Endoscopes

To date, the majority of side-firing OCT endoscopes have utilized a similar optical design consisting of a single-mode fiber glue directly to a gradient index (GRIN) lens, which is in turn cemented to a prism that redirects the light out the side of a transparent window. The light then comes to a focus at a set distance outside the endoscope window. Advantages of this design are low cost, ease of manufacture, and mechanical stability due to its monolithic, alignment free construction. Herz et al. have pushed the limits of axial resolution in the conventional GRIN lens–based endoscope design to 5 μm in air by extending the bandwidth of the source (centered at 1300 nm) and carefully matching the dispersion in the reference arm. These improvements have allowed improved visualization of rabbit GI tissues including the crypts in the colon. Unfortunately, the lateral point spread function (PSF) of this (and other) traditional GRIN lens–based endoscopes is relatively poor, with spot diameters on the order of 15 μm. The imaging conjugates are greater than 1:1 because one end of the fiber is in contact with the GRIN lens and a positive working distance is required to focus at some depth within the tissue; therefore the lateral resolution is larger than the mode field diameter of the fiber.

Utilizing a shorter central wavelength simultaneously improves the theoretical axial resolution for a given bandwidth and improves the lateral resolution for a given numerical aperture. However, the linear and nonlinear dispersion of materials including silica fibers and GRIN lenses increases substantially as wavelengths are shortened from 1300 nm toward 800 nm. Additional nonlinear dispersion suggests that greater care should be taken when matching dispersion in the sample and reference arms, while greater linear dispersion dictates improved management of axial chromatic error in the objective lens. The intensity of the light collected by an OCT system is strongly dependent on how near (in the axial direction) the scattering surface is to the beam focus. Uncorrected axial chromatic aberration causes each wavelength to be focused at a different depth. Usually, shorter wavelengths are focused at a shorter distance than longer wavelengths. If a scattering surface lies closer to the focal plane of one color than another, the intensity of the reflected spectrum is skewed toward that color. The resulting effective bandwidth of the light returned from any particular depth will usually be narrower than that of the source, thereby reducing axial resolution. Although microscopic systems are more forgiving than larger systems, because chromatic aberration scales with the focal length of the lens, chromatic aberration must be considered to advance past the current state of the art in UHR-OCT endoscopy.

A further optical consideration is the suppression of unwanted reflections in the optical system. Back reflections in the sample arm limit the usable detector range and may cause disturbing image artifacts if they lie close to the target tissue. The specular back reflection of the inner surface of the window between the imaging optics and the tissue can be very strong because it lies close to focus. Herz et al. used index matching fluid inside the endoscope to suppress this...
A design by Li et al. used a beam that exited the envelope at an oblique angle for better Doppler flow resolution in an artery. An off-normal beam exit angle also suppresses the collection of specular back reflection from the window surface.

1.3 Current Work
In the current work, we demonstrate an achromatized UHR-OCT endoscope optimized for use in the mouse colon with 800-nm center wavelength. We compare the theoretical coupling efficiency of this endoscope with a GRIN lens design using commercial ray tracing software. The constructed endoscope was characterized for through-focus bandwidth, axial resolution, signal to noise, and lateral spot diameter. This endoscope maintains a stable wide bandwidth centered around 800 nm, while achieving a small beam waist—a task we believe cannot be achieved by the conventional GRIN design. In vivo performance is demonstrated in mouse colon.

2 Materials and Methods
2.1 Endoscopic UHR-OCT Setup
A previously described time domain UHR-OCT engine was employed in the present study. The system consists of a sub-10-fs mode-locked titanium:sapphire INTEGRAL PRO laser source (800-nm center wavelength, up to 150-nm FWHM bandwidth) integrated in a fiber-optic–based Michelson interferometer employing a high speed scanning reference mirror (up to 250 Hz, 400 mm/s), dual balanced detection, and phase resolved data sampling. All components of the fiber-optic interferometer were designed to support the propagation of greater than 250-nm bandwidth light throughout the OCT system and to compensate for polarization and dispersion mismatch between the sample and reference arms of the interferometer.

A new endoscopic sample arm was designed and constructed for use with the above system and mouse colon imaging. The working distance was selected to be 200 μm in air, corresponding approximately to half the normal thickness of a mouse colon, at the base of the mucosal layer. An imaged spot diameter of 4.4 μm provides a good balance between high lateral resolution at focus and acceptable defocus through a 400-μm imaging thickness without a dynamically focusing element. This lateral dimension is approximately the mode field diameter of the single-mode fiber used, so a symmetric 1:1 imaging conjugate system was designed. The focusing optics (Fig. 2), a pair of KZFSN5/SFPL53 doublets (custom manufactured by Bern Optics, Westfield, Massachusetts), provide excellent primary and secondary color correction as well as diffraction limited on-axis imaging (Fig. 3), to maintain wide bandwidth through the imaging depth. Two approaches are used to suppress back reflection in the air-spaced tip optics. First, at the fiber output interface, a 1-mm-thick fused-silica spacer is cemented. The resulting index matching suppresses the initial back reflection at the fiber interface; in a design with an angle polished fiber, the mating silica spacer can be used to minimize beam deflection and allow the design to be built with on-axis alignment in a tube (Fig. 4). Second, a slight deviation from normal beam exit angle avoids collection of the strong back reflection at the uncoated exit window surface. The endoscope operates mechanically like other push-pull longitudinally scanning endoscopes by translating an inner lumen connected to the focusing optics relative to an outer lumen connected to sealed window at the endoscope tip.

Ideally, both arms of the interferometer consist of identical materials so that, for each wavelength, an equal time is required to traverse the sample and reference arms. Our sample arm consists almost entirely of germanium-doped silica single-mode fiber, with small thicknesses of exotic glasses used in the doublets, and a minimal amount of air separation between optical elements. The reference arm consists of a fiber of identical material to the endoscope, an achromatized
collimator, a section of dispersion compensating glasses, and a translating reference mirror. The fiber length on the reference arm side was made 6 cm shorter than the endoscope and replaced with glass with a higher second-order dispersion (BK7). This glass compensates second-order dispersion while allowing the insertion of a short air gap in the reference arm for the collimator and the translating reference mirror.

### 2.2 Evaluation of Spectral Transmission by Endoscope Sample Arm through Focus

A key parameter to judge performance of an achromatized sample arm design is the variation in spectral throughput as sample depth changes. Software simulation was performed on the achromatized endoscope as well as a GRIN lens–based endoscope with a proximal glass spacer to yield comparable 1:1 imaging conjugates. Experimental verification of through-focus transmission was performed with the constructed achromatized endoscope.

CODE V lens design software (Optical Research Associates, Pasadena, California) was used to calculate the wavelength-dependent coupling efficiency associated with propagating a Gaussian spatial distribution of light through an optical system, to a mirror located near focus, back through the optical system, and back into a fiber. The distance to the mirror was varied through the imaging depth of the endoscope to predict the change in coupling efficiency in each design. This analysis took into account chromatic and pupil aberrations, as well as diffraction from apertures but did not consider losses due to reflections or transmission of the materials. The coupling efficiency function was then multiplied by a spectrally Gaussian source function to demonstrate how the sample arm optics distort the spectrum as a function of image depth.

A 1:1 imaging conjugate GRIN endoscope was modeled with the following prescription: the source fiber [800-nm center wavelength, 260-nm FWHM bandwidth, 5.4-μm mode field diameter, numerical aperture (NA)=0.13] was in contact with a 2.0-mm BK7 spacer, cemented to a 0.21-pitch GRIN lens (using the CODE V built-in glass definition for SLW 1.0 from NSG America) that was further cemented to a 1.0-mm prism of BK7, and then separated by a 0.4-mm airspace to the 0.1-mm-thick fused-silica cylindrical window with an outer diameter of 2.0 mm, which finally resulted in a focus 0.2-mm deep in a water media. The achromatic doublet design was prescribed with an identical source and fiber, the fused-silica spacer and doublet pair shown in Fig. 3, and an identical window and water media. In both cases, the small deviation from normal incidence at the fused-silica window was omitted from the simulation for simplicity.

The ability of the achromatized endoscope to maintain a 260-nm FWHM bandwidth through focus was verified experimentally. Light from a sub-5-fs Ti:sapphire laser source [800-nm λo, 260-nm Δλ (FWHM)] was coupled into the endoscope via a fiber beamsplitter and subsequently focused by the endoscope onto a mirror that translated axially through focus. The light was coupled back into the endoscope, through the fiber beamsplitter and subsequently measured by a spectrometer. Through-focus spectra were measured in 50-μm intervals from contact with the endoscope outer window (focus −200 μm) to the far side of focus (focus +380 μm).

### 2.3 Measurement of PSF

The lateral focused spot dimension predicted by lens design software was tested for a single wavelength (~830 nm) using a microscope to magnify the focused spot across an inexpensive complementary metal-oxide semiconductor image array. The microscope magnification was calibrated with a test target placed at focus. This microscope also allowed measurement of the working distance to focus. The axial PSF was characterized as the FWHM of the interference fringe envelope resulting from a mirror near focus in air. This result was corrected for a resolution in tissue by dividing by the average index of refraction of 1.4.

### 2.4 Sensitivity Measurement

Sensitivity was measured with a slight modification to a common method. Typically, power in the sample arm is strongly attenuated by placing a neutral density (ND) filter in the sample arm optics so that a weak, but clearly distinguishable peak can be measured from a mirror placed at focus. The...
signal strength is determined by the maximum height of this interferogram ($S_{\text{OCT}}$). The target mirror is removed and the noise is estimated from the variance of the remaining collected data ($\sigma^2$). The sensitivity is increased by a factor accounting for the attenuation of the ND filter, yielding an equation for sensitivity ($\Sigma$)

$$\Sigma = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{S_{\text{OCT}}^2}{\sigma^2} \right) + 20\,\text{ND}. \tag{1}$$

In the endoscope, however, no neutral density filter can be placed in the beam path due to physical limitations. The efficiency of coupling to the sample arm was therefore reduced by loosening the fiber butt coupling. The magnitude of attenuation was calibrated by measuring the power exiting the sample arm before and after attenuation. The signal was measured with the attenuated arrangement. Because some noise may be introduced by back reflections at the misaligned butt coupler or from reflections in the endoscope, the noise was measured with the fiber efficiently coupled but with no target at the sample plane.

The tomogram’s dynamic range was calculated by comparison of the brightest pixels found near focus to the variance of the noise in the region near the top of the tomogram occupied by the clear glass window.

### 2.5 Measurements in Tissues

Two normal mice were imaged once each with the above-described endoscope. All procedures were approved by the Medical University of Vienna Animal Use Committee. The mice were first anesthetized with a Ketamine-Xylazine mixture (100 mg/kg + 10 mg/kg) delivered by intraperitoneal injection, yielding approximately 30 min of working time. The endoscope and anus were thoroughly coated with water-based lubricant before inserting the device to a depth of 33 mm. B-scans of length 30 mm and depth 0.5 mm were collected at a lateral imaging speed of 1.9 mm/s with 900-μW power incident on the tissue. Shorter, partial length scans were collected with a lateral imaging speed of 0.5 mm/s.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Transmission of Spectra by Endoscope Sample Arm through Focus

Comparison of the simulations of the GRIN-based endoscope and the achromatized endoscope (Fig. 5) illustrates the benefit of using achromatized optics. The transmitted spectrum of the GRIN system shows large changes in spectral shape and peak wavelength through focus. In the current design, using a pair of achromatic doublets, the expected shape of the transmitted spectra is essentially the same as the source and is flatly attenuated away from focus. The lenses are chromatically corrected over a very wide range and good performance is predicted for bandwidths in excess of 400 nm.

The experiment to verify through focus transmission for the achromatized endoscope demonstrated a FWHM bandwidth of 260 nm passed with minimal distortion though the imaging depth of the endoscope (Fig. 6). Some of the distortion that is seen in this experiment, which is not seen in the theoretical curves of Fig. 5, can be attributed to the more Gaussian shape of the idealized source function, which tends to smooth out differences at the tails of the spectrum.

#### 3.2 Axial, Lateral Resolution, and Sensitivity

The lateral spot dimension was measured [Fig. 7(b)] with a calibrated microscope to be 4.4-μm FWHM at focus. Axial resolution with a 150-nm FWHM bandwidth laser (1.9-μm theoretical axial resolution in air) was measured [Fig. 7(a)] to be 3.2 μm in air corresponding to 2.3 μm in tissue. The broadening of the axial PSF is primarily a result of high-order dispersion resulting from glass type mismatch in the reference arm. Sensitivity of 101 dB was measured at an imaging sample arm power of 900 μW. Dynamic range found in tissue tomograms (47 dB) is consistent with this estimate of sensitivity.

#### 3.3 In Vivo Imaging

Ultrahigh-resolution tomograms of normal mice (Figs. 8 and 9) show sensitivity to features unresolved by standard resolution systems. Most striking in the comparison between histology of normal mouse colon and our tomograms is that we appear to be able to resolve the boundaries between crypts. These show up as slightly off-vertical lines of hyperintense...
scatter contained wholly within the mucosa. These real structures contrast to shadowing artifacts observed within the muscular layers that present as perfectly vertical (axially oriented) hypointense stripes that persist beyond tissue boundaries to the bottom of the image. A thin hypointense band about 30-μm-thick caps most of the simple columnar mucosa. This feature is absent in the stratified squamous epithelia of the anal region (Fig. 8). Comparison with histology suggests this may correspond to a single cell layer of absorptive cells lining the luminal surface (Fig. 9). Resolution of the layers of the colon—colonic mucosa, muscular mucosa, submucosa, muscularis externa (inner circular layer and outer longitudinal layer), and serosa—is also clear. We also see lymphoid aggregates either in the mucosa, where they may disrupt the normal distribution of crypt glands or in the submucosa, where they do not disturb the glandular organization but distort the rest of the tissue.

### 4 Discussion

UHR-OCT images obtained using a 800-nm center wavelength source penetrate the entire thickness of mouse colon tissue and exhibit higher axial and lateral resolution than could be achieved with similar bandwidth and numerical aperture near 1300 nm. We believe these advantages outweigh the disadvantages of higher dispersion in the fiber and optics, the smaller fiber core diameter that makes efficient fiber coupling more difficult, and the lack of commercial broadband fiber circulators. While we are extremely excited with the improvement in information content in the in vivo mouse colon tomograms, there is still room for improvement in axial and lateral information content of our tomograms. For example, Herz et al. demonstrate a direct approach to better match the dispersion by including an air gap in the coupling to the endoscopic sample arm, which may allow us to achieve the axial resolution potential made possible by achromatized optics and sub-5-fs laser systems at 800-nm center wavelength. The tomograms presented in this work are slightly undersampled in the lateral direction. Memory limitations of the

---

**Fig. 6** Experimental data shows that the achromatized endoscope maintains a wide bandwidth through focus. Top frame shows coupled intensity attenuated as mirror moves away from focus. Frame below shows the same data with peak normalization. The axial location of the mirror relative to the focusing optics is encoded in the line shading, as indicated by the legend. Mirror displacement between individual lines is 50 μm.

**Fig. 7** The axial coherence function (a) indicates an axial resolution of 3.2 μm in air (FWHM). A line profile through an image of the focused spot (b) indicates a 4.4-μm lateral spot diameter (FWHM).

**Fig. 8** In vivo UHR-OCT tomogram of the distal 30 mm of normal mouse colon (full-length scan, 1.9-mm/s lateral imaging speed). The vertical dimension is 0.4 mm (about 10× stretched). A lymphoid aggregate in the mucosa (LM) disturbs the glandular structure, while a lymphoid aggregate in the submucosa (LS) simply inserts itself between the muscular layers; both structures are typical in normal colon. The texture of the mucosa undergoes a visible change as the simple columnar epithelium (SC) gives way to stratified squamous epithelium (SS) in the anal canal.
data acquisition board allowed acquisition of only 4000 A-scans in a continuous B-scan, resulting in full-length images sampled every 7.5 µm. Stiction in the tubing used to drive the lateral scan forced a minimum velocity of the probe for smooth translation on shorter scans. The maximum A-scan rate of the time domain OCT system resulted in images sampled every 2 µm. We foresee an improvement in clarity of crypt outlines and general tomogram sensitivity with a small degree of lateral oversampling. Although the speed at which stiction presents a problem to push-pull endoscopes may correspond to a single cell layer of absorptive cells, which stiction presents a problem to push-pull endoscopes.10 Further modeling and experimental verification is required to establish the allowable chromatic error for a particular source bandwidth and imaging goal.

The tomograms presented here clearly resolve cross-sectional colonic crypt formation in the living mouse without application of exogenous dyes.2 We are confident that the present resolution improvements will allow us to better observe disease progression in vivo in the smallest common mammalian colon disease model animal. Next-generation experiments focusing on diseased mice will help establish diagnostic criteria in OCT images and determine how criteria proposed in humans and other models can be applied to mice. The reward for careful optical design will be obtained when endoscopic UHR-OCT, including diagnostic criteria obtained from well-understood mouse models, is applied to a poorly understood model and knowledge is accumulated at a lower cost than with currently available techniques.
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