Diagnosis of gastric cancer using near-infrared Raman spectroscopy and classification and regression tree techniques
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Abstract. The purpose of this study is to apply near-infrared (NIR) Raman spectroscopy and classification and regression tree (CART) techniques for identifying molecular changes of tissue associated with cancer transformation. A rapid-acquisition NIR Raman system is utilized for tissue Raman spectroscopic measurements at 785-nm excitation. 73 gastric tissue samples (55 normal, 18 cancer) from 53 patients are measured. The CART technique is introduced to develop effective diagnostic algorithms for classification of Raman spectra of different gastric tissues. 80% of the Raman dataset are randomly selected for spectral learning, while 20% of the dataset are reserved for validation. High-quality Raman spectra in the range of 800 to 1800 cm\(^{-1}\) are acquired from gastric tissue within 5 s. The diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of the learning dataset are 90.2 and 95.7%; and the predictive sensitivity and specificity of the independent validation dataset are 88.9 and 92.9%, respectively, for separating cancer from normal. The tissue Raman peaks at 875 and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\) are found to be two of the most significant features to discriminate gastric cancer from normal tissue. NIR Raman spectroscopy in conjunction with the CART technique has the potential to provide an effective and accurate diagnostic means for cancer detection in the gastric system. © 2008 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers.
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1 Introduction

Despite a falling incidence rate of gastric cancer, it is still the fourth most common malignancy, and also the second leading cause of cancer deaths in humans, accounting for 600,000 deaths worldwide.\(^1\) If the tumor is detected early and treated before it has invaded the gastric wall, the survival rate of the patient will increase tremendously.\(^2\) However, early identification and demarcation of such lesions in the stomach can be very difficult using the conventional diagnostic method of a white-light endoscope, which heavily relies on the visual observation of gross morphological changes of tissue, leading to poor diagnostic accuracy. Excisional biopsy currently remains the standard approach for cancer diagnosis, but this method is invasive and impractical for screening high-risk patients who may have multiple suspicious lesions.

Raman spectroscopy, which makes use of inelastic light scattering processes to capture “fingerprints” of specific molecular structures and conformations of a given tissue or disease state, has shown to be a promising optical diagnostic technique for identifying malignant tissues in various organs.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^12\) To convert molecular differences subtly reflected in Raman spectra between different tissues types into valuable information, various diagnostic imaging techniques have been developed over the past two decades. These techniques include Raman spectral imaging,\(^13\)\(^-\)\(^15\) near-infrared fluorescence microscopy,\(^16\)\(^-\)\(^18\) and confocal laser scanning microscopy.\(^19\)\(^-\)\(^21\) Raman spectroscopy can differentiate normal from cancerous tissues in a number of human cancer types, including prostate, breast, and skin. However, there are still some issues to be addressed in order to facilitate the clinical use of Raman spectroscopy for cancer detection.

In this study, we present a diagnostic system for separating normal and cancerous gastric tissues using near-infrared Raman spectroscopy (NIRRS) and classification and regression tree (CART) modeling. The rapid-acquisition NIRRS system allows for a large number of spectral measurements in a short time frame. The diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of the learning dataset are 90.2 and 95.7%, respectively, and the predictive sensitivity and specificity of the independent validation dataset are 88.9 and 92.9%, respectively. The tissue Raman peaks at 875 and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\) are found to be two of the most significant features to discriminate gastric cancer from normal tissue. NIRRS in conjunction with the CART technique has the potential to provide an effective and accurate diagnostic means for cancer detection in the gastric system.
diagnostic information for clinicians, multivariate statistical techniques have been successfully deployed in developing effective diagnostic algorithms for Raman spectroscopic diagnosis of cancers. Due to the complexities of the biological tissues, principal component analysis (PCA), which is able to take into account the whole range of Raman spectral features of the tissue, has often been applied to simplify the computational complexities for the development of effective classifier algorithms [e.g., linear discriminant analysis (LDA), logistic regression] without compromising diagnostic accuracy. However, PCA does not necessarily provide the physical meanings of component spectra for tissue classification. Very recently, the classification and regression tree (CART) technique, based on the recursive partitioning for generating discriminatory algorithms for classification of different subgroups in complex datasets, has received extensive attention in biomedical fields such as proteomics, genomics, and mass spectroscopy. For instance, Luk et al. applied both neural networks and CART on liver cancer proteomes and found that both algorithms produced equally good predictive abilities. Garzotto et al. employed CART to identify prostate cancer from normal tissue with the sensitivity of 96.6%. Zhang et al. also made use of CART on mass spectral urine profiles to achieve the sensitivity of 93.3% and specificity of 87.0% for separating transitional cell carcinoma from normal bladder tissue. Despite these successful applications, to date, the CART technique has yet to be applied to Raman spectroscopy for elucidation of Raman spectra in tissue diagnosis. In this study, we explore the feasibility of applying the CART technique to develop effective diagnostic algorithms for differentiation of near-infrared (NIR) Raman spectra between normal and cancer tissue, and to further understand molecular changes reflected in Raman spectra of tissue associated with the onset of malignancy in the stomach.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Raman Instrumentation

The instrument used for tissue Raman spectroscopic studies has been described in detail elsewhere. Briefly, this system consists of a 785-nm diode laser, a transmissive imaging spectrograph with a Kaiser holographic grating, an NIR-optimized back-illuminated, deep-depletion charge-coupled device (CCD) detector (Princeton Instruments, Trenton, New Jersey), and a specially designed fiber optic Raman probe that can effectively eliminate interference from fiber optic fluorescence and silica Raman signals. The 785-nm laser is coupled to a 100-μm core diameter fiber (NA=0.22) and the fiber is connected to the Raman probe for tissue excitation. Tissue NIR Raman signals collected by the probe are fed into the spectrograph and the holographic grating disperses the incoming light onto the liquid-nitrogen-cooled CCD detector controlled by a computer. The tissue Raman spectra are displayed on the computer screen in real time and can be saved for further analysis. The system acquired Raman spectra over the wavenumber range of 800 to 1800 cm\(^{-1}\), and each spectrum was acquired within 5 s with light irradiance of 1.56 W/cm\(^2\). The spectral resolution of the system is 4 cm\(^{-1}\). All wavelength calibrated spectra were also corrected for the wavelength dependence of the system using a standard lamp (RS-10, EG and G Gamma Scientific, San Diego, California).

2.2 Gastric Tissue Samples

A total of 73 gastric tissue samples were collected from 53 patients (28 men and 25 women with a median age of 62 years) who underwent endoscopy biopsies or gastrectomy operations with clinically suspicious lesions. All patients pre-operatively signed an informed consent permitting the investigatory use of the tissues, and this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the National Healthcare Group (NHG) of Singapore. After biopsies or surgical resections, tissue samples were immediately sent to the laboratory for Raman measurements. After spectral measurements, the tissue samples were fixed in 10% formalin solution and then submitted back to the Hospital for histopathologic examinations. A gastrointestinal (GI) pathologist conducted pathologic examinations, and the results showed that among the 73 homogenous gastric tissue samples with clearly defined pathologies, 55 tissue specimens were normal, and 18 were cancer (adenocarcinoma). A total of 222 tissue Raman spectra were acquired from different sites of gastric tissues, in which 143 Raman spectra were from normal and 79 from cancer. Note that the gastric tissue samples were approximately 3×3×2 mm in size, and the 785-nm laser light with a beam size of 1 mm was focused on the tissue surface to mimic the in vivo clinical measurements. For the larger resection tissues, Raman spectra were acquired on two to five different sites of the same tissue samples, and the corresponding pathology examinations were also performed on the tissue sites measured to correlate with Raman spectra for tissue classification. Each tissue surface location measured was then marked and stained for pathology. After comparing with pathologic results, only those Raman spectra that were correctly acquired from the tissue surfaces were included in the data analysis.

2.3 Classification and Regression Tree

Classification and regression tree (CART) is a statistical technique that selectively employs variables that are of the utmost importance from a large number of input variables in databases for binary discrimination. It is implemented by growing a tree structure with a root node containing all the objects that are then further divided into nodes by recursive binary splitting. The split that gives the best reduction in impurity between the mother group \(t_p\) and the daughter groups \(t_l\) and \(t_r\) at different nodes of the tree is sequentially selected in the construction of the CART. The maximization of change of impurity function \(\Delta i(t)\) at each node is defined as:

\[
\Delta i(t) = \max_{x_j \in X_j} \left[ M \frac{1}{t} \left( \frac{P_l(t) - P(t)}{t} \right) \right],
\]

where \(x_j\) represents different variables for different values of \(j\) from a total of \(M\) variables; \(x_j^P\) represents the best splitting value of \(x_j\) when a maximum change of impurity function \(\Delta i(t)\) is achieved. \(P_l(t)\), \(P_r(t)\), and \(i(t)\) are the impurity functions belonging to the parent node \(t_p\), left child node \(t_l\), and right child node \(t_r\) of the parent node, respectively. \(P_l\) and \(P_r\) are the probabilities of achieving left and right nodes, respectively. CART will search through all possible values of variables for the best splitter at the maximal \(\Delta i(t)\). In this study, the Gini index is used to determine the impurity.
At each node, which forms the criterion for splitting.

\[
\text{Gini} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{c} \left( \frac{n_j}{n} \right)^2,
\]

where \(c\) is the number of different classes, \(n\) is the total number of objects, and \(n_j\) is the number of objects from class \(j\) presented in the node. Generally, a tree is first grown to its maximal size until the terminal nodes are sufficiently small. However, the maximum tree size that is usually overfitted with noise could not generalize well for future datasets. Hence, the tree is usually gradually pruned by pruning away terminal nodes that lead to the smallest decrease in accuracy. For each subtree \(T\), a complexity-misclassification cost function \(R_a(T)\) is generated:

\[
R_a(T) = R(T) + \alpha |T|,
\]

where \(R(T)\) is the resubstitution misclassification error of \(T\); and \(|T|\) and \(\alpha\) represent the number of terminal nodes and the cost of complexity per terminal node, respectively. During each successive pruning process, a smaller subtree [i.e., \(T'\) that minimizes \(R_a(T')\)] with a smaller number of terminal nodes will be generated, but the cost of complexity \(\alpha\) will gradually increase. As a result, searching for an optimal tree size is equivalent to finding the correct \(\alpha\) such that the information in the learning dataset is best fit rather than overfit or underfit.\(^{13}\)

Although only one variable would be selected as the best split at any node in a CART, there would always be a second best variable that could perform nearly as well as the best splitter. The second best variable(s) could be masked by the best splitter(s) and would not appear in the final CART tree. As such, to avoid masking the importance of any variables used in CART, the relative importance of each input variable is assessed based on its importance over all possible nodes and splits by a “variable ranking method.” The importance of a variable \(X_m\) is defined as:\(^{13}\)

\[
M(X_m) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \Delta I(\hat{s}_m, t),
\]

with \(\Delta I(\hat{s}_m, t) = \max \Delta I(T)(s_m, t)\), which equals the maximal decrease in node impurity for the division of a parent node \(t\) into daughter nodes \(C_1\) and \(C_2\) that are guided by a surrogate split \(\hat{s}_m\). A surrogate split is defined by a surrogate variable. This variable is the second best variable, which follows the selected variable by giving the second best reduction in impurity of the mother group into the daughter groups. This maximal decrease in node impurity is summed for all the nodes of the optimal subtree \(T\) to obtain the importance of a variable.

In this study, a ten-fold cross-validation was chosen to select the optimal tree size.\(^{13}\) The learning dataset is randomly divided into ten subsets: one of the subsets is used as an independent testing dataset, while the other nine subsets are combined and used as training datasets. The tree growing and pruning procedure is repeated ten times with each time using a different subset as a testing dataset. For each tree size, the resubstitution and cross-validation error are calculated and averaged over all subsets. The misclassification cost obtained for each subtrees on the cross-validation subset is matched with the subtrees of the complete model learning dataset using the \(\alpha\) values. The optimal sized tree is selected to be within one standard error (SE) of the complexity-misclassification rate for the minimum complexity-misclassification rate.\(^{2,20}\)

### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

Among the 222 Raman spectra of gastric tissues acquired, the Raman datasets were divided randomly into a model learning dataset (80% of total dataset) and a validation dataset (20% of total dataset) for CART analysis.\(^{15}\) An unpaired two-sided Student’s \(t\)-test was first applied in the learning dataset to identify diagnostically significant prominent Raman peaks \((p<0.05)\) as input variables for the development of CART algorithms for binary-class classification. Equal misclassification costs were specified so that there was an unbiased cost associated with misclassifying a cancer case as a normal case, and vice versa. The prior probability of each class was defined as proportional to the sizes of the groups in the dataset. Thereafter, the predictive sensitivity and specificity of the resulting tree model were evaluated using both the model learning (80% of total dataset) and validation dataset (20% of total dataset). Note that for the assessment of diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of the Raman technique, histopathological results were regarded as the gold standard.

### 3 Results

Figure 1 shows the mean Raman spectra of normal \((n=115)\) and cancer \((n=61)\) gastric tissue in the model learning dataset. Prominent Raman peaks are observed in both normal and cancer gastric tissue, which are located around 875 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–C stretching of hydroxyproline), 1004 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–C\(_2\)H\(_5\) symmetric ring breathing of phenylalanine), 1100 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–C stretching of phospholipids), 1230 cm\(^{-1}\) (PO\(_2\) asymmetric stretching of nucleic acids), 1265 cm\(^{-1}\) (C–N stretching and N–H bending modes of amide III of proteins), 1335 cm\(^{-1}\), (CH\(_2\)CH\(_2\) twisting of proteins and nucleic acids), 1450 cm\(^{-1}\) (CH\(_2\) bending of proteins and lipids), 1655 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=O stretching of amide I of proteins), and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\) (C=O stretching of phospholipids).\(^{6,10}\) respectively.
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Table 1: Statistical characteristics of diagnostically significant Raman peaks (unpaired two-sided Student’s t-test, \( p < 0.05 \); 80% of total Raman dataset). Note that SD is standard deviation. The symbol * denotes a particular Raman peak intensity with cancer tissue being higher than normal tissue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raman peak (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Normal (mean±SD)</th>
<th>Cancer (mean±SD)</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>875</td>
<td>0.011 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.009 (0.003)</td>
<td>70.5 (43/61)</td>
<td>74.8 (86/115)</td>
<td>0.000001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1004</td>
<td>0.011 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.010 (0.002)</td>
<td>62.3 (38/61)</td>
<td>66.1 (76/115)</td>
<td>0.007582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1100</td>
<td>0.011 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.008 (0.002)</td>
<td>68.9 (42/61)</td>
<td>73.9 (85/115)</td>
<td>0.000018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1265*</td>
<td>0.003 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.005 (0.002)</td>
<td>65.6 (40/61)</td>
<td>65.2 (75/115)</td>
<td>0.000000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1450*</td>
<td>0.011 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.013 (0.003)</td>
<td>82.0 (50/61)</td>
<td>60.0 (69/115)</td>
<td>0.000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1655*</td>
<td>0.007 (0.002)</td>
<td>0.008 (0.002)</td>
<td>70.5 (43/61)</td>
<td>61.7 (71/115)</td>
<td>0.000002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1745</td>
<td>0.005 (0.003)</td>
<td>0.004 (0.003)</td>
<td>60.7 (37/61)</td>
<td>61.7 (71/115)</td>
<td>0.000006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The intensity differences between the two tissue types are remarkable. For example, cancer tissues show higher intensities at 1265, 1450, and 1655 cm\(^{-1}\), while lower at 875, 1004, 1100, and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\), compared to normal tissues. This suggests that there is an increase or decrease in the percentage of a certain type of biomolecule relative to the total Raman-active constituents in cancer tissue. There are also obvious changes of Raman peak positions and bandwidths in the ranges of 900 to 1100 cm\(^{-1}\), 1200 to 1500 cm\(^{-1}\), and 1500 to 1800 cm\(^{-1}\), which are related to the breathing mode of phenylalanine and C=O stretching of phospholipids, the amide III and amide I of proteins, CH\(_3\)CH\(_2\) twisting of proteins/nucleic acids, and C=C stretching of phospholipids, respectively, for cancer tissue. The differences in Raman spectra between normal and cancer tissues demonstrate the utility of Raman spectroscopy for gastric cancer diagnosis.

Table 1 lists the mean values ± standard deviation (SD) of seven prominent Raman peaks for tissue classification. Overall, the intensity differences of these Raman peaks are statistically significant between normal and cancer tissues (unpaired two-sided Student’s t-test, \( p < 0.05 \)). Based on the logistic regression analysis,\(^{22}\) the discrimination functions generated from each of these Raman peak intensities yield a sensitivity of 60 to 82% and the specificity of 60% to 75%, respectively, for identifying cancer from normal gastric tissues (Table 1).

To further improve tissue classification, CART was subsequently employed to correlate all the diagnostically significant Raman peak intensities with tissue pathologies. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the relationship of complexity with respect to the misclassification cost and the number of terminal nodes for both cross-validated and resubstitution error after ten-fold cross-validation of the CART model learning dataset. The misclassification cost for the resubstitution error increases monotonically as the complexity increases with a corresponding decrease in terminal nodes. On the other hand, the misclassification cost for the cross-validated error increases at a slower rate compared to the resubstitution error. A local minimum misclassification cost of 0.1875 is found at a complexity of 0.00568 for the cross-validated error. Consequently, according to the cross-validated dataset, the optimal sized tree will be chosen at a complexity of 0.00852 with 13 terminal nodes, which is within one standard error (SE) of the complexity-misclassification cost for the local minimum complexity-misclassification cost.

Figure 3 displays the CART analysis procedure in a classification model based on the model learning dataset (80% of total dataset). With the CART model, six diagnostically significant Raman peaks at 875, 1100, 1265, 1450, 1655, and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\) are interlinked differently to build the following 13 subgroups (designated as either normal or cancer in the terminal subgroups): normal being groups 1, 3, 6, 7, 9, 11, and 13; cancer being groups 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, and 12. All these six significant Raman peak intensities are combined differently to build the seven normal and six cancer subgroups for best tissue classification.

![Fig. 2](image-url)

Fig. 2: Dependence of complexity \( \alpha \) on (a) misclassification cost nodes for cross-validated error after ten-fold cross-validation, and resubstitution error, and on (b) number of terminal nodes for resubstitution error of the CART model learning dataset. The optimal sized tree was chosen to be at a complexity of 0.00852 with 13 terminal nodes within one standard error (SE) of the complexity-misclassification cost for the local minimum complexity-misclassification cost.
Table 2 tabulates the variable ranking of the Raman peaks and the total number of appearing times of different intensity features for generating the CART-based diagnostic model (Fig. 3). According to the variable ranking method, the most and least important Raman peaks are found to be located at 875 and 1004 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. By assessing the final CART-based diagnostic model, the Raman peaks that appear the most and least number of times are located at 1745 and 1004 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. Raman peaks located at 1655, 1265, 1100, and 1450 cm\(^{-1}\), which are in the order of descending variable rankings, appeared 2, 2, 1, and 2 times, respectively, in the final CART model. As a result, Raman peaks at 875, 1100, 1265, 1450, 1655, and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\) are found to be most constructive toward building the final CART-based diagnostic model, and Raman peaks at 875 and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\) appear to be the most important variables for tissue classification.

To evaluate the performance of the CART-based diagnostic algorithms for predicting the prospective cases (generalization), a randomly selected validation dataset (20% of total dataset) was used, in which six important Raman peaks (875, 1100, 1265, 1450, 1655, and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\)) were utilized as an input in the final CART-based diagnostic model. Table 3 summarizes the classification results of the two pathologic groups (normal versus cancer) for both the model learning dataset (after ten-fold cross-validation) (80% of total dataset), and the validation dataset (20% of total dataset). The sensitivity of 90.2% and specificity of 95.7% can be obtained for the model learning dataset, while a predictive sensitivity and specificity of 88.9 and 92.9% can be achieved for the independent validation dataset. The results show that CART-based diagnostic algorithms that utilize the most diagnostically important peaks of Raman spectra are powerful and robust for accurately predicting the tissue types in the prospective new cases.

4 Discussion

The spectral analysis technique based on PCA-LDA has been widely practised in spectroscopy diagnosis of diseased tissue.\(^3\)\(^-\)\(^4\) PCA is basically targeted to spectral data reduction rather than identification of biochemical components in tissue. The PCA-LDA model usually cannot interpret the physical meanings of the component spectra generated because the
PCs that constitute most of the variance in the spectroscopic data are not necessary for the spectral parameters with the most diagnostic utility.\(^{3,4,8}\) In this study, a novel spectroscopy analysis method based on the classification and regression tree (CART) diagnostic model is explored to identify the distinctive Raman peak features for gastric tissue carcinogenesis, and to relate to particular biochemical changes (e.g., vibrational modes of proteins, lipids, or nucleic acids) in tissue. We demonstrate that the CART-based diagnostic tree generated from the six significant Raman peaks (875, 1100, 1265, 1450, 1655, and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\)) can be used to correlate well with pathological classification of gastric tissues. We have identified the extent of significance of these prominent Raman peaks for the construction of the CART model (Table 2), and found that a Raman signal at 875 cm\(^{-1}\) \([\nu (\text{C–C})\) of hydroxyproline of collagen\] and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\) \([\nu (\text{C=O})\) of phospholipids\] are the most significant spectral features for gastric tissue diagnosis and characterization. However, the significance of all these Raman peak intensity features with respect to gastric tissue carcinogenesis has not been fully explored in the literature. In this work, the Raman peak intensity at 875 cm\(^{-1}\) has been found to decrease significantly with malignancy (Fig. 1), indicating a reduction in the percentage of collagen content relative to the total Raman-active components in cancer tissue. This observation is in agreement with the reports that cancerous cells proliferate, invade underlying layers, and express as a class of metalloproteases,\(^{23,24}\) leading to a decrease in the amount of collagen level.\(^{25}\) In addition, the Raman peaks at 1265 and 1655 cm\(^{-1}\) that are presumably ascribed to the amide III and amide I of proteins (in the \(\alpha\)-helix conformation of histones making up the chromatin)\(^{26}\) are also found to be important spectral features (Table 2). The significant increase of these two Raman intensity features indicates the elevated percentage of histones concentration with respect to the total Raman-active constituents in cancer. These findings accord with gastric cytologic studies of grading malignancy by the indication of nuclear hyperchromasia.\(^{27}\) On top of this, Raman peak intensities at 1100, 1450, and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\) that represented mostly phospholipids at different molecular structures with different vibrational modes\(^{6-10}\) are also found to play pivotal roles toward tissue classification. Consistent with Raman studies on lung cancer diagnosis by Huang et al.,\(^{10}\) we also observed a lower percentage Raman signal of phospholipids (e.g., 1100 and 1745 cm\(^{-1}\)) in cancer gastric tissue. One notes that the important feature of Raman peaks at 1745 cm\(^{-1}\) \([\nu (\text{C=O})\) of phospholipids\] appeared three times for the CART-based diagnostic model. This reveals that the significance of the variation in the concentration of phospholipids in gastric tissue and cells may be associated with malignant transformation. Hence, the CART technique may be a useful approach to identifying the origins of biochemical/biomolecular changes of Raman spectra for tissue carcinogenesis analysis.

Applying the CART technique for classification of tissue Raman spectra, the predictive diagnostic sensitivity of 92.9% (26/28), specificity of 88.9% (16/18), and accuracy of 91.3% (42/46) can be achieved for the independent validation dataset (Table 3). To compare with the CART method, we also performed the commonly practised PCA-LDA method using 80% of the same Raman dataset for training and 20% for testing for tissue classification, and a predictive sensitivity of 96.4% (27/28), specificity of 94.4% (17/18), and accuracy of 95.7% (44/46) can be obtained for gastric cancer diagnosis. Further work based on PCA-LDA and CART techniques using 80% training/20% testing of the Raman dataset together with a five-fold cross-validation\(^{28}\) shows that the PCA-LDA approach yields a sensitivity of 92.4% (73/79), specificity of 94.4% (135/143), and accuracy of 93.7% (208/222); whereas the CART method generates a sensitivity of 86.1% (68/79), specificity of 97.2% (139/143), and accuracy of 93.2% (207/222), respectively, for cancer detection. Hence, the CART-based diagnostic algorithms produce a similar level of diagnostic accuracy compared to the PCA-

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathology and classification</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Learning model</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(after ten-fold cross-validation)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensitivity (%)</strong></td>
<td>90.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specificity (%)</strong></td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Testing model</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sensitivity (%)</strong></td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specificity (%)</strong></td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LDA model. These further reinforce that the CART-based diagnostic algorithms generated are robust and powerful for tissue diagnosis and characterization by Raman spectroscopy. Besides the ability for tissue classification, the CART diagnostic model could also provide a novel way for better understanding of the relationship between the disease-related biochemical changes of Raman spectra and tissue pathologies. We use the CART technique to evaluate how different Raman molecular information is correlated with tissue types by analyzing how the different Raman peaks are interlinked together to form different subgroups for best tissue classification. For instance, in Fig. 3, it is found that group 5 (cancer subgroup) has the highest probability of incidence, followed by group 3, which is a normal subgroup for both the model learning and validation datasets. In group 5, CART indicates that cancer gastric tissues are associated with a relative increase in Raman peak intensities at 1655 and 1745 cm$^{-1}$, while a decrease at 875 and 1450 cm$^{-1}$. These results, in fact, are consistent with reports on the decrease of Raman intensity ratio at 1655 to 1455 cm$^{-1}$ associated with malignancies in the cervix and lung.$^{10,11}$ The CART model also shows that Raman peaks at 875 and 1745 cm$^{-1}$ representing collagen and phospholipids, respectively, appear to be significantly correlated with the Raman peaks at 1450 and 1655 cm$^{-1}$ for identifying the cancer subgroup (group 5). Conversely, the Raman peaks at 875, 1655, and 1745 cm$^{-1}$ utilized to construct a cancer subgroup (group 5) can be employed for identifying the normal subgroup (group 3). CART also indicates that compared to cancer tissue, normal gastric tissues tend to be related with high collagen contents (Raman peak at 875 cm$^{-1}$) in extracellular matrix, high lipid contents (Raman peak at 1745 cm$^{-1}$) present in both extracellular matrix and cytoplasm, and a lower histones content (Raman peak at 1655 cm$^{-1}$) in the nucleus. Further investigation of other subgroups shows that heterogeneous molecular changes may occur in tissue, enabling cancer subgroups to be distinguished from normal. For example, collagen content typically decreases with malignancy, but there are quite a number of other cancer subgroups (groups 2, 8, and 10) associated with an increase in collagen content. These subgroups are accompanied by either less phospholipids or higher histones content, which could enable them to be distinguished from normal tissue. The prior CART-Raman analysis results indicate that most biochemical/biomolecular information from tissue and cells are essential for tissue discrimination, and the CART-based diagnostic model is able to partition different subgroups based on different compositions of Raman molecular information for segregating gastric cancer from normal. Therefore, the CART-Raman technique may provide new insights into the biochemical/biomolecular changes associated with malignant transformation.

In summary, the CART technique was first introduced and implemented to develop effective diagnostic algorithms for classification of Raman spectra between normal and cancer gastric tissues. This work shows that NIR Raman spectroscopy, in combination with powerful CART algorithms, has potential to provide an effective and accurate diagnostic means for cancer diagnosis in the gastric system. Further studies on a larger series of gastric tissues, in which the CART diagnostic algorithms are tested prospectively on new cases, are ongoing to reconfirm these preliminary findings.
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