Erratum: Measuring temporal stability of positron emission tomography standardized uptake value bias using long-lived sources in a multicenter network

Darrin Byrd
Rebecca Christopfel
Grae Arabasz
Ciprian Catana
Joel Karp
Martin A. Lodge
Charles Laymon
Eduardo G. Moros
Mikalai Budzevich
Sadek Nehmeh
Joshua Scheuermann
John Sunderland
Jun Zhang
Paul Kinahan

Erratum: Measuring temporal stability of positron emission tomography standardized uptake value bias using long-lived sources in a multicenter network

Darrin Byrd,^a Rebecca Christopf,^a Grae Arabasz,^b Ciprian Catana,^b Joel Karp,^c Martin A. Lodge,^d Charles Laymon,^e Eduardo G. Moros,^f Mikalai Budzevich,^f Sadek Nehmeh,^g Joshua Scheuermann,^c John Sunderland,^h Jun Zhang,ⁱ and Paul Kinahan^a

^aUniversity of Washington, Department of Radiology, Seattle, Washington, United States

^bAthinoula A. Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging, Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, Massachusetts, United States

^cUniversity of Pennsylvania, Department of Radiology, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, United States

^dJohns Hopkins University, Department of Radiology and Radiological Science, Baltimore, Maryland, United States

^eUniversity of Pittsburgh, Presbyterian University Hospital, Department of Radiology, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States

^fMoffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, Florida, United States

^gWeill Cornell Medical College, Department of Radiology, New York, United States

^hUniversity of Iowa, Department of Radiology, Iowa City, Iowa, United States

ⁱThe Ohio State University, Department of Radiology, Columbus, Ohio, United States

[DOI: [10.1117/1.JMI.6.1.019801](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JMI.6.1.019801)]

This article [*J. Med. Imag.* **5**(1), 011016 (2018), doi: [10.1117/1.JMI.5.1.011016](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.JMI.5.1.011016)] was originally published online on 4 January 2018 with the following error. The Pearson correlation coefficients were not computed and reported in a consistent fashion, most notably in Table 10, where values labeled “ r^2 ” were instead

the values of r without appropriate squaring. Figure 8 contained a similar inconsistency, though not all values were affected.

Original (erroneous) and corrected correlation coefficients from Table 10 are enlisted here with their corresponding measurements:

Original (erroneous)		Corrected	
Measurements	Correlation coefficient	Measurements	Correlation coefficient r^2
12	0.966	12	0.934
12	0.956	12	0.915
10	0.934	10	0.872
7	0.867	7	0.752
10	0.583	10	0.340
20	0.993	20	0.985
15	0.851	15	0.725
8	0.866	8	0.749
15	0.378	15	0.143
6	0.976	6	0.953
8	0.594	8	0.353
3	0.387	3	0.150
8	0.944	8	0.892
10.3 ± 4.3	0.792 ± 0.216	11	0.002
		10.4 ± 4.2	0.626 ± 0.337

The original and the corrected Figure 8 are depicted below:

Original

Corrected

This article was corrected online on 23 March 2019.
It appears correctly in print.