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Introduction

In this year of 2016 the Optical Systems Alignment, Tolerancing, and Verification X conference celebrated its tenth anniversary! We all are delighted about the continued success of the conference. We thank the authors, audience, SPIE staff, and the conference committee for contributing to make the conference so successful and of interest to the optical engineering community.

The conference has been always held on Sunday and arranged in four oral presentation sessions, with poster sessions on Monday and Wednesday. Papers contributed to Space Systems and JWST Alignment and Integration I; Alignment Methods and Algorithms; Space Systems and JWST Alignment and Integration II; and Aspheres, Tolerancing, and Verification.

The talks about the JWST provided the audience with an update of the telescope and integration. These papers were insightful about the methodologies being used for the alignment and verification. In particular, instrument and pupil alignment issues were brought up as an important subject. We are especially grateful to Raymond G. Ohl for his role on attracting so many fine presentations about the JWST.

Three papers we like to highlight are “A toolbox of metrology-based techniques for optical system alignment,” by Philip Coulter, et. al.; “Precision spacers as compensators,” by Michael R. Kehoe, et. al.; and “The structure function as a metric for roughness and figure,” by Robert Parks et. al.

Reflecting on the last 10 years we realize that the optical engineering community has indeed emphasized the topics championed by the conference: Alignment, Tolerancing, and Verification. Today it is unthinkable to embark into a major project without addressing each of these topics, and we are starting to see many specialists in these fields. We believe that the conference has contributed to the awareness and development of such fields.

We hope that the readers will enjoy and benefit from the collection of papers presented in this tenth volume. We look forward to another ten successful years to come, starting with our conference in 2017 at SPIE Optics and Photonics in San Diego.

José Sasián
Richard N. Youngworth
The Advanced LIGO Detectors in the Era of First Discoveries

Daniel Sigg\textsuperscript{a},
for the LIGO Scientific Collaboration\textsuperscript{b}, and the VIRGO Collaboration\textsuperscript{c}

\textsuperscript{a}LIGO Hanford Observatory, 127124 North Route 10, Richland, WA 99354, USA
\textsuperscript{b}web: www.ligo.org
\textsuperscript{c}web: www.virgo-gw.eu

ABSTRACT

Following a major upgrade, the two advanced detectors of the Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory (LIGO) held their first observation run between September 2015 and January 2016. The product of observable volume and measurement time exceeded that of all previous runs within the first 16 days of coincident observation. On September 14th, 2015, the Advanced LIGO detectors observed the transient gravitational-wave signal GW150914, determined to be the coalescence of two black holes, launching the era of gravitational-wave astronomy. We present the main features of the detectors that enabled this observation. At its core Advanced LIGO is a multi-kilometer long Michelson interferometer employing optical resonators to enhance its sensitivity. Four very pure and homogeneous fused silica optics with excellent figure quality serve as the test masses. The displacement produced by the event GW150914 was one 200th of a proton radius. It was observed with a combined signal-to-noise ratio of 24 in coincidence by the two detectors. At full sensitivity, the Advanced LIGO detectors are designed to deliver another factor of three improvement in the signal-to-noise ratio for binary black hole systems similar in masses to GW150914.

Keywords: LIGO, gravitational waves, black holes

1. INTRODUCTION

Einstein’s theory of relativity predicts the existence of gravitational waves which are ripples in the space-time fabric and propagate at the speed of light.\textsuperscript{1,2} An indirect proof of their existence was first observed in the double pulsar system system PSR B1913+16 by Hulse, Taylor and Weisberg.\textsuperscript{3,4} On September 14th, 2015, both Advanced LIGO detectors in the USA, H1 in Hanford, Washington and L1 in Livingston, Louisiana, made the first direct measurement of gravitational waves.\textsuperscript{5} The event, GW150914, was the merger of two black holes, with masses of $36^{+5}_{-4} M_\odot$ and $29^{+4}_{-4} M_\odot$, into a black hole of approximately $62^{+4}_{-4} M_\odot$ (90% confidence level).\textsuperscript{6} The equivalent of 3.0 solar masses of energy ($\simeq 5.4 \times 10^{57}$ J) was radiated in gravitational waves. The gravitational waves from this event, which occurred at a distance of $\simeq 410^{+160}_{-180}$ Mpc $\simeq 1.3 \times 10^9$ light years, changed the separation between the test masses by $\simeq 4 \times 10^{-18}$ m, or about one 200-th of a proton radius.

The Advanced LIGO detectors are 4 km long Michelson interferometers enhanced by multiple optical cavities.\textsuperscript{7,8} Each Michelson arm employs two test masses that form the arm cavities. Advanced LIGO came online in September 2015, after a major upgrade targeting a factor of 10 sensitivity improvement over initial detectors.\textsuperscript{9,10} While not yet at design sensitivity during their first observation run, they have already exceeded the strain sensitivity of the initial detectors across the entire frequency band. This has significantly increased the discovery potential and led to the discovery of the first black hole merger.\textsuperscript{11–15}

2. THE ADVANCED LIGO DETECTORS

The LIGO laboratory runs two observatories which house one Advance LIGO detector each. Figure 1 shows an areal view of the LIGO Hanford Observatory. The second observatory in Livingston is identical. Two or more observatories are required for coincident detection and to distinguish between signals of astrophysical origin and environmental disturbances.
The Advanced LIGO detectors are major upgrades to the initial detectors with much improved seismic isolation, quadruple pendulums, monolithic suspensions and electrostatic drives. The available laser power was also increased by a factor of 10, but for the first run only 20-25 W were used. Installation of the new detectors finished in June 2014 in Livingston and in January 2015 in Hanford. Figure 2 shows the progress in commissioning between end of installation and the begin of the first observational run. The displayed range represents the sky-averaged reach to detect the coalescence of a binary neutron with a signal-to-noise ratio of 8. The units are Mpc. These detectors, with a range of 70 Mpc, reach far beyond the local galaxy group and encompasses the entire Virgo supercluster. We also note that for heavier sources such as 30 $M_\odot$ black hole mergers the range reaches beyond 1 Gpc.

Figure 3 shows a simplified optical layout of the Advanced LIGO detector. A 200 W pre-stabilized laser (left) is used as the main light source at a wavelength of 1064 nm (red beams). An electro-optic modulator (not shown) is used to impose phase modulated RF sidebands at 9 MHz (brown beams) and 45 MHz (blue beams). It is spatially cleaned by a suspended input mode cleaner (not shown) before being injected into the dual-recycled Michelson interferometer with arm cavities. Each arm cavity comprises an input test mass (ITMX and ITMY) and an end test mass (ETMX and ETMY). The beamsplitter (BS) separates the main beam into the X-arm and

Figure 2. Commissioning progress. This graph shows the increase in binary neutron star reach as function of commissioning time, starting immediately after installation finished. The initial LIGO reach was surpassed within 2 months. The Hanford detector (LHO) finished installation about 8 months after the Livingston one (LLO), but caught up fast. Both detectors reached a sky-averaged range around 70 Mpc for binary neutron star inspirals with a single detector SNR of 8.
the Y-arm. Two coupled cavities are formed around the Michelson vertex by the power recycling mirror (PRM) and by the signal recycling mirror (SRM), comprising the dual recycling configuration. Photodetectors are mounted in transmission of the arm cavities, at the antisymmetric port, in reflection of the interferometer and at the power recycling pick-off. Each of the multiple coupled optical cavities need to be locked to an exact multiple of the laser wavelength for operations. Furthermore, the beamsplitter is placed at a dark fringe. An RF scheme is used to sense most of the length and angular degrees-of-freedom. An output mode cleaner (not shown) is mounted at the anti-symmetric port to suppress unwanted light frequencies. The photodetectors in transmission of the output mode cleaner are used for the gravitational wave readout. The gravitational wave readout is sensed using a DC scheme by shifting the arm cavities slightly off resonance. Doubled Nd:YAG lasers running at a wavelength of 532 nm are installed behind the end test masses (green beams) and are used to lock the arm cavities independent of the main laser source, but only during the lock acquisition process and not during observations.
High power operation poses special challenges with thermal lensing, angular instabilities driven by radiation pressure\textsuperscript{28} and parametric instabilities.\textsuperscript{29} The system is typically locked at a lower power of 2 W, where these effects are negligible, and then powered up. Thermal lenses are corrected with a thermal compensation system\textsuperscript{30} which comprises two CO2 lasers for central heating and four ring heaters for annular heating of the test masses. Parametric instabilities are driven by radiation pressure and the interaction between the test mass acoustic modes and the higher order optical modes. They are suppressed using active controls which applies damping filters. This system needs to engage during the power up to prevent run-away oscillations which break the lock. The higher the optical power in the interferometer the larger number of parametric instabilities are present. This makes the problem relatively easy at 20 W but hard at 200 W. Angular instabilities due to radiation pressure also depend on the power in the interferometer and become harder to damp at higher power. The current strategy requires angular servos with a higher bandwidth than the frequencies of the angular instabilities. However, increasing the bandwidth of the angular servos introduces additional noise in the gravitational wave readout, unless there is a sharp cut-off filter in the response.

A picture of a test mass in the metrology lab is shown in Figure 4. The Advanced LIGO test masses are very pure and homogeneous fused silica mirrors of 34 cm diameter, 20 cm thickness and 40 kg mass. It is critical that the test masses be free from sources of displacement noise, such as environmental disturbances from seismic noise,\textsuperscript{31} or thermally driven motion. To reduce the effects of ground vibrations, the test masses are suspended by multi-stage pendulums,\textsuperscript{32} thus acting as free masses well above the lowest pendulum resonance frequency of 0.4 Hz. Monolithic fused silica fibers\textsuperscript{33} are incorporated at the bottom stage to decrease suspension thermal noise,\textsuperscript{34} which limits the sensitive band.. The Advanced LIGO test masses require about 10 orders of magnitude suppression of ground motion above 10 Hz. The multi-stage pendulum system attenuates the ground motion by several 10\textsuperscript{\mu}m over a multi-kilometer baseline on time scales of hours. The dominant microseismic activity is driven by ocean waves. The resulting ground motion can be as large as several \mu m at frequencies around 0.15 Hz—even far inland.

The entire test mass assembly including the suspension system and part of the seismic isolation system resides inside an ultra-high vacuum system, with pressures typically below 1 \mu Pa over the 10,000 m\textsuperscript{3} volume, to prevent acoustic shorting of the seismic isolation systems and to reduce Rayleigh scattering in the optical readout.

![Figure 5. Sensitivity during the first observation run.\textsuperscript{7} The left plot shows the strain sensitivity during the first observation run (O1) of the Advanced LIGO detectors and during the last science run (S6) of the initial LIGO detectors. The O1 strain noise curve is shown for H1 (dark red) and L1 (light red); the two detectors have similar performance. The Advanced LIGO design sensitivity as well as a possible future upgrade\textsuperscript{37} are shown to highlight the discovery potential in the coming years. The right plot shows the single detector signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) under optimal orientation as function of redshift $z$—for two merging black holes with mass 30 $M_\odot$ each. GW150914 was not optimally orientated and was detected with a single detector SNR of 13 to 20 at $z = 0.09$; this event would not have been detected in initial LIGO.](image-url)
Figure 6. First detection.\textsuperscript{5} The foreground events are denoted by the red squares. The background is estimated using time shifted data from the two detectors. GW150914 is the most significant event in the first 16 days of coincident observation. When the event is removed from the data (blue line), the remaining background is clean. If we look at the search background including GW150914, we see a tail towards higher detection statistics which consist of the event being detected in one of the detectors in coincidence with a slightly elevated background in the other detector.

### 3. THE FIRST DETECTION

The sensitivity of the Advanced LIGO detectors during the first observation run\textsuperscript{38} is shown in Figure 5. The sensitivity is significantly better than what was achieved during the last science run of the initial detectors. This is especially true for frequencies below 200 Hz which are most important for black hole mergers. It is important to remember that the observed volume and thus the number of possible sources scales with the third power of the sensitivity. Reaching the Advanced LIGO design sensitivity will be crucial in making black hole mergers a routine occurrence in astrophysical observations.

Figure 7. Planned observation periods.\textsuperscript{39} The first observation run is depicted by the blue band. The second observation run is depicted by the green band and will take place in the second half of 2016 and early 2017. A third observation run is currently being planned for the late 2017 and 2018 time frame.
The first black hole merger event GW150914 was observed with a very high confidence level, see Figure 6. The event was detected by both LIGO observatories in coincident. It also constitutes the single largest such event in either of the two detectors. We estimate the background rate by analysing the time shifted data streams between the two observatories.

4. FUTURE PLANS

Future plans are depicted in Figure 7. With the successful completion of the first observation run, the commissioning for the second observation run is in progress. The second observation run is currently being planned to start in September 2016.

The second observation run, LIGO will be joined by the Virgo detector which is located near Pisa, Italy. Having a third detector in the network is important for sky localization. With the LIGO detectors alone, the sky localization 90% confidence region can encompass several 100 square degrees. With the addition of the Virgo detector this potentially can be reduced to several square degrees.

The currently operating detectors are LIGO Hanford and LIGO Livingston as well as the GEO600 detector. However, GEO600 is not sensitive enough to detect black hole mergers. The Virgo detector and the Japanese KAGRA detector are under construction and will come online over the next year or two. The third Advanced LIGO detector is planned to be installed in India. Once the global network is active, we will be able to achieve good localization on any point in the sky as well as provide good coverage.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The LIGO Scientific Collaboration and the Virgo Collaboration have made the first detection of a black hole merger. This constitutes the first direct detection of gravitational waves on Earth. This is the beginning of the era of gravitational wave astrophysics with the promise of many more detections in the near future.
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