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ABSTRACT

We discuss some recent results on Statistical Mechanics approach to dense granular media. In particular, by analytical mean field investigation we derive the phase diagram of monodisperse and bydisperse granular assemblies. We show that “jamming” corresponds to a phase transition from a “fluid” to a “glassy” phase, observed when crystallization is avoided. The nature of such a “glassy” phase turns out to be the same found in mean field models for glass formers. This gives quantitative evidence to the idea of a unified description of the “jamming” transition in granular media and thermal systems, such as glasses. We also discuss mixing/segregation transitions in binary mixtures and their connections to phase separation and “geometric” effects.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important conceptual open problem concerning granular media, is the absence of an established theoretical framework where they might be described. Several methods and theories, many of them reviewed in this volume, were put forward in the last years. Edwards,\textsuperscript{1,2} in particular, proposed first that a Statistical Mechanics approach might be feasible to describe dense granular media. He introduced the hypothesis that time averages of a system, exploring its mechanically stable states subject to some external drive (e.g., “tapping”), coincide with suitable ensemble averages over its “jammed states”.

The Statistical Mechanics approach to dense granular media was later supported by observations from experiments\textsuperscript{5,7} and simulations\textsuperscript{11,13} which suggested that when the system approaches stationarity during its “tapping” dynamics, its macroscopic properties are univocally characterized by a few control parameters and do not depend on the system initial configuration or dynamical protocol. Of course, the open problem remains to understand and predict the features of the “suitable” ensemble average for the system. This is a very important current research issue in granular media which has recently seen interesting contributions from both computer simulations and experiments.

We discuss here the basic ideas in the Statistical Mechanics of dense granular media at stationarity and recent results about its extensions. A central concept in this approach is the configurational entropy, $S_{\text{conf}} = \ln \Omega$, where $\Omega(E, V)$ is the number of mechanically stable states corresponding to the volume $V$ and energy $E$. From $S_{\text{conf}}$ conjugated thermodynamic parameters can be derived: the compactivity, $X^{-1} = \partial S_{\text{conf}} / \partial V$, and the configurational temperature $T_{\text{conf}}^{-1} = \partial S_{\text{conf}} / \partial E$. The “thermodynamic” parameters should completely characterize the macroscopic properties of the system, as much as pressure or ordinary temperatures in gases. Methods have been developed, thus, to measure these parameters by exploiting different techniques. In the stationary regime we consider here, for instance, one can show that $T_{\text{conf}}$ can be related to an equilibrium Fluctuation-Dissipation (FD) Theorem.\textsuperscript{11,28–31} As reviewed in Sect. 2, this allows a simple evaluation of $T_{\text{conf}}$ from measures, for example, of the sample bulk density (or height) and its fluctuations, taken in the stationary regime of, e.g., a tap dynamics. The knowledge of the system distribution function and its parameters can be exploited to depict a first theoretical comprehensive picture of the vast phenomenology of powders, ranging from their phase diagrams to segregation properties. This was partially accomplished in Ref.s\textsuperscript{11,34,36}.

A different approach\textsuperscript{13,25,26} to measure an “effective temperature”, $T_{\text{dyn}}$, in granular media which are far from stationarity, is based on the out-of-equilibrium extension of the Fluctuation-Dissipation Theorem discovered in glassy theory.\textsuperscript{22,23} Interestingly, it was shown\textsuperscript{13,26,31} that in the limit of small shaking amplitudes, $T_{\text{dyn}}$ coincides with the above “configurational temperature”, $T_{\text{conf}}$. 
We review below the basic ideas in the Statistical Mechanics of dense monodisperse granular media at stationarity and in such a framework derive their “phase diagram” in mean field approximation. This allows to discuss the nature of jamming in non-thermal systems\textsuperscript{9,10} and the origin of its close connections to glassy phenomena in thermal ones.\textsuperscript{2} As an extension and a further application of this approach, we also consider the intriguing phenomenon of segregation in bydisperse mixtures.

2. STATISTICAL MECHANICS OF DENSE GRANULAR MEDIA

In this section we summarize the essential ideas in the Statistical Mechanics of dense granular media.\textsuperscript{2,4} These are strongly dissipative systems not affected by temperature, because thermal fluctuations are usually negligible. Therefore, in absence of driving, the usual temperature of the external bath can be considered zero and these media called non-thermal. As the system cannot explore its phase space (unless perturbed by external forces, such as shaking or tapping) it is frozen, at rest, in its mechanically stable microstates (see Fig. 1).

In the Statistical Mechanics of powders introduced by Edwards\textsuperscript{1} it is postulated that the system at rest (i.e., not in the “fluidized” regime) can be described by suitable ensemble averages over its “mechanically stable” states. The issue is to individuate the probability, \( P_r \), to find the system in its generic mechanically stable state \( r \). A possible approach to find \( P_r \) stems\textsuperscript{11} from the maximization of the system entropy,

\[
S = - \sum_r P_r \ln P_r
\]  

(1)

with the macroscopic constraint, in the case of the canonical ensemble, that the system average energy, \( E = \sum_r P_r E_r \), is given. This assumption leads to the Gibbs result:

\[
P_r \propto e^{-\beta_{\text{conf}} E_r}
\]  

(2)

where \( \beta_{\text{conf}} \) is a Lagrange multiplier, called inverse configurational temperature, enforcing the above constraint on the energy:

\[
\beta_{\text{conf}} = \frac{\partial S_{\text{conf}}}{\partial E} \quad S_{\text{conf}} = \ln \Omega(E)
\]  

(3)

Here, \( \Omega(E) \) is the number of mechanically stable states with energy \( E \). Thus, summarizing, the system at rest has \( T_{\text{bath}} = 0 \) and \( T_{\text{conf}} = \beta_{\text{conf}}^{-1} \neq 0 \). Analogously, by assuming that the system volume, \( V \), is given (as in Edwards’ original approach\textsuperscript{1,2}), similar calculations lead to \( P_r \propto e^{-V_r/AX} \), where \( V_r \) is the volume of microstate \( r \) and \( X = \lambda^{-1}(\partial S_{\text{conf}}/\partial V)^{-1} \) is called the compactivity.

These basic considerations, to be validated by experiments or simulations, settle a theoretical Statistical Mechanics framework to describe granular media. Consider, for definiteness, a system of monodisperse hard spheres of mass \( m \). In the system whole configuration space \( \Omega_{\text{Ttot}} \), we can write Edwards’ generalized partition function as:

\[
Z = \sum_{r \in \Omega_{\text{Ttot}}} \exp(-\mathcal{H}_{\text{HC}} - \beta_{\text{conf}} mgH) \cdot \Pi_r
\]  

(4)

where \( \mathcal{H}_{\text{HC}} \) is the hard core interaction between grains, \( mgH \) is the gravity contribution to the energy (\( H \) is particles height), and the factor \( \Pi_r \) is a projector on the space of “mechanically stable” states \( \Omega \): if \( r \in \Omega \) then \( \Pi_r = 1 \) else \( \Pi_r = 0 \).

As well as in usual equilibrium “thermal” Statistical Mechanics, it is straightforward to verify that in the present approach a “standard” (i.e., not “out-of-equilibrium”) Fluctuation Dissipation (FD) Theorem holds linking at stationarity, for instance, the system average energy, \( E \), to its fluctuations, \( \Delta E^2 \):

\[
- \frac{\partial E}{\partial \beta_{\text{conf}}} = \Delta E^2.
\]  

(5)
Usefully, the integration of such *equilibrium* FD relation may provide direct access to $\beta_{\text{conf}}$ from energy (or density, etc.) data measured at stationarity\textsuperscript{11}:

$$\beta_{\text{conf}}(E) = \beta_{\text{conf}}^0 - \int_{E_0}^{E} (\Delta E^2)^{-1} \, dE .$$

(6)

Summarizing, such an “equilibrium” Statistical Mechanics approach is based on the hypothesis that at stationarity the system properties do not depend on the details of the dynamical history. This has to be checked by computer simulations and experiments. The next step is to verify that a few macroscopic parameters (such as energy or density, etc.) are completely characterizing the status of the system, i.e., that a “thermodynamic” description is indeed possible. In such a case, $\beta_{\text{conf}}$ can be derived, for example, from Eq.(6). Finally, one must check that time averages obtained using such a dynamics compare well with ensemble averages over the distribution Eq.(2).

In the following sections we discuss some recent results\textsuperscript{11,27} about schematic models validating and generalizing Edwards’ Statistical Mechanics approach. In particular, we show by mean field analytical calculations that granular media undergo a phase transition from a (supercooled) “fluid” phase to a “glassy” phase, when their crystallization transition is avoided. The nature of such a “glassy” phase results to be the same found in mean field models for glass formers: a discontinuous one step Replica Symmetry Breaking phase preceded by a dynamical freezing point. These results are supported by Monte Carlo (MC) “tap dynamics” simulations which, in the region of low MC shaking amplitudes, show a pronounced jamming similar to the one found in experiments on granular media. As an application to mixtures we also discuss segregation/mixing phenomena in these systems.

### 3. HARD SPHERE SCHEMATIC MODELS FOR GRANULAR MEDIA

The simplest model for granular media we considered\textsuperscript{11} is a monodisperse system of hard-spheres of equal diameter $a_0 = 1$, subjected to gravity. In order to check the above Statistical Mechanics scenario, we consider by now a simplified version of such a model, where we constrain the centers of mass of the spheres to move on the sites of a cubic lattice (see inset in Fig. 3). The Hamiltonian of the system is:

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{HC} + g m \sum_i n_i z_i ,$$

(7)
Figure 2. The time average of the energy, $e = \overline{E}$, and (inset) its fluctuations, $\Delta e^2 = \overline{\Delta E^2}$, recorded at stationarity during a tap dynamics, as a function of the tap amplitude, $T_\Gamma$, in the 3D lattice monodisperse hard sphere model. Different curves correspond to sequences of taps with different values of the duration of each single tap, $\tau_0$.

where the height of site $i$ is $z_i$, $g = 1$ is gravity acceleration, $m = 1$ the grains mass, $n_i = 0, 1$ the usual occupancy variable (i.e., $n_i = 0$ or 1 if site $i$ is empty or filled by a grain) and $H_{HC}(\{n_i\})$ an hard-core interaction term that prevents the overlapping of nearest neighbor grains (this term can be written as $H_{HC}(\{n_i\}) = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} n_i n_j$, where the limit $J \to \infty$ is taken).

The grains are subject to a dynamics made of a sequence of Monte Carlo “taps” (see Fig. 1): a single “tap” is a period of time, of length $\tau_0$ (the tap duration), where particles can diffuse laterally, upwards with probability $p_{up} \in [0, 1/2]$, and downwards with probability $1 - p_{up}$. When the “tap” is off grains can only move downwards (i.e., $p_{up} = 0$) and the system evolves with $p_{up} = 0$ until it reaches a blocked configuration (i.e., an “inherent state”) where no grain can move downwards without violating the hard core repulsion. The parameter $p_{up}$ has an effect equivalent to keep the system in contact (for a time $\tau_0$) with a bath temperature $T_\Gamma = mgao / \ln[(1 - p_{up})/p_{up}]$ (called the “tap amplitude”). The properties of the system are measured when this is in a blocked state. Time averages, therefore, are averages over the blocked configurations reached with this dynamics. Time $t$ is measured as the number of taps applied to the system.

Under such a tap dynamics the systems reaches a stationary state where the Statistical Mechanics approach to granular media can be tested, and particularly Edwards hypothesis can be verified by comparing time averages to ensemble averages of Eq.(2).

3.1. Stationary states and time averages

During the tap dynamics, in the stationary state, the time average of the energy, $\overline{E}$, and its fluctuations, $\overline{\Delta E^2}$, are calculated.

Figure 2 shows $\overline{E}$ (main frame) and $\overline{\Delta E^2}$ (inset) as function the tap amplitude, $T_\Gamma$, (for several values of the tap duration, $\tau_0$). Since sequences of taps, with same $T_\Gamma$ and different $\tau_0$, give different values of $\overline{E}$ and $\overline{\Delta E^2}$, it is apparent that $T_\Gamma$ is not the right thermodynamic parameter. On the other hand, if the stationary states are indeed characterized by a single thermodynamic parameter the curves corresponding to different tap sequences (i.e. different $T_\Gamma$ and $\tau_0$) should collapse onto a single master function, when $\overline{\Delta E^2}$ is parametrically plotted as function of $\overline{E}$. This is the case in the present model, where the data collapse is in fact found and shown in Fig. 3. This is a prediction that could be easily checked in real granular materials.
A technique to derive from raw data the thermodynamic parameter $\beta_{fd}$ conjugated to $E$ (apart from an integration constant, $\beta_0$), is through the usual equilibrium Fluctuation-Dissipation relation of Eq.(5). By integrating Eq.(5), Eq.(6) is obtained and $\beta_{fd} - \beta_0$ can be expressed as function of $\Gamma = 1/T\Gamma$; $\beta_{fd} = \beta_{fd}(\beta_{conf})$ (the constant $\beta_0$ can be determined as explained in\textsuperscript{11}). By now, we use the name $\beta_{fd}$ for the thermodynamic parameter conjugated to $E$ because we can conclude that $\beta_{fd} = \beta_{conf}$ only when the average over the tap dynamics and the ensemble average with Eq.(2) coincide. Thus, even though we have just shown that a “thermodynamic”, i.e., a Statistical Mechanics description is indeed possible, we have still to show that specifically the distribution of Eq.(2) holds. This is accomplished in the next section and interesting novelties will be shown in Sect. 5.

### 3.2. Ensemble averages

Summarizing, in Sect. 3.1 we have found that the fluctuations of the energy in the stationary state depend only on the energy, $E$, and not on the past history. More generally, we found\textsuperscript{11} that all the macroscopic quantities we observed depend only on the energy, $E$, or on its conjugate thermodynamic parameter, $\beta_{fd}$, thus the stationary state can be genuinely considered a “thermodynamic state”.

We show now that ensemble averages based on the theoretical distribution of Eq.(2) coincide with time averages over the tap dynamics. We compare, for instance, the time average of the energy, $\langle E(\beta_{fd}) \rangle$, recorded during the taps sequences, with the ensemble average, $\langle E \rangle(\beta_{conf})$, over the distribution Eq.(2). To this aim we have independently calculated the ensemble average $\langle E \rangle$, as function of $\beta_{conf}$. Fig. 3 shows a very good agreement between $\langle E \rangle(\beta_{conf})$ and $\langle E(\beta_{fd}) \rangle$ (notice that there are no adjustable parameters). Such an agreement was found for all the observables we considered.\textsuperscript{11} Finally, we mention that we have also successfully tested Edwards scenario in an other model, the “frustrated lattice gas”,\textsuperscript{11,33} a system in the category of spin glasses.

### 3.3. The properties of the compaction “tap” dynamics

The MC tap dynamics exhibits a rich structure in agreement with experimental findings.\textsuperscript{5,7} The system is prepared in an initial loose configuration and then tapped. Under tapping its density tends to increase as a function of the number of shakes, in a stretched exponential way at comparatively high $T\Gamma$, and in a logarithmic way.

![Figure 3.](image-url) Energy fluctuations $\Delta e^2$ plotted as function of the energy $e$. The symbols $\bullet$, $\P$, and $\blacksquare$ are time averages, $\overline{E}$ and $\overline{\Delta E^2}$, obtained with different tap dynamics in Fig.2. The symbols $\circ$ are independently calculated ensemble averages, $\langle E \rangle$ and $\langle \Delta E^2 \rangle$, according to Eq.(2). The collapse of the data obtained with different dynamics shows that the system stationary states are characterized by a single thermodynamic parameter. The agreement with the ensemble averages show the success of Edwards’ approach to describe the system macroscopic properties.
way at small $T_F$. This is in close correspondence with experimental findings from the Chicago\textsuperscript{5} and Rennes\textsuperscript{7} groups. At small amplitudes, “irreversibility”\textsuperscript{5,8} and “aging” phenomena along with huge relaxation times diverging à la Arrhenius or Vogel and Fulcher\textsuperscript{5–7} are found in these systems, similarly to glass formers in the freezing region.

It is interesting to consider density correlation functions such as $C(t, t_w) = B(t, t_w)/B(t_w, t_w)$, where $B(t, t_w) = \sum_i [(n_i(t + t_w)n_i(t_w)) - \langle n_i(t + t_w) \rangle \langle n_i(t_w) \rangle]$. In the high $T_F$ region, $C(t, t_w)$ has a time translation invariant (TTI) behavior, i.e., $C(t, t_w) = C(t)$ (see inset Fig.4). Asymptotically $C(t)$ can be well fitted by stretched exponentials: $C(t) = C_0 \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\tau \beta}\right)$ (here $\beta$ is not the “temperature”, but just the stretching exponent of the exponential). The exponent $\beta$ becomes significantly lower than 1 at low amplitudes. The above fit defines the relaxation time $\tau(T_F)$ (see Fig.4): the growth of $\tau$ by decreasing $T_F$ is well approximated by an Arrhenius or Vogel-Tamman-Fulcher law (as early found in\textsuperscript{5,11}, resembling the slowing down of glass formers close to the glass transition, a result also recently experimentally reported in granular media\textsuperscript{6,7}: $\tau \simeq \tau_0 \exp \left[\frac{E_0}{(T_F - T^K_F)}\right]$). The divergence point, $T^K_F$ (which in simulations is difficult to precisely locate and here consistent with zero), of $\tau$ is interpreted as the numerical location of the point of dynamical arrest of the system, where an “ideal” transition to a glassy phase occurs. By quenching the system at low values of $T_F$, the TTI character of relaxation is lost and logarithmic aging behaviors, as stated, are found. For slow quenches the hard spheres model is able, anyway, to attain its crystal phase. The precise nature of the “glassy” region, very difficult to be numerically determined, is analytically investigated in the following sections.

### 3.4. Hard sphere binary mixtures under gravity

In order to test the Statistical Mechanics approach in a more complicate system and to study segregation mechanisms, we also considered a hard-sphere binary system made of two species 1 (small) and 2 (large) with grain diameters $a_0$ and $\sqrt{2}a_0$, under gravity on a cubic lattice of spacing $a_0 = 1$. We set the units such that the two kinds of grain have masses $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = 2m_1$, and gravity acceleration is $g = 1$. The hard core potential $H_{HC}$ is such that two large nearest neighbor particles cannot overlap. This implies that only couples of small particles can be nearest neighbors on the lattice. The system overall Hamiltonian is:

$$H = H_{HC} + m_1gH_1 + m_2gH_2,$$

(8)

---

**Figure 4. Main frame** The density correlation function in the TTI regime, $q(t) \equiv C(t)$, as a function of the number of taps, $t$, for several values of $T_F$, in a hard sphere lattice model.\textsuperscript{37} **Inset** The characteristic relaxation time (in units of “taps” number) as a function of the shaking amplitude $T_F$. A Vogel-Fulcher function, with a divergence at $T_K = 0.29$, fits the data (continuous line).
Figure 5. Main frame The average density of large grains on the box bottom layer, \( \rho^b_2 \), measured at stationarity for different \( T_f \) and \( \tau_0 \), scale almost on a single master function when plotted as a function of the large grains height, \( h_2 \).

Upper inset The average number of contacts between large grains per particle, \( N_c \), obtained for different \( T_f \) and \( \tau_0 \), scale on a single master function when plotted as a function of the system energy, \( e \).

where \( H_1 = \sum_{i}^{(1)} z_i \) and \( H_2 = \sum_{i}^{(2)} z_i \), the height of site \( i \) is \( z_i \) and the two sums are over all particles of species 1 and 2, respectively. In the above units, the gravitational energies in a given configuration are thus \( E_1 = H_1 \) and \( E_2 = 2H_2 \).

As before, grains are confined in a box of linear size \( L \) with periodic boundary conditions in the horizontal directions and initially prepared in a random loose stable pack. Under the tap dynamics the system approaches a stationary state for each value of the tap parameters \( T_f \) and \( \tau_0 \) used. We measure, as function of \( T_f \) (for several values of \( \tau_0 \), the asymptotic value of the vertical segregation parameter, i.e., the difference of the average heights of the small and large grains at stationarity, \( \Delta h(T_f, \tau_0) \equiv h_1 - h_2 \). Here \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) are the average of \( H_1/N_1 \) and \( H_2/N_2 \) over the tap dynamics at stationarity. The Brazil Nut Effect (BNE, large grains above) is observed at high \( T_f \), as reverse BNE at smaller \( T_f \). Before discussing segregation mechanisms, we want to check the Statistical Mechanics scenario described in the previous sections.

Again, we find that \( T_f \) is not a right thermodynamic parameter, since sequences of taps with different \( \tau_0 \) give different values for the system observables. However, we found\(^{11}\) that two macroscopic quantities can be sufficient to characterize uniquely the stationary state of the system. These two quantities are, for instance, the energy \( e \) and the height difference \( \Delta h \). Of course since \( e = ah_1 + 2bh_2 \) (where \( a = N_1/N \) and \( b = N_2/N \) and \( \Delta h = h_1 - h_2 \), we can also choose \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) to characterize the stationary state. Namely, we found that a generic macroscopic quantity \( A \), averaged over the tap dynamics in the stationary state, is only dependent on \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \), i.e., \( A = A(h_1, h_2) \). We have checked that this is the case for several independent observables, such as the number of contacts between large particles, \( N_c \), the density of small and large particles on the bottom layer, \( \rho^b_1 \) and \( \rho^b_2 \), and others, as shown in Fig. 5. Therefore we need both \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) to characterize unambiguously the state of the system; namely all the observables assume the same values in a stationary state characterized by the same values of \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \), independently on the previous history (i.e., in our case independently on the particular tapping parameters \( T_f \) and \( \tau_0 \)).

These findings imply that an extension of Edwards’ original approach is required, where at least two thermodynamic parameters have to be included.\(^{11}\) As before, this can be obtained by assuming that the microscopic distribution is given by the principle of maximum entropy with the constraint that the average gravitational energies of the two species \( E_1 = \sum_r P_r E_{1r} \) and \( E_2 = \sum_r P_r E_{2r} \) are independently fixed. This gives two Lagrange
multipliers:
\[ \beta_1 = \frac{\partial \ln \Omega(E_1,E_2)}{\partial E_1}, \quad \beta_2 = \frac{\partial \ln \Omega(E_1,E_2)}{\partial E_2} \]  
\[ (9) \]
where \( \Omega(E_1,E_2) \) is the number of inherent states with \( E_1,E_2 \).

The hypothesis that the ensemble distribution at stationarity is the above can be tested as we have already previously shown. We have to check that the time average of any quantity, \( A(h_1,h_2) \), as recorded during the taps sequences in a stationary state characterized by given values \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \), coincides with the ensemble average, \( \langle A \rangle(h_1,h_2) \), over the generalized version of distribution Eq.(2). To this aim, we have independently calculated the ensemble averages \( \langle N_c \rangle, \langle \rho_1^Z \rangle, \langle \rho_2^Z \rangle \) for different values of \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \); we have expressed parametrically \( \langle N_c \rangle, \langle \rho_1^Z \rangle, \langle \rho_2^Z \rangle \), as function of the average of \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \), and compared them with the corresponding quantities, \( N_c, \rho_1^Z \) and \( \rho_2^Z \), averaged over the tap dynamics. The two sets of data are plotted in Fig. 5 showing a good agreement (notice, there are no adjustable parameters).

Eq. (9) shows that there are two distinct Lagrange multipliers, constraining indipendently the energy of the two species. A consequence of this fact is that in this approach, where the total energy is not constrained, the zero principle of thermodynamics does not necessarily hold. Indeed, only if the total energy \( E_1 + E_2 \) could be somehow kept constant, by maximizing the entropy one would obtain \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 \).

4. A MEAN FIELD THEORY OF THE PHASE DIAGRAM OF GRANULAR MEDIA

We have seen that even though granular media may form crystalline packings, in most cases they are found at rest in disordered configurations, characterized by “fluid” like distribution functions. Gently shaken granular media exhibit a strong form of “jamming”\(^5\)\(^-\)\(^7\) i.e., an exceedingly slow dynamics, which shows deep connections to “freezing” phenomena observed in many thermal systems such as glass formers.\(^8\)\(^,\)\(^9\)

An interesting result reported above is that at least in some schematic hard spheres models, a Statistical Mechanics description of granular media appear to be well grounded. This allows to evaluate the “granular” partition function, \( Z \), of Eq.(4) in order to derive the system phase diagram. This was accomplished for a monodisperse system, at a mean field level, in Ref.\(^{3,4} \). In an approximation à la Bethe-Peierls, we consider a system of hard spheres with an Hamiltonian given in Eq.(7) plus a chemical potential term to control the overall density. We adopt here a simple definition of “mechanical stability”: a grain is “stable” if it has a grain underneath. The operator \( \Pi_c \) has thus a simple expression: \( \Pi_c = \lim_{K \to \infty} \exp \{ -K H_{Edw} \} \) where \( H_{Edw} = \sum_i \delta_{n_i(z),1} \delta_{n_i(z-1),0} \delta_{n_i(z-2),0} \) (for clarity, we have shown the \( z \) dependence in \( n_i(z) \)).

By using the Bethe-Peierls approximation with the techniques of the “cavity method”\(^3\), the phase diagram is found.\(^{3,4} \) At low \( N_s \) (\( N_s \) is the number of grains per unit surface) or high \( T_{conf} \) a fluid-like phase is found, characterized by a homogeneous Replica Symmetric (RS) solution, in which only one pure state exists and the local fields are the same for all the sites of the lattice (translational invariance). For a given \( N_s \), by lowering \( T_{conf} \) (see Fig. 6), a phase transition to a crystal phase (an RS solution with no space translation invariance) is found at \( T_m \). Notice that the fluid phase still exists below \( T_m \) as a metastable phase corresponding to a supercooled fluid found when crystallization is avoided.

Within the one-step replica symmetry breaking (1RSB) ansatz of the cavity method,\(^3\) a non trivial solution appears for the first time at a given temperature \( T_D(N_s) \), signaling the existence of an exponentially high number of pure states. In mean field theory \( T_D \) is interpreted as the location of a purely dynamical transition as in mode-coupling theory; but in real systems it might correspond just to a crossover in the dynamics (see\(^{12,24,35} \) and Ref.s therein). The 1RSB solution becomes stable at a lower point \( T_K \), where a thermodynamic transition from the supercooled fluid to a 1RSB glassy phase takes place (see Fig. 6) in a scenario à la Kauzmann with a vanishing complexity of pure states (which stays finite for \( T_K < T < T_D \)).

The results of these calculations are illustrated in Fig. 6: in a system with a given number of grains (i.e., a given \( N_s \), the overall number density, \( \Phi \), is plotted as a function of \( T_{conf} \) (here by definition \( \Phi \equiv N_s/2\langle z \rangle \), where \( \langle z \rangle \) is the average height). The shown curve, \( \Phi(T_{conf}) \), is the equilibrium function here calculated. It has a shape very similar to the one observed in tap experiments,\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^7\) or in MC simulations on the cubic lattice (see also\(^8\),
Figure 6. For a system with a given number of grains (i.e., a given $N_s$), the overall number density, $\Phi \equiv N_s/2\langle z \rangle$ ($\langle z \rangle$ is the average height), calculated in mean field approximation is plotted as a function of $T_{\text{conf}}$; $\Phi(T_{\text{conf}})$ has a shape very similar to the one observed in the “reversible regime” of tap experiments and MC simulations of the cubic lattice model for $\Phi(T_\Gamma)$. The location of the glass transition, $T_K$ (filled circle), corresponds to a cusp in the function $\Phi(T_{\text{conf}})$. The passage from the fluid to supercooled fluid is $T_m$ (filled square). The dynamical crossover point $T_D$ is found around the flex of $\Phi(T_{\text{conf}})$ and well corresponds to the position of a characteristic shaking amplitude $\Gamma^*$ found in experiments and simulations where the “irreversible” and “reversible” regimes approximately meet.

where the density is plotted as a function of the shaking amplitude $\Gamma$ (along the so called “reversible branch”). In particular, a comparison of our mean field results with simulations of the 3D model of Hard Spheres under the tap dynamics shows a very good agreement.

Summarizing, in the present mean field scenario of a granular medium with $N_s$ particles per surface, in general, at high $T_{\text{conf}}$ (i.e. high shaking amplitudes) a fluid phase is located (see Fig. 6). By lowering $T_{\text{conf}}$, a phase transition to a crystal phase is found at $T_m$. However, when crystallization is avoided, the fluid phase still exists below $T_m$ as a metastable phase corresponding to a supercooled fluid. At a lower point, $T_D$, an exponentially high number of new metastable states appears, interpreted, at a mean field level, as the location of a purely dynamical transition, which in real system is thought to correspond just to a dynamical crossover. Finally, at a even lower point, $T_K$, the supercooled fluid has a genuinely thermodynamics discontinuous phase transition to glassy state. The structure of the glass transition of the present model for granular media, obtained in the framework of Edwards’ theory, is the same found in the glass transition of the $p$-spin glass and in other mean field models for glass formers.\textsuperscript{12,24}

4.1. A mean field theory of segregation

As an application of the Statistical Mechanics of powders mixtures just discussed, we now consider the intriguing phenomenon of segregation: in presence of shaking a granular system is not randomized, but its components tend to separate.\textsuperscript{14} An example is the so called “Brazil nut” effect (BNE) where, under shaking, large particles rise to the top and small particles move to the bottom of the container. Interestingly, by changing grains sizes or mass ratio or shaking amplitudes a crossover towards a “reverse Brazil nut” effect (RBNE) was more recently discovered\textsuperscript{19} where small particles segregates to the top and large particles to the bottom. Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain these phenomena which, although of deep practical and conceptual relevance, are still largely unknown.\textsuperscript{14} Geometric effects, such as “percolation”\textsuperscript{15} or “reorganization”,\textsuperscript{16,17} are known
to be at work since, in a nutshell, small grains appear to filter beneath large ones. “Dynamical” effects, such as convection\textsuperscript{18} or inertia,\textsuperscript{20} were shown to play a role as well. Recent simulations and experiments have, however, outlined that segregation phenomena can involve “global” mechanisms, such as “condensation”\textsuperscript{19} or, more generally, “phase separation”\textsuperscript{21}. We focus on these properties here.

We apply the mean field approximation of Sec. 4 to the present binary mixture to give a Statistical Mechanics interpretation of segregation phenomena observed in the model of Eq.(8) and in the simulations (see also Ref.\textsuperscript{36}). With the Bethe-Peierls methods the free energy, \( F \), can be derived\textsuperscript{36} along with the quantities of interest, such as the density profile of small and large grains, \( \rho_1(z) \) and \( \rho_2(z) \), and average heights \( h_n = \langle z_n \rangle = \sum_z z \rho_n(z) / \sum_z \rho_n(z) \) (with \( n = 1, 2 \)). The system parameters (for a given grains sizes ratio) are four: the two number densities per unit surface, \( N_1 \) and \( N_2 \) and the two configurational temperatures, or more precisely \( m_1 \beta_1 \) and \( m_2 \beta_2 \) (conjugated to gravitational energies). In the space of these parameters, the Fluid phase corresponds to a solution of Bethe-Peierls equations where the density field in each layer is invariant under horizontal translations. A Crystalline phase, characterized by the breakdown of the translational invariance (density fields are now different on neighboring sites), is also found.

We find a new purely thermodynamic mechanism inducing vertical segregation: a \textit{phase separation induced segregation}. A true demixing phase separation occurs between the two species (due to the depletion force). Finally, the presence of gravity moves the heavier of the two phases downwards.

In order to illustrate this effect, for simplicity, we consider now only the system Fluid phase and we take the case \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1 \). The segregation status of the system changes by changing the masses ratio parameter \( \delta = (2m_1 - m_2)/(2m_1 + m_2) \): when \( \delta \gg 0 \) BNE is expected to be found, as well as RBNE when \( \delta \ll 0 \). This is indeed the case, as shown in the main panel of Fig.7 which plots the usual vertical segregation parameter \( \Delta h/h \equiv 2(h_1 - h_2)/(h_1 + h_2) \) as a function of \( \delta \) (here \( h_1 \) and \( h_2 \) are the average heights of small and large

Figure 7. Main panel The vertical segregation parameter \( \Delta h/h \) is plotted as a function of \( \delta = (m_2 - 2m_1)/(m_2 + 2m_1) \) in a binary granular system in its Fluid phase in the case \( \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 1 \). For a given amount of large grains, \( N_2 = 1 \), when \( N_1 = 1 \) by reducing \( \delta \) the system smoothly crosses from BNE to RBNE, via a mixing region located around \( \delta = 0 \) where \( \Delta h/h \approx 0 \). When small grains are comparatively more abundant, \( N_1 = 1.8 \), the region where \( \Delta h/h \approx 0 \) disappears and around a critical value \( \delta_c \neq 0 \) the system has an abrupt transition from BNE to RBNE. Side panels The density profiles \( \rho(z) \) of the two species are plotted for \( \delta = \pm 1 \). Full (empty) circles correspond to small (large) grains density.
grains). For a given amount of large grains, $N_2 = 1$, in the case where there are comparatively few small grains, e.g., $N_1 = 1$, by reducing $\delta$ the system smoothly crosses from BNE to RBNE, via a mixing region located around $\delta = 0$ where $\Delta h/h \sim 0$ (see Fig.7). When small grains are comparatively abundant, e.g., $N_1 = 1.8$, the scenario drastically changes for the enhanced role of depletion forces acting between large grains: the region where $\Delta h/h \sim 0$ disappears and around a critical value $\delta_c \neq 0$ the system has an abrupt transition from BNE to RBNE. The jump observed in $\Delta h/h$ is related to the crossing of a phase transition line present in the Fluid phase (this is due to depletion forces between large grains and is, in fact, absent if grains have equal radii). In order to compare the properties of the system microscopic configurations, Fig.7 also plots the density profiles $\rho(z)$ of the two species for $\delta = \pm 1$.

Summarizing, the present mean field Statistical Mechanics model of granular binary mixture, here analytically treated à la Edwards, individuates two basic mechanisms underlying, in absence of hydrodynamic modes, mixing and segregation phenomena corresponding to a variety of experimentally observed effects, ranging from BNE and RBNE to coarsening. In these non-thermal media there is a form of segregation which is related to thermodynamic-like mechanisms taking place in the system, i.e., phase transitions. A different kind of segregation phenomena exists, not associated to phase transitions, which is driven in pure phases by “buoyancy” and “geometric” effects.

5. CONCLUSIONS

An important open issue in the physics of granular media is the theoretical foundation and experimental test of Statistical Mechanics approaches and, in particular, the approach proposed by Edwards and here briefly reviewed. In practice the general validity of Edwards’ scenario has just begun to be assessed and there are still many, crucial, open questions. Within the schematic framework of simple hard sphere models, we have shown that such an approach to dense granular media appears to be well grounded, and a first framework is emerging to understand their physics and their deep connections with thermal systems such as fluids and glass formers.

We showed that the system stationary states are indeed independent on the sample history as in a “thermodynamics” system, and can be described in terms of a distribution function characterized by a few control parameters (such as configurational temperatures). We then derived, by analytical calculations at a mean field level, the phase diagram of these systems. In particular, we discovered that “jamming” corresponds to a phase transition from a “fluid” to a “glassy” phase, observed when crystallization is avoided. Interestingly, the nature of such a “glassy” phase turns out to be the same found in mean field models for glass formers. In the same framework, we have also discussed segregation patterns observed in a hard sphere binary systems, where Edwards’ original approach must be extended. Here, the presence of fluid-crystal phase transitions in the system drives segregation as a form of phase separation. Within a given phase, gravity can also induce a kind of “vertical” segregation, not associated to phase transitions.

As a first reference picture is emerging in the physics of dense granular media, a deeper test of these theories and their consequences, the experimental determination of the described phase diagram and segregation features, the connections to hydrodynamics effects, are among relevant open research directions ahead in this field.
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