Contents

SESSION 1  PERCEPTION IN SCREENING EXAMS

7966 02  Optimizing viewing procedures of breast tomosynthesis image volumes using eye tracking combined with a free response human observer study  [7966-01]
K. Lång, S. Zackrisson, Lund Univ., Skåne Univ. Hospital (Sweden); K. Holmqvist, M. Nyström, Lund Univ. (Sweden); I. Andersson, D. Förnvik, A. Tingberg, P. Timberg, Lund Univ., Skåne Univ. Hospital (Sweden)

7966 03  Assessment of breast density: reader performance using synthetic mammographic images  [7966-02]
J. Makaronidis, M. Berks, J. Sergeant, The Univ. of Manchester (United Kingdom); J. Morris, Univ. Hospital of South Manchester (United Kingdom); C. Boggis, The Univ. of Manchester (United Kingdom) and Univ. Hospital of South Manchester (United Kingdom); M. Wilson, N. Barr, Univ. Hospital of South Manchester (United Kingdom); S. Astley, The Univ. of Manchester (United Kingdom)

7966 04  Health professionals’ agreement on density judgements and successful abnormality identification within the UK Breast Screening Programme  [7966-03]
I. T. Darker, Y. Chen, A. G. Gale, Loughborough Univ. (United Kingdom)

7966 05  The time course of cancer detection performance  [7966-04]
S. Taylor-Phillips, A. Clarke, The Univ. of Warwick (United Kingdom); M. Wallis, Addenbrooke’s Hospital (United Kingdom); M. Wheaton, A. Duncan, Univ. Hospitals Coventry (United Kingdom); A. G. Gale, Loughborough Univ. (United Kingdom)

7966 06  Can horizontally oriented breast tomosynthesis image volumes or the use of a systematic search strategy improve interpretation? An eye tracking and free response human observer study  [7966-05]
K. Lång, S. Zackrisson, Lund Univ., Skåne Univ. Hospital (Sweden); K. Holmqvist, M. Nyström, Lund Univ. (Sweden); I. Andersson, D. Förnvik, A. Tingberg, P. Timberg, Lund Univ., Skåne Univ. Hospital (Sweden)

SESSION 2  HUMAN PERFORMANCE

7966 07  Modeling error in assessment of mammographic image features for improved computer-aided mammography training: initial experience  [7966-06]
M. A. Mazurowski, G. D. Tourassi, Duke Univ. Medical Ctr. (United States)

7966 08  Time of day does not affect radiologists’ accuracy in breast lesion detection  [7966-07]
M. Al-s’adi, M. F. McEntee, E. Ryan, The Univ. of Sydney (Australia)
Extended analysis of the effect of learning with feedback on the detectability of pulmonary nodules in chest tomosynthesis [7966-08]
S. Asplund, Å. A. Johnsson, J. Vikgren, A. Svalkvist, M. Boijsen, V. Fischella, A. Flinck, Å. Wiksell, Univ. of Gothenburg (Sweden) and Sahlgrenska Univ. Hospital (Sweden); J. Ivarsson, H. Rystedt, Univ. of Gothenburg (Sweden); L. G. Månsson, S. Kheddache, M. Båth, Univ. of Gothenburg (Sweden) and Sahlgrenska Univ. Hospital (Sweden)

Classification of radiological errors in chest radiographs, using support vector machine on the spatial frequency features of false-negative and false-positive regions [7966-09]
M. W. Pietrzyk, The Univ. of Sydney (Australia); T. Donovan, Lancaster Univ. (United Kingdom); P. C. Brennan, The Univ. of Sydney (Australia); A. Dix, D. J. Manning, Lancaster Univ. (United Kingdom)

A novel platform to simplify human observer performance experiments in clinical reading environments [7966-10]
J. Jacobs, F. Zanca, H. Bosmans, Univ. Hospitals Leuven (Belgium)

Analysis of physiological impact while reading stereoscopic radiographs [7966-11]
Y. Y. Unno, T. Tajima, T. Kuwabara, FUJIFILM Corp. (Japan); A. Hasegawa, FUJIFILM Medical Systems USA, Inc. (United States); N. Natsui, K. Ishikawa, Tokyo Polytechnic Univ. (Japan); T. Hatada, Tokyo Optometric College (Japan)

SESSION 3 MODEL OBSERVERS

Incorporating holistic visual search concepts into a SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging numerical observer [7966-12]
J. M. O'Connor, H. C. Gifford, Univ. of Massachusetts Medical School (United States); J. G. Brankov, Illinois Institute of Technology (United States); P. H. Pretorius, Univ. of Massachusetts Medical School (United States)

Channelized relevance vector machine as a numerical observer for cardiac perfusion defect detection task [7966-13]
M. M. Kalayeh, T. Marin, Illinois Institute of Technology (United States); P. H. Pretorius, Univ. of Massachusetts Medical School (United States); M. N. Wernick, Y. Yang, J. G. Brankov, Illinois Institute of Technology (United States)

Development of model observers applied to 3D breast tomosynthesis microcalcifications and masses [7966-14]
I. Diaz, Univ. Hospital and Univ. of Lausanne (Switzerland); P. Timberg, Lund Univ, Malmö Univ. Hospital (Sweden); S. Zhang, C. Abbey, Univ. of California, Santa Barbara (United States); F. Verdun, F. O. Bochud, Univ. Hospital and Univ. of Lausanne (Switzerland)

Numerical observer for cardiac motion assessment using machine learning [7966-15]
T. Marin, M. M. Kalayeh, Illinois Institute of Technology (United States); P. H. Pretorius, Univ. of Massachusetts Medical School (United States); M. N. Wernick, Y. Yang, J. G. Brankov, Illinois Institute of Technology (United States)
Accounting for anatomical noise in SPECT with a visual-search human-model observer

H. C. Gifford, M. A. King, M. S. Smyczynski, Univ. of Massachusetts Medical School (United States)

Support of the decision variable densities of the three-class ideal observer for bivariate trinormal data

D. C. Edwards, The Univ. of Chicago (United States)

Agreement between two versions of a CADx system: a simulation study

B. Sahiner, N. Petrick, S. Paquerault, W. Chen, T. Nguyen, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (United States)

Reader characteristics linked to detection of pulmonary nodules on radiographs: ROC vs. JAFROC analyses of performance

A. Kohli, J. W. Robinson, J. Ryan, M. F. McEntee, P. C. Brennan, The Univ. of Sydney (Australia)

Estimating the parameters of a model of visual search from ROC data: an alternate method for fitting proper ROC curves

D. P. Chakraborty, Univ. of Pittsburgh (United States); T. Svahn, Lund Univ., Malmö Univ. Hospital (Sweden)

Characterizing and optimizing rater performance for internet-based collaborative labeling

J. A. Stein, A. J. Asman, Vanderbilt Univ. (United States); B. A. Landman, Vanderbilt Univ. (United States) and Johns Hopkins Univ. (United States)

Changes in visual search patterns of pathology residents as they gain experience

E. A. Krupinski, R. S. Weinstein, The Univ. of Arizona (United States)

Characterizing virtual slide exploration through the use of ‘search maps’

C. R. Mello-Thoms, Univ. of Pittsburgh (United States); C. A. Mello, Univ. Federal de Pernambuco (Brazil); O. Medvedeva, E. Tseytlin, R. Crowley, Univ. of Pittsburgh (United States)

Validation of a new digital breast tomosynthesis medical display

C. Marchessoux, Barco N.V. (Belgium); N. Vivien, Univ. of Poitiers (France); A. Kumcu, T. Kimpe, Barco N.V. (Belgium)

Is image manipulation necessary to interpret digital mammographic images efficiently?

Y. Chen, A. Gale, Loughborough Univ. (United Kingdom); A. Turnbull, Derby Royal Hospital (United Kingdom); J. James, Nottingham City Hospital (United Kingdom)
Performance evaluation of medical LCD displays using 3D channelized Hotelling observers

L. Platiša, Ghent Univ. (Belgium); C. Marchessoux, Barco N.V. (Belgium); B. Goossens, W. Philips, Ghent Univ. (Belgium)

Visual cues do not improve skin lesion ABC(D) grading

M. Zanotto, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia (Italy) and The Univ. of Edinburgh (United Kingdom); L. Ballerini, B. Aldridge, R. B. Fisher, J. Rees, The Univ. of Edinburgh (United Kingdom)

The effect of defect cluster size and interpolation on radiographic image quality

K. Töpfer, K. L. Yip, Carestream Health, Inc. (United States)

Verification of the QUBYX perfectlum calibration software using a PR-670 spectro radiometer and associated verification facility

H. Roehrig, S. F. Hashmi, The Univ. of Arizona (United States)

A study of attentional effects of intensity transforms for mammograms

A. J. Maeder, Univ. of Western Sydney (Australia)

The impact of clinical indications on visual search behaviour in skeletal radiographs

A. Rutledge, Univ. College Dublin (Ireland); M. F. McEntee, The Univ. of Sydney (Australia); L. Rainford, M. O’Grady, K. McCarthy, M. L. Butler, Univ. College Dublin (Ireland)

Measurement of breast lesion display luminance and overall image display luminance relative to optimum luminance for contrast perception

M. Rawashdeh, W. Lee, P. Brennan, W. Reed, M. McEntee, R. Bourne, The Univ. of Sydney (Australia)

Motion perception in medical imaging

F. Massanes, J. G. Brankov, Illinois Institute of Technology (United States)

Characterizing non-Gaussian properties of breast images with a noisy-Laplacian distribution

C. K. Abbey, Univ. of California, Santa Barbara (United States) and Univ. of California, Davis (United States); A. Nosratieh, Univ. of California, Davis (United States); S. Zhang, M. P. Eckstein, Univ. of California, Santa Barbara (United States); J. M. Boone, Univ. of California, Davis (United States) and UC Davis Medical Ctr. (United States)

Improved implementation of the abnormality manipulation software tools

M. T. Madsen, K. S. Berbaum, K. Schartz, R. T. Caldwell, Univ. of Iowa (United States)
A clinical image preference study comparing digital tomosynthesis with digital radiography for pediatric spinal imaging [7966-38]

J. M. King, CancerCare Manitoba (Canada); I. A. Elbakri, CancerCare Manitoba (Canada) and Univ. of Manitoba (Canada); M. Reed, J. Wrogemann, Winnipeg Children's Hospital (Canada)

Computer-aided detection as a decision assistant in chest radiography [7966-39]

M. R. M. Samulski, P. R. Snoeren, Radboud Univ. Nijmegen Medical Ctr. (Netherlands); B. Platel, Fraunhofer MeVis (Germany); B. van Ginneken, L. Hogeweg, Radboud Univ. Nijmegen Medical Ctr. (Netherlands); C. Schaefer-Prokop, Meander Medical Ctr. Amersfoort (Netherlands); N. Karssemeijer, Radboud Univ. Nijmegen Medical Ctr. (Netherlands)

Does stereo-endoscopy improve neurosurgical targeting in 3rd ventriculostomy? [7966-40]

K. Abhari, Robarts Research Institute (Canada) and The Univ. of Western Ontario (Canada); S. de Riboult, The Univ. of Western Ontario (Canada); T. Peters, R. Eagleson, Robarts Research Institute (Canada) and The Univ. of Western Ontario (Canada)

An analysis of the impact of tumor amount on the predictive power of a prostate biopsy prognostic assay [7966-41]

F. M. Khan, S. I. Fogarasi, D. Powell, G. Fernandez, R. Mesa-Tejada, M. J. Donovan, Aureon Biosciences (United States)

POSTER SESSION

Assessment of a CAD scheme in selecting the optimal focused microscopic scanning images of the metaphase chromosomes [7966-43]

X. Wang, J. Tan, Univ. of Pittsburgh (United States); Y. Qiu, Y. Li, H. Liu, The Univ. of Oklahoma (United States); S. Li, The Univ. of Oklahoma Health Sciences Ctr. (United States); B. Zheng, Univ. of Pittsburgh (United States)

Quantitative evaluation of six graph based semi-automatic liver tumor segmentation techniques using multiple sets of reference segmentation [7966-45]

Z. Su, X. Deng, Siemens Ltd. (China); C. Chef'd'hotel, L. Grady, Siemens Corporate Research (United States); J. Fei, D. Zheng, N. Chen, 306 Hospital of PLA (China); X. Xu, Siemens Ltd. (China)

Assessing risk of thyroid cancer using resonance-frequency based electrical impedance measurements [7966-46]

B. Zheng, M. E. Tublin, D. Lederman, A. H. Klym, E. D. Brown, D. Gur, Univ. of Pittsburgh (United States)

Evaluation of agreement in corneal thickness measurements obtained using optical coherence tomography and ultrasound technique and determination of its specificity in keratoconus screening [7966-47]

P. Gunvant, Western Univ. of Health Sciences (United States) and Southern College of Optometry (United States); R. Darner, Southern College of Optometry (United States)

Fusion of classifiers for REIS-based detection of suspicious breast lesions [7966-48]

A software tool to compare contrast-detail detection in uniform and in real mammographic backgrounds [7966-49]
G. Prieto, M. Chevalier, E. Guibelalde, Univ. Complutense de Madrid (Spain)

Comparison of the detection rates in reduced image by difference of interpolation method [7966-50]
A. Horii, C. Kataoka, D. Yokoyama, Nagoya Univ. (Japan); N. Fujita, Nagoya Univ. Hospital (Japan); N. Yasuda, A. Sugira, Gifu Univ. of Medical Science (Japan); Y. Kodera, Nagoya Univ. (Japan)

Image processing of head CT images using neuro best contrast (NBC) and lesion detection performance [7966-51]
S. Tipnis, D. Vincent, Z. Rumboldt, W. Huda, Medical Univ. of South Carolina (United States)

The effects of anatomical information and observer expertise on abnormality detection task [7966-52]
L. Zhang, LISA, Univ. d’Angers (France) and IRRCyN, Univ. de Nantes (France); C. Cavara-Ménard, LISA, Univ. d’Angers (France); P. Le Callet, IRRCyN, Univ. de Nantes (France); L. H. K. Cooper, LISA, Univ. d’Angers (France); G. Hunault, HIFIH, Univ. d’Angers (France); J.-Y. Tanguy, Ctr. Hospitalier Univ. d’Angers (France)

Application of artificial neural network in simulating subjective evaluation of tumor segmentation [7966-53]
D. Lv, X. Deng, Siemens Ltd. China (China)

Optimization of hepatic lesion detection with computed tomography (CT): Is randomization of lesion location necessary? [7966-54]
K. Dobeli, The Univ. of Sydney (Australia) and Royal Brisbane and Women’s Hospital (Australia); S. Lewis, S. Meikle, The Univ. of Sydney (Australia); D. Thiele, Queensland Health (Australia); P. C. Brennan, The Univ. of Sydney (Australia)

Impact of hybrid SPECT/CT imaging on the detection of single parathyroid adenoma [7966-55]
A. Morrison, P. C. Brennan, W. Reed, M. Pietrzyk, The Univ. of Sydney (Australia); G. Schembri, E. Bailey, P. Roach, Royal North Shore Hospital (Australia); M. Evanoff, The American Board of Radiology (United States); P. L. Kench, The Univ. of Sydney (Australia)

Role of expertise and contralateral symmetry in the diagnosis of pneumoconiosis: an experimental study [7966-56]
V. Jampani, International Institute of Information Technology (India); V. Vaidya, GE Global Research (India); J. Sivaswamy, International Institute of Information Technology (India); K.L. Tourani, Care Hospitals (India)

Analysis of the number of distinct findings obtained by multiple readers in an MRMC study: When do findings obtained from the addition of new readers become redundant, or otherwise negligible? [7966-58]
S. Paquerault, Consultant (United States); B. Sahiner, A. Kettermann, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (United States); L. M. Yarusso, Consultant (United States); L. M. Hadjiiski, H.-P. Chan, Univ. of Michigan (United States)
Reproducibility of an imaging based prostate cancer prognostic assay [7966-60]
F. M. Khan, D. Powell, V. Bayer-Zubek, R. Soares, A. Mott, G. Fernandez, R. Mesa-Tejada, M. J. Donovan, Aureon Biosciences (United States)

Assessment of updated CAD without a new reader study: effect of calibration of computer output on the computer-aided reader performance in CADx (Cum Laude Poster Award) [7966-61]
W. Chen, N. Petrick, B. Sahiner, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (United States)

Streak artefact quantification for abdominal CT [7966-62]
M. Figl, R. Fragner, Medical Univ. Vienna (Austria); P. Heimel, Univ. of Applied Sciences Technikum Wien (Austria); C. Loewe, W. Birkfellner, Medical Univ. Vienna (Austria)

High luminance monochrome vs. color displays: impact on performance and search [7966-63]
E. A. Krupinski, H. Roehrig, The Univ. of Arizona (United States); T. Matsui, Eizo Nanao Corp. (Japan)

Study of signal-to-noise ratios considered human visual characteristics [7966-64]
Y. Hayashi, M. Yamada, Y. Kimura, Nagoya Univ. (Japan); N. Fujita, Nagoya Univ. Hospital (Japan); Y. Kodera, Nagoya Univ. (Japan)

Radiation dose reduction in digital radiography using wavelet-based image processing methods [7966-65]
H. Watanabe, D.-Y. Tsai, Y. Lee, E. Matsuyama, Niiigata Univ. (Japan); K. Kojima, Hamamatsu Univ. (Japan)

Author Index
Conference Committee

Symposium Chairs

Maryellen L. Giger, The University of Chicago (United States)
Joseph M. Reinhardt, The University of Iowa (United States)

Conference Chairs

David J. Manning, Lancaster University (United Kingdom)
Craig K. Abbey, University of California, Santa Barbara (United States)

Program Committee

Kevin S. Berbaum, The University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics (United States)
Darrin C. Edwards, The University of Chicago (United States)
Brandon D. Gallas, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (United States)
Matthew A. Kupinski, College of Optical Sciences, The University of Arizona (United States)
Anthony J. Maeder, University of Western Sydney (Australia)
Mark F. McEntee, The University of Sydney (Australia)
Claudia R. Mello-Thoms, University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute (United States)
Berkman Sahiner, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (United States)
David L. Wilson, Case Western Reserve University (United States)

Session Chairs

1. Perception in Screening Exams
   Darrin C. Edwards, The University of Chicago (United States)

2. Human Performance
   Elizabeth A. Krupinski, College of Optical Sciences, The University of Arizona (United States)

3. Model Observers
   Anthony J. Maeder, University of Western Sydney (Australia)

4. ROC and Decision Metrics
   Mark F. McEntee, The University of Sydney (Australia)

5. Keynote and Assessment in Pathology
   Berkman Sahiner, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (United States)
6 Image Display and Presentation
Claudia R. Mello-Thoms, University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute
(United States)

7 Vision in Medical Imaging
Craig K. Abbey, University of California, Santa Barbara (United States)

8 Technology Assessment and Impact
David J. Manning, Lancaster University (United Kingdom)
Introduction

The Image Perception, Observer Performance, and Technology Assessment conference, part of the 2011 Medical Imaging symposium of SPIE, was held February 16–17 2011 in Disney Coronado Springs Resort, Lake Buena Vista, Orlando, Florida.

The conference was opened by a workshop on the evening of 15 February, entitled Device Evaluation—Perspectives from Inside and Outside the FDA. Chaired by Claudia Mello-Thoms of the University of Pittsburgh, the workshop was a candid discussion of the FDA device approval process from the perspective of FDA staff (reviewers and administrators), academics, and from industry representatives. Presentations from the panelists were followed by a lively and substantive discussion of the device approval process including strengths and weaknesses of the process as well as practical advice on how to successfully negotiate the process.

The conference program included a total of 40 oral presentations and a keynote lecture organised into seven sessions over the two full days of the conference. The poster session was again strong with 22 submissions accepted for display and dialogue on the evening of the 16th; the cum laude award went to Weijie Chen and colleagues from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for their poster, “Assessment of updated CAD without a new reader study: effect of calibration of computer output on the computer-aided reader performance in CADx.” The runner-up was awarded to Sophie Pequeralt and colleagues, also from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, for their poster, “Analysis of the number of distinct findings obtained by multiple readers in an MRMC study: When do findings obtained from the addition of new readers become redundant, or otherwise negligible?”

The keynote lecture was part of an effort to broaden the conference in the area of digital pathology. The lecture, entitled “The observer end of digital imaging: integrating the digital microscope into clinical practice,” was given by Dr. Stephen M. Hewitt of the National Institutes of Health, and served as an excellent overview of the challenges and opportunities for digital imaging in pathology.

Craig K. Abbey
David J. Manning